Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Chapter Title	German and Tagalog Happiness Scales	
Copyright Year	2013	
Copyright Holder	Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Swami
	Particle	
	Given Name	Viren
	Suffix	
	Division/Department	Department of Psychology
	Organization/University	University of Westminster
	Street	309 Regent Street
	Postcode	W1B 2UW
	City	London
	Country	UK
	Phone	+442079115000 ext. 2106
	Fax	+442079115106
	Email	virenswami@hotmail.com
	Email	v.swami@westminster.ac.uk

G

German and Tagalog HappinessScales

- 4 Viren Swami
- 5 Department of Psychology, University of
- 6 Westminster, London, UK

7 Synonyms

8 Subjective happiness scale (SHS)

9 Definition

Subjective happiness refers to the measurement of happiness from the point of view of participants themselves. Subjective happiness has been found to be associated with self-perceptions of well-being, satisfaction with life, and improved interpersonal relationships.

6 Description

The Subjective Happiness Scale (SHS) was 17 developed as "a global, subjective assessment of 18 whether one is a happy or unhappy person" as 19 measured through self-reports (Lyubormirsky & 20 Lepper, 1999, p. 139). The scale consists of four 21 items, two of which assess self-perceptions based 22 23 on absolute ratings of well-being and ratings relative to peers. In two further items, participants 24 are presented with descriptions happy and 25

unhappy individuals and are asked to rate the 26 extent to which the descriptions are accurate 27 descriptions of participants themselves. 28 According to Lyubomirsky and Lepper (1999), 29 the SHS is superior to other scales of subjective 30 well-being because it measures global subjective 31 assessments, rather than focusing on multiple 32 aspects of happiness. 33

Several studies have examined the psycho- 34 metric properties of the SHS and have reported 35 that it has good internal consistency and test- 36 retest reliability, as well as good discriminant 37 and convergent validity (Lyubormirsky Lepper, 1999; Mattei & Schaefer, 2004). The 39 SHS has also been translated into a number of 40 different languages, including Russian 41 (Lyubormirsky & Lepper, 1999), Japanese 42 (Shimai, Otake, Utsui, Ikemi and Lyubormirsky 43 2004), and Malay (Swami, 2008). Each of these 44 translated versions of the SHS has been shown to 45 have a one-dimensional factor structure as well as 46 good psychometric properties, including high 47 internal consistency, good test-retest reliability, 48 and patterns of divergent and convergent validity. 49

Swami et al. (2009) further presented translations and validations of German and Tagalog 51
versions of the SHS. They argued this was important in order to confirm the extent to which the 53
SHS is suitable for use in different linguistic and 54
cultural groups and in order to facilitate crosscultural research on happiness. In two studies, 56
therefore, they translated the SHS into German 57
and Tagalog and examined its psychometric 58
properties in Austria and the Philippines, 59

2

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

104

105

respectively. In addition, they also conducted a cross-cultural comparison of SHS scores among participants from Austria, the Philippines, Malaysia (these data being obtained from Swami, 2008), and Britain (a novel dataset).

Results of the study showed that the German version of the SHS, when tested with 960 individuals from the community in Vienna, had a one-dimensional factor structure and good internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = .82). In addition, the German SHS was significantly associated with other measures of subjective happiness (including single- and multi-item measures of well-being), suggesting a good pattern of convergent validity. Swami et al. (2009) also argued that, to the extent that the strengths of these correlations were small to medium, the SHS can be conceptually distinguished from other similar scales.

Similarly, the Tagalog version of the SHS, when tested with 182 members of the community in Manila, was found to have a one-dimensional factor structure and good internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = .78). Furthermore, the Tagalog SHS was found to correlate positively with other measures of subjective well-being. Based on these results, Swami et al. (2009) reported that both the German and Tagalog versions of the SHS had good psychometric properties, although they also noted that their examination did not specifically examine test-retest reliability. In addition, they also noted that they did not conduct validation checks that overcome the general limitations of using self-reported data.

In terms of the cross-cultural comparisons of British, Filipino, German, and Malaysian participants, Swami et al. (2009) initially predicted that members of individualist cultures (Austria and Britain in their study) would have higher SHS than participants from collectivist cultures (Malaysia and the Philippines). This was based on the suggestion that happiness is constructed as a personal achievement in individualist cultures, whereas it is predicated upon the realization of positive social relationships in collective cultures (Uchida, Kitayama, Mesquita and Rayes 2001). The results of Swami et al.'s (2009) cross-cultural comparison generally supported this hypothesis.

Specifically, Swami et al. (2009) found that, 108 controlling for participant age, British and Aus- 109 trian participants had significantly higher scores 110 than Filipino and Malaysian participants. They 111 argued that this supports the hypothesis that par- 112 ticipants from individualist cultures are more 113 likely to report positive emotions and well- 114 being than their counterparts in collectivist cul- 115 tures. However, their results also showed that 116 Filipino participants had significantly higher 117 SHS scores than Malaysian participants. Swami 118 et al. (2009) considered a number of explanations 119 for this finding, including the possibility on 120 national differences in conceptions of health and 121 well-being as well as limitations of their collec- 122 tivism-individualism dichotomy.

Cross-References

► Cross-Cultural 125 ► Happiness 126

124

128

► Subjective Well-Being

References

Lyubomirsky, S., & Lepper, H. S. (1999). A measure of subjective happiness: Preliminary reliability and construct validation. *Social Indicators Research*, 46, 131 37–155.

Mattei, D., & Schaefer, C. E. (2004). An investigation of the validity of the subjective happiness scale. *Psychological Reports*, 94, 288–290.

Shimai, S., Otake, K., Utsui, N., Ikemi, A., & Lyubormirsky, S. (2004). Development of a Japanese version of the subjective happiness scale (SHS), and examination of its validity and reliability. *Japanese Journal of Public Health*, 51, 1–9.

Swami, V. (2008). Translation and validation of the Malay subjective happiness scale. *Social Indicators Research*, 88, 347–353.

Swami, V., Stieger, S., Voracek, M., Dressler, S. G., 144
Eisma, L., & Furnham, A. (2009). Psychometric evaluation of the Tagalog and German subjective happiness scales and a cross-cultural comparison. *Social Indicators Research*, 93, 393–406.

Uchida, Y., Kitayama, S., Mesquita, B., & Rayes, J. A. 149 (2001). Interpersonal sources of happiness: The relative significance in three cultures. Paper presented at 151 the 13th annual convention of the American Psychological Society, Toronto, Canada. 153