

**WestminsterResearch**

<http://www.westminster.ac.uk/westminsterresearch>

**Technologies of Power in Digital Inclusion**

**Pandey, Priyanka and Zheng, Yingqin**

This is an author's accepted manuscript of an article published in the Journal of the Association for Information Systems 24 (5), pp. 1334-1357 2023.

The final definitive version is available online at:

<https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00822>

The WestminsterResearch online digital archive at the University of Westminster aims to make the research output of the University available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the authors and/or copyright owners.

# Technologies of Power in Digital Inclusion

Pandey, Priyanka and Zheng, Yingqin

[Accepted for publication (28/04/2023)]

(Accepted Author Manuscript)



# Technologies of Power in Digital Inclusion

## Abstract

This study moves beyond the conventional focus on technology access, adoption, and participation in communities, and instead presents a perspective on digital inclusion as a complex process of both empowerment and subjugation. Drawing on Foucault's theorisation on subjectivity and power, our case study of community health workers in rural India, reveals that the adoption of an mHealth application simultaneously subordinates and strengthens the subjectivity of the community health workers. The study explicates the process through which the health workers oscillate between the enhancement of their individual efficacy, and their submission to institutionalized power as facilitated through the mHealth application during their everyday work practices. Thus, by shifting the focus from 'who' is digitally included to 'how' they become digitally included, our research provides a fresh perspective that enriches and deepens the discourse of digital inclusion. It generates both theoretical and practical implications for anyone interested in understanding digital inclusion from a more granulated and practice-based perspective.

*Keywords:* digital inclusion, power, subjectivity, Foucault, mHealth, empowerment

## 1 Introduction

The discourse on technology and social inclusion often implies social categories or demographic segmentation of identity demarcated by particular division lines, e.g., gender, sexuality, age, race, class and so on. Certain social groups are defined as subjects of othering i.e., disadvantaged, or marginalised, who need to be supported, included, and integrated into mainstream society. Research on digital inclusion thus, often focuses on digitally connecting the excluded in these categories. However, as research on intersectionality (Rodriguez et al., 2016; Trauth et al., 2016; Zheng & Walsham, 2021) has shown, such categorisation is often overly simplistic as identities are multi-dimensional and intersectional, and there are also divisions within the same identity-based groups.

While research on digital inclusion, i.e. digitally-enabled social inclusion, is often concerned with *who* should be included, less emphasis is put on *how* they are included, and what are the conditions that enable inclusion or, for that matter, exclusion; whether individuals are included as passive participants due to the existing power structures and institutional norms, or as social

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

actors with a level of subjectivity over their own work and life, and their role in society. Zheng and Walsham (2021) call for information systems (IS) research to move

53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

beyond the concept of digital divide, (the gap between haves and have-nots), or a single-axis analysis of digital inequality and social exclusion. They propose to conceive individuals not merely as *users* of digital technology but as *actors* who are positioned in multiple layers of 8

9 power relations. The former implies an emphasis on digital accessibility and adoption,  
10 whereas the latter focuses on the digital mediation of the production and constraints of 11  
12 agency in social structures and power relations.

13  
14  
15 From a technological perspective, the literature on digital inclusion has often focused on  
16 understanding why certain groups of a population do not possess access or skills to use digital  
17  
18 technology. Seeing individuals as *users* entails a focus on their immediate interaction with  
19  
20 technology, that is, without seeing them as *actors* locally situated in a social context that is  
21  
22 subsumed within social structures that shapes their everyday perception and use of artefacts  
23 (Zheng & Walsham, 2021). Thus, any inclusion or exclusion that takes place for a human  
24  
25 actor is always in relation to other human actors or institutions, cultures, and norms, which  
26  
27 are constituted by power relations and shape the reference point of what inclusion or  
28  
29 exclusion means for that actor.

30  
31 For instance, in some contexts where women are digitally excluded, it is not necessarily due  
32  
33 to the lack of skills or access to technology, but because of the contextual gendered norms  
34  
35 and power structures. For example, in India, people of lower castes may feel excluded in  
36  
37 relation to those of higher castes due to their disadvantaged social position (Sankaran et al.,  
38  
39 2017). Even when they are online, women of lower class or from a marginalised group are  
40  
41 still less likely to speak up or have their voice heard (Jia et al., 2016). Dy et al. (2017) refute

53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
42 the idea that the Internet is a neutral platform for entrepreneurship by showing that women  
43 digital entrepreneurs in the UK are still subject to systemic inequality, such as disadvantages  
44 associated with class, gender and race.  
45

46  
47  
48 In this paper, we move beyond the identity-based approach and the binary oppositions of  
49 digital inclusion and exclusion by situating individuals not across the digital divide but as  
50 situated in power structures and relations. Through a case study of an mHealth application by  
51 community health workers (CHWs) in a primary health care (PHC) setting in rural India, we  
52 explore what it means to be included, under what terms are individuals included, and approach  
this question from the perspective of Foucault (1982)'s analysis of subjectivity and power. This  
paper seeks to advance the understanding of digital inclusion in IS research through  
highlighting the complexity and paradoxical effect of digital adoption, i.e., how a

Page 3 of 49

1  
2  
3 digital technology shapes human subjectivity as underpinned in power structures of the  
4 context. From this perspective, we show that digital technology, embedded in day-to-day  
5 practices, should be seen as what Foucault calls 'technology of power' (ibid.) which has the  
6 dual effect of both subject making and subjugating, or empowering and disempowering. As  
7 such, inclusion and exclusion are relative and co-constitutive, and digital inclusion is a 11  
8 process in flux rather than a fixed end point.  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 In the rest of the paper, we will first review the literature on digital inclusion in IS research,  
16 where this paper is situated, followed by the theoretical conception of subjectivity and power

17  
18 by Michel Foucault. We then present the case background on mHealth and community health  
19  
20 workers in India and details of the methodology. The findings section reveals the dual  
21  
22 processes of technologies of power and the paradoxical effect of empowerment and  
23  
24 disempowerment of the CHWs. Section 6 discusses theoretical implications of the study for  
25  
26 digital inclusion research, and how the Foucauldian perspective may add value to our  
27  
28 understanding about the relationships between technology, power, and social structures, as  
29  
30 well as implications for practice.

## 31 **2. Digital Inclusion in IS Research**

32  
33  
34 The research on technology and social inclusion in the IS literature has been centred on the  
35  
36 divide between the “haves” and “have-nots”, or the diversity in the IT profession (Trauth,  
37  
38 2017). However, it has also long been recognised that social inclusion is multi-faceted, and  
39  
40 involves multiple dimensions of social differences, inequality, and stratification (Urquhart &  
41  
42 Underhill-Sem, 2009; Zheng & Walsham, 2008; Warschauer, 2003). Therefore, social  
43  
44 inclusion in the digital society concerns not only on whether individuals have the skills and  
45  
46 resources to access and benefit from digital technology, but also on “the extent that  
47  
48 individuals...are able to fully participate in society and control their own destinies”  
49  
50 (Warschauer, 2003, p. 8). Thus, digital inclusion goes beyond the digital divide and includes  
51  
52 a multitude of other divisions such as age, gender and education (van Dijk & Hacker, 2003).

53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
The relationship between digital technology and social inclusion is a complicated one  
because digital practices are always embedded in social processes, just as individuals are  
always situated in deep seated socio-cultural norms, power structures and institutional  
environments (Madon et al., 2009). Furthermore, inclusion often co-exists with exclusion. As  
Zheng and Walsham (2008, p. 238) point out, “[i]nclusion in one space can co-exist with



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
  
exclusion in another space (p. 238)". This is because individuals are positioned at the intersection of multiple systems of power, thus digital inclusion and exclusion are relational and contingent upon the interaction of a myriad of social factors (Trauth, 2017).

8  
9  
10 A quick search of IS journals with the key word 'inclusion' shows that there has been a  
11 growing body of research on digital inclusion in IS journals since 2008, notably burgeoning  
12 over the last 5 years. Table 1 includes relevant papers to this topic from the basket of eight  
13 journals - representatives of mainstream IS literature, as well as from two more multi-  
14 disciplinary journals: *Information Technology and People (ITP)*, and *Information Technology  
15 and Development (ITD)*, which are more open to research in relation to digital inequality. A  
16 number of papers have examined how digital technology may facilitate or hinder the  
17 participation of refugees in social integration within communities and society (Alam &  
18 Imran, 2015; Díaz Andrade & Doolin, 2016; Iazzolino, 2021; Martin & Taylor, 2021;  
19 Schoemaker et al., 2021). With the development of mobile banking and fintech, financial  
20 inclusion has received some attention in the last few years (Joia & dos Santos, 2019; Kemal,  
21 2019; Senyo et al., 2020; Chatterjee, 2020; Tan et al., 2021). Gender (Naidoo et al., 2019),  
22 disability (Newman et al., 2017) and sexual minority (Light et al., 2008) remain  
23 underrepresented topics in IS research. Even though this is not an exhaustive review, it  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

33 suggests that only a small number of digital inclusion research papers appear in the basket of  
34 eight journals, whereas the majority are published in journals like ITD and ITP.  
35

36  
37  
38 What does digital inclusion mean when discussed in these studies? We identify four levels of  
39 digital inclusion 1) digital inclusion as technological adoption; 2) digital inclusion as digitally  
40 enabled participation in communities and society; 3) digital inclusion as digitally enabled  
41 empowerment; and 4) digital inclusion as structural transformation. While each level of  
42

43 inclusion necessarily entails the previous one(s), e.g., digital empowerment is usually  
44 predicated upon some form of technological adoption and social interaction, there are a  
45 majority of studies that investigate digital inclusion as technological adoption, compared to  
46 those that explore subsequent social participation, while a smaller subset of research  
47 examines how digital technology might facilitate individual empowerment, i.e., sense of  
48 agency and control, as part of digital inclusion.  
49  
50  
51  
52

Table 1 presents some examples of research with different perceptions of digital inclusion. For example, studies on financial inclusion (Joia & dos Santos, 2019; Kemal, 2019; Senyo et al., 2020; Chatterjee, 2020) tend to focus on the adoption and diffusion of digital banking

Page 5 of 49

1  
2  
3 services, perhaps due to the lack of infrastructure and institutional support present in this  
4 context. Studies that conceive digital inclusion as participation often explore the role of  
5 technology in building social capital (Alam & Imran, 2015), enabling community  
6 participation (Armenta et al., 2012; Baron & Gomez, 2013), or the importance of social  
7 networks, community and institutional support in sustaining digital inclusion of  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

Inclusion as	Perception of ‘the included’	Examples
Technological Adoption	Individuals become users of a particular digital technology	(Chohan & Hu, 2020; Ferreira et al., 2016; Hill et al., 2008; Joia and dos Santos, 2019; Klecun, 2008; Letch & Carroll, 2008; Madon et al., 2009; Martin & Taylor, 2021; Newman et al., 2017; Pal et al., 2020; Pethig & Kroenung, 2019; Ratliffe et al., 2012; Senyo et al., 2020)
Participation	Individuals become members of communities and mainstream society through the use of digital technology	(Alam & Imran, 2015; Armenta et al., 2012; Baron & Gomez, 2013; Gorbacheva et al., 2019; Madon et al., 2009; Newman et al., 2017; Ratliffe et al., 2012)
Empowerment	Individuals as actors rather than passive recipients; inclusion entails greater agential capacity and opportunities, higher level of autonomy and control.	(Baron & Gomez, 2013; Díaz Andrade & Doolin, 2016; Iivari et al., 2018; Kemal, 2019; Light et al., 2008; Naidoo et al., 2019; Tan et al., 2021; Zheng & Walsham, 2008; Tan et al., 2021)
Structural Transformation	Individuals become agents of change	(Tan et al., 2021)

Table 1. Four layers of digital inclusion and examples in the IS literature.

disadvantaged groups (Madon et al., 2009; Newman et al., 2017; Ratliffe et al., 2012).

A small number of studies have shown the effect of empowerment<sup>1</sup>, i.e., improving the level of agency, through digital inclusion. For example, Baron and Gomez (2013) show that

---

<sup>1</sup> Empowerment is both the process, and the outcome of the process, by which people experience a gain in their individual capabilities. This should lead people to reflect and perceive themselves as able to act on their choices/beliefs, to transform those choices into actions and outcomes that are valuable to them (Pandey, 2021)

47 participants of public access computing feel a stronger sense of self-efficacy, self-esteem, and  
48 a sense of increased control of one's life, in addition to connecting with friends and family  
49 and building social networks. Sen's capability approach has been applied to examine  
50 capability expansion, i.e., greater opportunities for wellbeing and agency achievement, in  
51 digital inclusion (Díaz Andrade & Doolin, 2016; Zheng & Walsham, 2008). Díaz Andrade

and Doolin (2016) apply the approach to show how information and communication technology  
(ICT) enhances refugees' level of agency and well-being, fostering their participation in society and control  
over their lives. Zheng and Walsham (2008) argue that 8  
9 social exclusion can be perceived as 'capability deprivation' and point out that one could be  
10 digitally connected yet still deprived of 'agency freedom' to access or use information to  
11 achieve one's own goals due to institutional or structural barriers, and that there is a need to  
12 pay attention to the conversion factors from use of digital technology to capability  
13 enhancement.  
14  
15

16  
17  
18 The fourth level of digital inclusion which eventually leads to structural change was rarely  
19 found in the literature. This refers to the situation where digital users are not only included in  
20 terms of participation and empowerment, but also become 'agents of change' (Pansera &  
21 Owen, 2018). One such example is the case study of Alibaba's successful Fintech application  
22 Yu'E Bao (Tan et al., 2021), where grassroots consumers were empowered by the  
23 saving/investment app that helped improve their skills, capacity and confidence in basic  
24 wealth management, which inadvertently led to the evolution of the technology itself in order  
25 to fulfil the growing demands of grassroots consumers.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 Existence of structural transformation through digital inclusion is rare, and this study  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
34  
35 contributes to some extent as to the reason why this might be the case. Existing research on  
36 ‘digital inclusion as empowerment’ largely focuses on the positive effect of digital  
37  
38 technology i.e., on individual agency, e.g., improving one’s level of autonomy and capability  
39  
40 to take control of one’s life, or to contribute and participate in communities. In other words, it  
41  
42 is assumed that individual empowerment usually takes place without any change to existing  
43 power structures, the very power structures that create inequality and deprivation of  
44  
45 individual agency in the first place. Few studies have investigated the source of digital  
46  
47 inequality, deprivation of agential capacity by paying attention to existing power structures or  
48  
49 considers whether there exists a possibility of empowerment at a structural level of the  
50 disadvantaged or excluded groups, and if not, why.  
51  
52

### ***Incorporating Power in Digital Inclusion***

We argue that it is necessary to explicitly incorporate an analysis of power structures because digital inclusion, like social inclusion has the potential to take many forms under intersectional power structures. This is in line with Heeks (2022) who discusses adverse

Page 7 of 49

1  
2  
3 digital inclusion, where individuals are subjected to various oppressive or unjust conditions  
4  
5 even when they are digitally included. For example, privacy and surveillance concerns have  
6  
7 been part and parcel of digital societies where individuals also benefit from being included in  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

8  
9 digital networks.

10  
11 Conventional conceptions of power assume that power exists as an overarching capacity that  
12 is all-possessive and exercised over others in a mechanical manner. Power is seen primarily  
13 as something that represses, coerces, or denies (Bloomfield & Coombs, 1992; Clegg, 1998).  
14 Such a zero-sum notion of power implies that shifts in organisational power are the result of  
15  
16 conforming changes in the organisational distribution of resources, such as information,  
17  
18 which confer power on their possessors. This understanding of power can be seen in the early  
19  
20 studies of information systems in organisations (Pettigrew, 1972; Markus, 1983; Jasperson et  
21  
22 al., 2002). The weakness of this approach is that it fails to consider that power is relational  
23  
24 (Clegg, 1998). That is, power is not possessed but is a capacity for action that resides in  
25  
26 social relations subsumed in social practices produced and reproduced in everyday life  
27  
28 (Foucault, 1982).  
29

30  
31 Foucault goes beyond a perspective that centres a locus of power on violence or resistance  
32 and instead links human subjectivity, i.e., the creation of self-perceptions and identities, with  
33 the exercise of power (Foucault, 1982). Such a perspective of power enables us to understand  
34  
35 how power circulates in every-day life, and the impact it has on human actors, their identity,  
36  
37 and self-perceptions, as well as their relationships with institutions, organisations, and other  
38  
39 human actors. In short, what differentiates Foucault's concept of power from other theories of  
40  
41 power, is that he links power with human subjectivity, and examines power not just in terms  
42  
43 of control and coercion but also in its positive, enabling and subject-making effects.  
44  
45

46 Instead of privileging technological artefacts, Foucault focuses on the behavioural and social  
47

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
48 technologies encoded and embedded in material technologies (Hassan, 2011; Bakardjieva &  
49 Gaden, 2012). When it comes to linking Foucault with technology use, Bloomfield (1995, p.  
50 497) stresses that in seeing “reality as materially heterogeneous and relational, it becomes  
51 valuable to employ Foucault’s relational notion of power. This is because technology  
52 increasingly mediates how power circulates, is exercised and what it produces”.

Within IS research, Foucault’s concepts have been widely adopted, including the research on  
surveillance technologies (Lyon, 1994; 2003), the use of information and databases (Poster,

Page 8 of 49

1996), discipline, information use and technologies at work (Zuboff, 1988; Webster, 1995;  
Doolin, 2004), the link between power relations and IT (Doolin, 1998; Brooke, 2002; Introna,  
2003; 2001), and IT resistance and technology failure (Avgerou & McGrath, 2007). 8  
9 However, no IS research has applied Foucault’s theorisation of power and human subjectivity  
10 (Willcocks, 2004).

11  
12  
13 Subjectivity in the IS literature usually refers not to human subjectivity but to ‘interpretation  
14 of subjective meaning’ (Narock et al., 2012, p. 180), for example, the inability of a single-  
15 context, single interpretation system to be open for meaning in a growing inter-organisational  
16 context (Schultze, 2000, Narock et al., 2012, Mingers & Standing, 2018; McKinney & Yoos,  
17 2019). In contrast, our interest in this paper is on the production of human subjectivity in  
18 everyday social practices (Siles, 2012), that is, a human actor’s individual identity and self-  
19 perception and its relationship with structures of power that is shaped in everyday life. This is  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 because an understanding of the circulation of power in routinised social practices and its  
25 effect on human subjectivity can help us understand the conditions that enable digital  
26 effect on human subjectivity can help us understand the conditions that enable digital  
27 inclusion or exclusion of human actors.  
28  
29  
30

31 Our research question is as follows - *how does digital adoption interact with power structures* 32  
33 *and impact the subjectivity of individuals in the processes of digital inclusion?*  
34  
35

36 In the next section we will further explore the Foucauldian conceptualisation (1982) of the  
37 subject and power, which will be used to reveal the complex relationship between technology  
38 and human subjectivities and its impact on CHWs situated in existing power structures of the  
39 primary health care system.  
40  
41  
42  
43

### 44 **3. Theoretical Perspective: Foucault and ‘Technologies of Power’**

45

46 To recap, we start with the conception of digital inclusion not as digital access or  
47 participation, but digitally enabled empowerment (Díaz Andrade & Doolin, 2016; Iivari et al.,  
48 2018), i.e., the integration of individuals in formal and informal institutions and social life  
49 with a sense of self-efficacy, respect, and agency. However, we believe there is no simple or  
50 linear connection between digital adoption and empowerment. Empowerment often occurs  
51 simultaneously with disempowerment (Pandey & Zheng, 2019). Digital inclusion is thus a  
52 contested and dynamic process entrenched with power relations. To analyse and reveal the  
complexity of digital inclusion, we turn to Foucault's theorisation of power and subjectivity.

Page 9 of 49

1  
2  
3 Foucault (1977) states that power is omnipresent, i.e., it is found in all social interactions. It is  
4 interwoven with and revealed in all kinds of social relations of everyday life. Power not only  
5  
6  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

has a repressive function but also plays a positive role, in that it also enables actions or capacities of human actors i.e., creates subject positions (Lynch, 2011). In his analysis of power, power is seen as something that enables the identities of human actors, but also limits them, and these two actions inseparably constitute power (Foucault, 1980).

This paradoxical effect of ‘technologies of power’ is part and parcel of his concept of governmentality. Government according to Foucault (1977) refers to systematised regulated and reflected modes of power (a ‘technology’) or a discursive field in which the exercising of power is rationalised (follows a specific reasoning) (Hindess, 1996, p. 106). This reasoning defines the fulfilment of human action and the means to achieve it. In other words, technologies of power are social mechanisms, techniques, and procedures for directing human behaviour (Foucault, 1997, p. 68). It is structuring the possible field of action of others, by employing laws or tactics or both, to arrange things in such a way that the means and ends can be achieved in a particular manner by human actors (Rose et al., 2009; Lemke, 2002; Hindess, 1996).

On the other hand, the exercise of power can be instantiated only if the subjects have a certain degree of freedom. Only if there is room for possibilities in which human actors can act, react, or behave in different ways, can power be exercised to limit it (Lorenzini, 2018). Thus, by structuring the field of action of human actors, governmental mechanisms of power, in

40 particular, also shape the actor's relations to themselves and others. In doing so, human  
41  
42 subjectivity becomes something not that is pre-given, and outside of socio-cultural norms and  
43 values, but something that is socially, culturally, and historically constituted (Heyes, 2011).  
44

45  
46 Human subjectivity (creation of identities, and self-assessment of those) then is both  
47  
48 individualised in nature but also subject to control. Foucault's 'subject' imbibes two  
49  
50 meanings – to either be subject to someone else through control and dependence, and to also  
51 be tied to one's own identity by conscience or self-knowledge (Foucault, 1982) - '[b]oth  
52 meanings suggest a form of power which subjugates and makes subject to' (p. 781).

Thus, subjectivity is not an essentialist or fixed sense of the self, but continuously performed  
in practice. Such a perspective is aligned with that of the practice theory and the perspective of  
performativity well known in the IS literature (Bourdieu, 1977; Feldman & Orlikowski,

Page 10 of 49

2011), which sees social life as emergent and constituted in day to day social practice which in  
turn shapes human agency and subjectivity, even though the latter is rarely the focus of  
practice-based studies in the IS literature.

8  
9  
10 Governmentality illuminates the entanglement between practices of domination and self-  
11 formation (Foucault, 1993). Human actors are made docile and useful through numerous  
12  
13 vectors of disciplinary practices and management, such as those implicated in systems of  
14  
15 education, medicine, military etc., that they engage with in everyday life, whilst also retaining  
16 the ability to self-assess and be self-responsible for their actions that are largely governed by  
17  
18 the very same disciplinary practices (Lemke, 2002). Human actors then become both 19  
20 'autonomous agents' and as 'clients to be administered' (Dean, 1994, p. 166). Thus, self-  
21  
22 motivation and self-responsibilisation are integrated into structures of domination and

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
23 coercion. Therefore, it is not possible to study technologies of power without an  
24 understanding of the rationality or reasoning underpinning them (Yates, 2002; Foucault, 26  
25 1997). From this perspective, we are led to ask how human actor's embody certain subject-  
26 positions (made subjects and subjugated to), how are they positioned as subjects? According  
27 to what reasoning (rationality) are they situated in social relations or power with others?  
28 (Yates, 2002)

31  
32  
33  
34  
35 The above discussion illustrates what we mean when use the term 'power' and its relationship  
36 with the 'human subjectivity'. Through the case study, we seek to reveal how technology (in  
37 the form of mHealth adoption) simultaneously produces and reproduces CHWs' human  
38 subjectivity and its governing power structures in the processes of digital inclusion. The next  
39 section presents the case background and methodology.

## 44 **4 Methodology**

### 47 ***4.1 Background: mHealth and Community Health Worker Processes in India***

48  
49 Community health workers in India build bridges between the formal health systems and  
50 rural communities, working to improve the relevance, acceptability, and accessibility of  
51 formal health services. Functions of CHWs include conducting home visits, collecting health  
52 information about the community/village members, reporting the health information to the  
local health centres; assessment and preventive treatment of disease; education and counselling  
and referrals for further care (Lehmann & Sanders, 2007; Braun et al., 2013). With their links  
to the health system, CHWs can also offer an entry point and, at times,

1  
2  
3 directly provide health services, such as contraceptive methods, home-based care for people  
4  
5 living with AIDS and community-integrated management of childhood illnesses (Lehmann & 6  
7 Sanders, 2007; Ruton et al., 2018).

8  
9  
10 Community health workers are mostly rural housewives or young women enrolled into  
11 primary health care either as unpaid volunteers or low level, community-based health  
12  
13 workers. They usually do not have other employment opportunities outside the household.  
14  
15 The greatest value of the CHW lies in the fact that they reside in the very communities they  
16 serve. Typically, CHWs share the same linguistic, ethnic, and cultural background as the  
17  
18 beneficiaries (Agarwal et al., 2015). Once trained, CHWs are able to build trusting  
19  
20 relationships with communities and share health information within their communities using  
21  
22 culturally acceptable and understandable methods (Agarwal et al., 2015; Bonnell et al.,  
23 2017). This adds a moral dimension to community health work (Hampshire et al., 2016),  
24  
25 where CHWs feel a sense of altruism and social responsibility. For instance, Prince and  
26  
27 Brown (2016) describe that the implicit requirement for CHWs in East Africa is to  
28  
29 “demonstrate a commitment to community development underlined by selflessness and the  
30 dedication of free labour”. Such institutional rhetoric arguably then “shapes CHWs own 31  
32 political subjectivities, motivations, and capacities” (Maes, 2014, p. 108).

33  
34  
35 Evidence has also shown that even though the CHWs have been viewed as an important  
36  
37 component in primary healthcare, they do not always receive adequate political and  
38  
39 institutional support (SOCHARA report, 2005; Som, 2016). This is reflected in issues such as  
40  
41 the lack of training and supervision, poor compensation, shortage of institutional funding,

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
41  
42 changing managerial and political rationales, and so on (Early et al., 2019; Ruton et al., 2018;  
43 Agarwal et al., 2015; Braun et al., 2013).

44  
45  
46 CHWs are often expected to take on extreme workloads, resulting in delays and errors in data  
47  
48 collection and slow response to emergency cases, which incur blame and pressure from the  
49  
50 PHC centres. In that sense, health workers in their everyday workflow enact more than one  
51  
52 subjectivity towards their role. On the one hand, there is an aspect of selflessness and care in  
providing health care to communities whilst on the other hand, there is a sense of compelled  
compliance with the duties and workload imposed upon them.

mHealth research has shown that the introduction of mobile phones or tablets within CHW  
practices help improve the efficiency in the workflow and the quality of health service

Page 12 of 49

9  
10 delivery (Nyemba-Mudende & Chigona, 2018). Indian examples such as ICTCCS in the state  
11 of Bihar (Carmicahel et al., 2016) mSakhi in Maharashtra (Patel et al., 2019), ImTecho In  
12 Gujarat (Modi et al., 2017), ReMind in Uttar Pradesh (Prinja et al., 2018), CPHM in 8  
13 Karnataka (Naik et al., 2020) and MfM in Jharkand (Ilozumba et al., 2018) are some of the  
14  
15 mHealth interventions that are managed through governmental partnership with NGOs,  
16  
17 where CHWs use mobile communication technologies in the form of smart phones and/or  
18  
19 tablets to collect and report health data from their villages which is then fed into the  
20  
21 governmental HIS (health information system) platform through the PHC centres.

18 mHealth applications often produce a mixed impact on the work of CHWs. For example, the  
19  
20 ICTCCS mHealth application improved the efficiency and timeliness in providing prenatal  
21  
22 and antenatal care to the community yet exacerbated existing coordination problems amongst  
23 the CHWs (Carmichael et al., 2016). Other issues include hardware, poor infrastructure, and  
24  
25 the lack of training (Ilozumba et al., 2018). Moreover, these issues make it difficult for  
26  
27 CHWs to focus on the more social and altruistic aspects of their work such as having  
28  
29 meaningful health related communication within their communities.  
30

31 The combination of both social and infrastructural barriers questions the very relevance of  
32  
33 mHealth interventions. Schoen et al., (2017) suggest that a qualitative inquiry into CHW and  
34  
35 mHealth research is needed to understand the various social factors that act as barriers for  
36  
37 successful mHealth adoption by CHWs. In addition, what is also required is a clear  
38  
39 theoretical perspective in both systems design and CHW-mHealth research to understand the  
40  
41 acceptability and usability of mHealth programs within CHW work practices (Ilozumba et al.,  
42  
43 2018).

#### 44 ***4.2 Research Site***

45  
46  
47 The PHC centre where this study was conducted is located at the foothills within the  
48  
49 Chamrajnagar district of the southern state of Karnataka, India. It has a relatively high  
50  
51 population of indigenous people, e.g., Soliga tribal population, who have lived in and around  
52  
the thickly forested and hilly areas for centuries, and is one of the worse-off districts with  
respect to health and development (Seshadri et al., 2019).

The PHC centre in this area is managed through a public private partnership model, where the  
state government of Karnataka, in collaboration with a local non-governmental organization  
(NGO), is responsible for the provision of the required human resources and logistics to

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

Page 13 of 49

1  
2

3 deliver preventive, promotive, curative, and rehabilitative health care services (Nayak, 2010;  
4  
5 Prasanth, 2011). The NGO and the government, employs health workers, who are categorised 6  
7 in various tiers – tier 1, 2 3, and their functions include going on field visits, performing ante-  
8  
9 natal care (ANC) registration, educating expectant mothers about maternal health and  
10 children on hygiene, following up each patient throughout their pregnancy until delivery, and  
11 following up on child immunisation (Karun Trust Annual Report 2019-20). The PHC centre  
12 consists of medical rooms, one medical officer, one administrator, one dentist, one block  
13 health education officer, four staff nurses, one pharmacist, one laboratory technician, a  
14  
15 supervisor, four tier 1 CHWs, six tier 2 CHWs, 4 to 5 tier 3 CHWs and two male health 18  
16  
17 workers (MHWs) (Karuna Trust Annual Report 2019-20).  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 Despite the integration of the PHC system into the communities, much of the rural population  
23 continued to suffer from acute chronic diseases and did not primarily depend on PHC due to  
24  
25 their existing faith in traditional medicine and health practices. Living in the hills, many  
26  
27 community members found it costly and inconvenient to travel to the PHC centre. Hence,  
28  
29 health workers became pivotal in providing this population with preventive services and for  
30 linking them with the PHC centre. In 2015, an mHealth device (android tablet) was provided  
31  
32 to the community health workers to improve their existing workflow which is the focus of 33  
34 our study.

53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### 4.3 Data Collection

Data was collected by the first author between January and February in the year 2016. This data collection process was grounded in an interpretive and qualitative approach towards unpacking the impact of the mHealth intervention on the subjectivity of the CHWs. The research phenomenon here is the regular engagement of the CHWs with the mHealth technology and how it shapes the experience of CHWs as embedded in existing social relations and institutional norms. Thus, the data collection includes not only the CHWs but also a diverse set of relevant social actors to capture the interpretations and social relations of all the actors associated with the phenomenon.

Data collection methods included semi-structured interviews with the tier 1 and tier 2 CHWs, an mHealth engineer, and the PHC supervisor. A focus group interview with community members was also included. Finally, participant observation of tier 1 and 2 CHWs was conducted during their routine workflow. The researcher was able to record and investigate

Page 14 of 49

the day-to-day engagement with the technology by the CHWs, alongside their interactions with the community members and the PHC staff, which generated insights of the power dynamics between the PHC staff and CHWs, and CHWs and community members.

Semi-structured interviews started with broad open-ended questions to understand what CHWs, the PHC supervisor and the mHealth engineer generally felt about the technology and its impact on their workflow, and slowly moved on to more specific questions where they could expand on their insights a bit more. The questions slightly differed depending on how



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
16 strongly associated with the technology the participant was (i.e., CHWs emphasised more on  
17  
18 how they felt about using the technology, i.e., feeling confident or burdened, versus the PHC  
19  
20 staff who focused more on the efficiency aspect of the technology use, for instance, improved  
21  
22 data collection and surveillance). Interviews of the CHWs were conducted during the  
23  
24 observation phase. Due to their busy workload, it was not always possible to detach the CHW  
25  
26 for long periods of time for an interview. The interview duration depended on the availability  
27  
28 of free time of each of the CHWs during their routine work tasks. Table A1 in the Appendix  
29  
30 presents the general field observation guide; A2 provides the list of the interviewees and the  
31  
32 duration of their interview, whereas A3 includes the interview protocol.

33  
34 Lastly, a focus group study was conducted which included 15 community members. The  
35  
36 focus group consisted mostly of beneficiaries (pregnant women, women with infants, with a  
37  
38 few husbands, and some of the village elderly). The purpose of the group discussion was to  
39  
40 observe if the community members felt a change in how the technology was impacting the  
41  
42 ability of the CHWs to deliver the health services to them (Ritchie & Lewis, 2003). The  
43  
44 group discussion also helped develop a rich picture of the social context within which the  
45  
46 phenomenon was experienced. Community members are an essential part of the  
47  
48 phenomenon, as they are at the receiving end of the health services which are delivered by  
49  
50 the CHWs. Any change in the quality of the health services (e.g., improved emergency case  
51  
52 management) was directly attributed to the CHWs, who in turn attributed it to the use of the  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

50 tablet.

51  
52 Together, these three sources of data demonstrate the multiple perspectives on mHealth adoption and its entanglement with CHW work practices in this specific context.

#### 4.4 Analysis of Data

Page 15 of 49

1  
2  
3 The data analysis moved between a deductive and inductive approach (Trochim, 2006;  
4  
5 Creswell, 2013). The researcher went into the field with *a priori* assumption that the  
6  
7 mHealth application served as an empowering tool. However, the data collected revealed a  
8  
9 rather different pattern from the assumption. Thus, data analysis involved taking a step back  
10 and starting an iterative process between data and the literature to find a suitable theoretical  
11  
12 lens. Through a long period of exploration and discussion, Foucault's concept of the subject  
13  
14 and power (1982) was adopted to examine human subjectivity as embedded in power  
15 relations.

16  
17  
18 Using thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006), the dominant theme that emerged was the  
19  
20 simultaneous empowerment and disempowerment of CHWs. We followed the phases of  
21  
22 thematic analysis: familiarising ourselves with the data, generating initial patterns, searching  
23 for themes, reviewing themes, naming themes, and finally building the construct (Braun &  
24  
25 Clarke, 2006). Field notes were used to cross-reference the themes emerging from the  
26  
27 interview transcripts and to juxtapose the health workers' accounts with the accounts given  
28  
29 by the PHC staff and the community members.

30  
31 Two themes were generated from the data analysis: 'empowering the subject' and

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
32  
33 'reproduction of power'. Table A4 in the Appendix shows examples of the open, selective,  
34 and theoretical codes, as well as corresponding quotes. Selective codes such as 'Streamlining  
35 of data collection and reporting processes by the CHWs', 'improved relationship between the  
36 CHW and the PHC centre', 'changing perceptions of the CHWs by the PHC staff', and  
37 'changing perceptions of the CHWs by the community members' helped us analyse human  
38 subjectivity. CHWs started to experience acknowledgment and visibility by their immediate  
39 network of people that was previously lacking. An enhancement in the sense of self, albeit  
40 derived from their network of power relations, helped address the complex aspects of  
41 inclusion. On the other hand, selective codes such as 'increase in monitoring and surveillance  
42 of CHWs', 'CHWs experiencing lack of institutional support' and the 'change in power  
43 dynamics between tier 1 and tier 2 CHWs' helped us analyse aspects of continued control and  
44 domination of the primary health system over the CHWs. The state's governing system was  
45 further strengthened by the monitoring and surveillance capabilities of technology, thus,  
46 reinforcing CHWs' perspective of being treated as a point of blame, consequently generating  
47 a sense of exclusion.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

## 5. Case Study

The traditional method of data collection and reporting on maternal and child health, such as ante- and post-natal care registration, childbirth, and immunisation registrations, and follow up on treatments, would entail the tier 1 CHWs to manually write and record data in paper-

8  
9 based registers. Each health worker would be allotted a set of household visits to conduct  
10 within their given radius. The health workers, during these house visits, would have  
11 discussions with the beneficiaries about their health, ongoing  
12 treatments, birth/maternal registration and follow ups. They would manually fill the required  
13 health information into the register. Sometimes each CHW would conduct 20-30 household  
14 visits in a day and the data would have to be entered in 25-30 registers. The data would then  
15 be reported to the local PHC centre at the end of the week. Frequently, this would be difficult  
16 for one health worker to do alone so tier 2 CHWs would assist them with it. The process was  
17 thus labour intensive, time consuming and stressful, often leading to delays and errors in the  
18 data. In the management of emergencies, it proved to be especially problematic as the PHC  
19 centre would be ill prepared to provide the right kind of treatment or care, sometimes even  
20 resulting in patient mortality. These systematic problems would be attributed to the failures of  
21 CHWs, thereby damaging their credibility among the PHC staff and in the communities.

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32 In 2015, an mHealth intervention, i.e., an android tablet, was launched to assist and  
33 streamline the health worker's workflow. The tablet was mainly used by the tier 1 CHWs and  
34 a few tier 2 CHWs who assisted them, prioritising maternal and child health services. The  
35 device supported: storage and retrieval of information; a smoother interface to feed in and  
36 view the data; syncing the data from the tablet to the computer systems in the PHC centre;  
37 automatic collation of data through the inbuilt software; GPS functionality and a reminder  
38 system.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
44  
45 **5.1 The Power of Subject Making**  
46

47  
48 *5.1.1 Higher Level of Self-efficacy*  
49

50  
51 The introduction of the tablet considerably streamlined the work processes described above.  
52 The tablet hosts an inbuilt software that contains various technical features to assist the health workers in filling the data systematically, which is automatically collated by the tablet software. The tablet is also amenable to being physically carried to different households with all the information at hand. These changes had an inadvertent impact on the relationship between the health workers and the PHC staff.

Page 17 of 49

1  
2  
3 After the intervention of technology, the PHC supervisor was noted as saying -  
4

5  
6 *“although we always trusted the judgment of the health workers as they are the ones who*  
7 *directly interact with the community, but due to the poor data quality it was difficult to take*  
8 *their judgment on the beneficiaries seriously...the data was of poor quality because of them...the registers would be filled with mistakes and delays...*  
9  
10  
11

12  
13  
14 *But today they are the primary users of the tablet and are also the ones who put the data in it*  
15 *which is then reported to us. This improvement in reporting has increased our trust on them,*  
16 *the data has less errors and as soon as the tablet catches mobile connectivity it syncs the data*  
17  
18

19 *into the PHC centre computer system.”*  
20  
21

22 When asked about this change to the health workers, they responded by saying, they felt  
23 happy (*khushi*) with this change in perception by the PHC staff. They feel that they are relied  
24 on for their feedback, as they are the ones who manage the data that is fed into the tablet.  
25  
26

27 They feel important because they are included in the conversations that the PHC staff have 28  
29 about the critical beneficiaries of the village. Jaya and Kiran were noted as saying –  
30  
31

32 *“The PHC staff take us more seriously now, and sometimes the PHC staff now even ask for*  
33 *our opinion, especially when it comes to certain serious cases. They ask our opinion when 34*  
35 *they are going through the beneficiary information that has been put by us in the tablet”.*  
36  
37

38 Thus, by being able to contribute opinions, CHWs are able to showcase their knowledge,  
39 gained from the field, on how to help critical beneficiaries. Previously, before the  
40 intervention of technology, CHWs were overburdened with the data collection and reporting  
41 tasks, which would often prevent them from having meaningful health-related conversations  
42 with the community members. Better communication with the beneficiaries helps the CHWs  
43 gain knowledge about the health-related situation of the community, which is put to use when  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48 the PHC staff ask for the CHW’s opinion, and they are able to provide it.  
49  
50

51 Furthermore, community members also attributed to the CHW improvements with reference 52 to the  
distribution of pregnancy benefits provided by the government to maternal beneficiaries of low-income  
households in the country. Previously, there had often been misallocations in allotting the correct pregnancy  
benefit to the recipients by village committee members. But today, this issue has also been considerably  
streamlined. In the focus group conversations, some committee members were noted as saying:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
*“Since the introduction of the tablet, the CHWs have started to give us better quality information about the beneficiaries. This has had a direct effect on the scheme allocation.*

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
*Initially because we didn’t have much information or CHWs provided us incorrect information, it would make it difficult for us to allocate the right scheme to the right person. But today the process of scheme allocation has become much more streamlined, as we now receive accurate information from the CHWs.”*

### 16 5.1.2 Increased Appreciation & Altruism 17

18 Previously, the CHWs were in a vulnerable social position because the PHC staff, by virtue  
19  
20 of their hierarchical superiority, would attribute the mismanagement of the data to the  
21  
22 incompetency of CHWs. Additionally, the community members would also express their  
23  
24 dissatisfaction, and blame the CHWs for their inability to fulfil their health-related needs.  
25

26 With the introduction of mHealth devices, the automatic collation feature of the tablet helped  
27  
28 in improving the response time of managing high-risk pregnancies. The in-built feature of the  
29  
30 tablet would automatically collate the data and start beeping red if it recognised that a  
31  
32 beneficiary was identified high risk and in need of immediate assistance. Upon receiving this  
33  
34 notification, the health workers would alert the PHC staff by calling them from their mobile  
35  
36 phone. Additionally, when there would be good mobile connectivity, the tablet would also  
37  
38 automatically sync the information into the PHC computer systems.

39 The improvement in the management of the emergency cases has aided in reducing the blame  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

40  
41 aspect. Thus, CHWs who had previously felt that their hard work was not recognised, now  
42 felt less excluded and more appreciated. CHWs now felt that their hard-work and knowledge  
43 in the field had become visible in the eyes of the communities and PHC staff, as compared to  
44 before. These changes have made CHWs feel an increase in their confidence level and self  
45 efficacy, which has made them feel closer to their altruistic self, i.e., being able to nurture  
46 health-related change in their communities and preventing the deaths of critical patients. One  
47 of the tier 1 health workers, Bhavna was noted as saying -  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

*“We feel driven to do our job now. Before, even the community members would blame us for not being able to deal with emergency situations. There would be a lot of confusion and we would be seen, as not being capable of doing our jobs. But today we have more confidence*

Page 19 of 49

1  
2

3 *when it comes to dealing with emergency cases. We feel happy to be able to serve our 4*  
4 *community, prevent deaths, and get appreciated for it”.*

5  
6  
7

## 8 ***5.2 The Power of Subjugating***

9  
10

11 Despite the positive effects of technology, there were several instances recorded where  
12 technology also reinforced existing inefficient processes that translated into negative effects  
13 for the CHWs.  
14

15  
16

### 17 ***5.2.1 Increase in Workload***

18

19 Infrastructure issues and fear of accountability caused an overall increase in the  
20 workload of the CHWs. Mobile connectivity is a key aspect that is necessary to enable the  
21 data from the tablet to be remotely synced to the PHC centre computer systems; having  
22  
23

53  
54

55  
56

57  
58

59  
60



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
24  
25 enough battery charge on the tablet enables the CHWs to smoothly go about their remote data  
26 collection and reporting process. In practice however, these technical advantages translated  
27  
28 into technical issues. For instance, every time the tablet ran out of battery charge or the  
29  
30 internet data allowance, the health workers would be required to travel back to the PHC  
31  
32 centre to sync the data with the PHC computer systems or to charge the tablet. This rule was  
33 mandated by the PHC centre in order to maintain the quality, longevity, and the correct utility  
34  
35 of the tablets. As the Chamrajnagar district is a rural and tribal area, electricity issues are  
36  
37 prevalent. Thus, health workers would have to frequently return to the PHC centre located in  
38 the foothills which disrupted their workflow and caused delays. Jaya (Tier 1 health worker)  
39  
40 was noted as saying,

41  
42  
43 *“During busy periods, it becomes quite tiring to go all the way back to the PHC centre to*  
44 *sync the data or charge the tablet, especially when I am in the middle of collecting*  
45 *beneficiary information. Sometimes the tablet would have to be left there overnight for*  
46 *charging which means I would have to travel all the way to the PHC centre in the morning to*  
47 *pick up the tablet before I start my day”.*  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

Moreover, the workload was further increased when tier 1 health workers were burdened with  
new tasks aligning with the intervention of technology. Health workers were asked to collect  
beneficiary identification information (IDs) in order to maintain a digital repository of the  
village members IDs. Binita and Kiran explained,

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*“You see we were also going from one house to another to collect their identification information. So now if someone loses their ID card or forgets to get it to the PHC or subcentre, they can still come and get treated as their identification information is digitally recorded”.*

This process of doubly collecting information in addition to their existing responsibilities made their routine workflow even more time consuming and cumbersome. Especially as many community members were reluctant to give their individual or family information.

Health workers also feared liability from the loss of data. If the tablet had a hardware issue, the data would be lost and the CHW would be held responsible for it by the PHC centre.

Thus, this led to double data collection (paper-based and tablet-based), i.e., CHWs would have to enter the data in both registers and tablets simultaneously. Albeit health workers preferred using the tablet as it was easier to carry and afforded automatic collation, they (Jaya, Binita, Bhavna, and Kiran) complained about feeling overburdened –

*“Initially we had to only collect the information from our routine house visits. But since the use of the tablet our workload has increased! We have to take all the existing information from the registers and transfer it to the tablet, to also continue to doubly collect data in both registers and tablets, and finally to also collect the beneficiary identification information to maintain the digital repository of the IDs of the beneficiaries! Too much work.”*

The fear of data accountability supplemented by technical issues further reinforced the existing problems leading to health workers feeling more disempowered than before.

### 5.2.2 Heightened Surveillance

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
43  
44  
45 The notification feature and the implementation of an electronic dashboard at the PHC centre  
46 subjected the health workers to further scrutiny. Should a health worker forget to complete  
47  
48 the tasks or was running behind schedule, the tablet would send them a reminder and the  
49  
50 PHC staff would be able to monitor the progress of the health worker's task completion. The  
51  
52 health workers had mixed feelings about this. Some said that the notification feature was  
useful in reminding them of forgotten tasks, such as timely immunisation visits. Others felt  
uncomfortable with being monitored during their routine work processes and wanted the space  
and freedom to do the tasks at their own pace and discretion. For instance, due to the  
institutional rules and norms adhering to tablet use, health workers were compelled to do the  
house visit when the tablet reminded them to, or visit the PHC centre when the tablet ran out

Page 21 of 49

1  
2  
3 of battery. This was further supplemented with an increased monitoring of their activities  
4  
5 through the electronic dashboard. Some health workers now worked under pressure because  
6  
7 they were fearful of being held accountable and losing their jobs. Binita and Bhavna  
8  
9 commented,  
10  
11 *“What is this, our routine job of conducting house to house visits is hard as it is, and now we*  
12 *are being watched! We would like our freedom to do our tasks when it is suitable for us. We*  
13 *have other responsibilities as well like taking care of our children and husbands or doing our*  
14  
15

16 *own household work.”*

17  
18  
19 Structurally and institutionally, the health worker role does not permit them to question the  
20 legitimacy of technology use. Therefore, they become docile users of technology and do what  
21 the technology directs them to do, even if they find certain aspects of it inconvenient. Their  
22 existing subservient relationship with the PHC centre discourages them from raising any  
23 complaints or showing resistance towards the rules adhering to technology use. Thus, they  
24 normalise being overburdened as part of their work routine. In this situation, technology  
25 becomes a medium to reproduce the PHC system’s control over the CHWs, undermining the  
26 CHWs’ autonomy and flexibility in their everyday workflow which they were previously  
27 entitled to.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

### 36 *5.2.3 Exclusion within CHWs*

37  
38 The primary healthcare structure in India specifies an official hierarchy of health workers 39  
40 consisting of three cadres of health workers (Scott et al., 2020). The tier 1 or senior level 41  
42 health workers are recruited by the PHC centre based on their education qualification  
43 (whether they completed basic schooling) and are paid a salary. The tier 2 health workers are  
44 recruited as volunteers and are paid based on meeting their targets (set by the PHC centre)  
45 and operate at the village level. The primary task of tier 2 CHWs is to assist the tier 1 health  
46 workers in data collection and reporting and to also sensitise the community on the health,  
47 nutrition, and sanitation issues. Tier 3 CHWs primarily educate children on health and  
48 sanitation and are generally considered the junior most cadre of CHWs. In our PHC centre,  
49  
50  
51  
52

Page 22 of 49

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
tier 3 CHWs were not involved in the use of the tablet, as their primary role did not entail data collection and reporting<sup>2</sup> (Scott et al., 2020).

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
While in some PHC centres the tier 2 workers directly report to tier 1 workers, in others they work collaboratively to deliver the health services to their community (Scott et al., 2019). In the PHC centre where this study was conducted, the tier 2 workers worked collaboratively with the tier 1 health workers, e.g., undertaking certain house visits on behalf of the tier 1 CHWs. However, this collaborative dynamic was negatively impacted by the intervention of technology.

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
During the implementation phase, the institutional rule (set by the PHC centre) on tablet use stipulated that only tier 1 health workers would be allowed to use it, as they were better educated, experienced, and trained. In cases where the existing relationship between the tier 1 and 2 health workers followed along the lines of sisterhood, the senior health workers, at their discretion, would let the tier 2 CHWs use the tablet thus enabling the latter to continue to assist the former in routine tasks. But in cases, where the existing relationship between the two tiers was more formal and less personal, the senior workers would prevent the junior health workers from using the tablet. As a result, the junior workers felt excluded and unimportant, or in some cases even felt belittled and discriminated. As Yashti and Rajeshri 34

---

<sup>2</sup> The role specification of the tiers varies from state to state. Therefore, what was applicable in the PHC centre in this study might not apply in other PHC centres. In a country like India, with 28 different state governments, the responsibilities and use of technology by the different tier health workers varies depending on every state's health program, goals, and mission.

(tier 2 health workers) shared,

“We were the biggest support they (tier 1 workers) had before. The process of filling up 2538  
30 registers and then reporting it to the PHC centre was not an easy task. Many a times we  
would relieve them of some of the burden by doing the house visits ourselves and reporting  
the data to them, who would then fill the register. But since they have started using the  
technology, they do not involve us as much, they want all the recognition for themselves! We  
request the supervisor at the PHC centre sometimes, to let us use the tablet as well.”

The inclusion of technology in the existing work processes has thus inadvertently led to the  
exclusion of tier 2 health workers. While this was not reported by all, some tier 2 workers did feel less  
valued and redundant, and therefore less motivated to perform their responsibilities.

Page 23 of 49

1  
2

3 Thus, the introduction of the technology to some extent reinforced and formalised the 4  
5 hierarchy amongst health workers leading to the exclusion of some.

6  
7

### 8 **5.3 Analysis: The Subjugation and Subject Making of CHWs**

9

10 The above case of mHealth adoption reveals why digital inclusion as empowerment may be  
11 problematic and contested. From a Foucauldian perspective, the human subject is both tied to  
12 ones’ own identity but also subject to control and dependence. The mHealth application  
13 shaped the subjectivity of the CHWs embedded within the system of power and reconfigured  
14 their positionality and experience of being simultaneously empowered and disempowered, or  
15 simultaneously included and excluded, in the PHC system.

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 The intervention of technology triggered a change in the dynamics of the CHWs’ network of

53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
23 social relations. Due to the streamlining of the CHW's work processes, they received more  
24  
25 respect than blame from the PHC staff and community for their contributions to the health  
26  
27 and wellbeing of the community. Consequently, making CHWs feel psychologically  
28 empowered and motivated to perform their routine tasks as a health worker of the  
29  
30 community. Technology as enacted in CHW's daily practices engenders a sense of  
31  
32 responsibility through the changed perceptions of the very actors (social relations) that  
33  
34 originally reduced them to a point of blame and exclusion. In a Foucauldian sense, the self-  
35 motivation and self-esteem regarding their CHW role was enhanced through the use of  
36  
37 technology.

38  
39  
40 However, the very same technology also reinforced a sense of powerlessness and subjugation  
41  
42 of the CHWs. The mHealth application reproduced the existing hierarchical relationship and  
43 strengthened the disciplinary control over the CHWs (Foucault, 1977), through real-time  
44  
45 surveillance and tracking by the PHC centre. Therefore the CHWs' sense of autonomy is also  
46  
47 significantly restricted by the very same disciplinary control (Rose et al., 2006) which is  
48 further supplemented with the lack of institutional support. The digitisation of data collection  
49  
50 and its visible benefits also legitimises the excessive workload of data collection added to the  
51  
52 CHW's daily workflow at the expense of their wellbeing and personal lives. Meanwhile, a  
digital divide emerged between Tier 1 and Tier 2 CHWs, leading to the exclusion of the latter,  
and undermining the existing sisterhood among CHWs. Thus, the heightened sense of

autonomy and responsibility is incorporated into the institutional structures of domination of the PHC centre.

From the perspective of Foucault's governmentality (Burchell et al., 1991), the technology artefact is entangled and enacted in the CHWs' network of power relations, which normalises control and discipline by the PHC centre, while also fostering self-motivation by injecting 8  
9 new meanings to the job role of the health worker. The analytical lens of subjectivity and  
10 power reveals how technology shapes the reconciliation and tensions between individual 11  
12 efficacy and the domination of power.

13  
14  
15 To summarise, the empowerment of individuals takes place within existing systems of  
16 domination and control and occurs simultaneously with disempowerment. This paper thus  
17  
18 makes contributions to the literature on digital inclusion by providing a more granulated  
19  
20 analysis. In the rest of the section, we will discuss the contributions and implications of the  
21  
22 study to both theory and practice. 23

## 24 **6 Implications for Digital Inclusion Research and Practice**

### 25 26 27 *6.1 Linking Power, Technology, and the Subject*

28  
29 There is a general neglect of power in understanding digital inclusion in most IS research. In  
30 this study, Foucault's analytics of power is used to explore the constitution of human  
31  
32 subjectivity as part of digital inclusion. Foucault has been often applied in IS literature to  
33  
34 study surveillance and power (Lyon, 1994; 2003; Doolin, 1998; 2004, Brooke, 2002; Introna,  
35  
36 2001; 2003). However, this paper offers a novel example of applying the Foucauldian  
37  
38 conceptualisation of the subject and power to examine how everyday production and  
39  
40 reproduction of power can affect human subjectivity (Bloomfield 1995; Markula, 2003).  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
41  
42 As subjectivity is constituted in an individual's day-to-day practice, the mHealth case can be  
43 seen as a type of technology-in-practice (Orlikowski, 2000) embedded in the PHC procedures  
44 and processes, and enacted in the day-to-day practices of the CHWs, thereby shaping their  
45 subjectivity as an active member of the PHC and local communities. It is through the  
46  
47 integration in routinised practice that digital systems acquire the power of governmentality,  
48  
49 namely not necessarily in relation to the state apparatus, but in terms of 'directing souls' and  
50  
51 shaping conducts (Lemke, 2002). In this case, mHealth relays and reproduces the hierarchical  
52  
power of PHC which subjugates the CHWs by normalising a higher workload, constant  
tracking, and monitoring, and differentiating levels of digital skills among various tiers of  
CHWs.

Page 25 of 49

1  
2  
3 In contrast, the mHealth adoption also effects the subject making of the CHWs, i.e.,  
4  
5 generating a sense of empowerment. In this case, the mHealth application appealed to their  
6  
7 sense of altruism, self-efficacy and self-responsibility, which differentiates from the usual  
8  
9 association of *governmentality* with neoliberalism that seeks to promote individuals' sense of  
10  
11 autonomy and self-management (Lemke, 2002b; Rose et al., 2006; Moisander et al., 2018).

12  
13 Nevertheless, the mHealth application enacts a mode of power that simultaneously  
14  
15 subordinates and produces subjectivity (Mackenzie & McKinlay, 2020). Such a perspective  
16  
17 puts emphasis on the relationality of human subjectivities which paves a pathway to  
18  
19  
20

16  
17 understanding the complex positionality of the human actor as embedded in everyday social  
18  
19 processes that are shaped by multiple power dynamics. Technology is placed at the crux of  
20  
21 the contradiction between individual subjectivity and power structures.  
22

23 In this study, we observe how individual empowerment occurs despite the reproduction of  
24  
25 disciplinary power, but there was no evidence of critical awareness on the part of the CHWs,  
26  
27 with regard to the structural limitations on the self that could be indicative of individual  
28  
29 resistance or destabilisation of power structures (Raffnsøe et al., 2019). In other words,  
30  
31 CHWs are to a certain extent included and empowered as more effective, trusted, and  
32  
33 respected actors in the community and the PHC system, but not to an extent where they can  
34  
35 be considered as agents of change or even in creating possibilities for ‘self-formation’  
36  
37 (Munro, 2014). This simultaneous facilitation of disempowerment and empowerment in  
38  
39 digital inclusion is rarely explored within the IS literature.

## 40 ***6.2 Digital Inclusion as Empowerment***

41  
42 As reviewed earlier, the IS literature on digital inclusion dominantly interprets the concept as  
43  
44 either providing digital accessibility or social capital for community participation. By  
45  
46 adopting a perspective of digital inclusion as empowerment, this study advances the existing  
47  
48 discourse on the relationship between technology and social inclusion by integrating the  
49  
50 dimensions of power and human subjectivities from a Foucauldian perspective. Illuminating  
51 the various digitally mediated subjectivities helps us understand that human actors are always  
52 positioned at the intersection of various power relations with heterogeneous institutional norms, rules, and  
rhetoric, which explains why and how digital inclusion and exclusion often simultaneously occur in a given  
context (Trauth, 2017; Zheng & Walsham, 2021).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

This study specifically contributes to digital inclusion research that conceives inclusion as (a contested process of) empowerment, in other words, individuals are included not only as users or participants, but also as actors with a sense of agency and autonomy and the ability 8

9 to make a difference (Baron & Gomez, 2013; Díaz Andrade & Doolin, 2016). Empowerment  
10 is multidimensional in nature. It is relational, transformative and encompasses various  
11 categories both at an individual level and at broader structural levels (Zimmerman, 1995;  
12 Alsop & Heinshohn, 2005). Thus, in our case, we observe CHWs being psychologically  
13 empowered but not institutionally so. A detailed analysis of the subjectivities of CHWs  
14 presents a more nuanced and qualified view of inclusion as empowerment, where inclusion  
15 and empowerment are made possible within a larger project of governance with fostered  
16 dominance and control. Digital inclusion here means not only a higher level of visibility,  
17 recognition, and respect in the community, but also the increase of confidence and self-  
18 efficacy. However, at a systemic level they are even more restricted than before due to  
19 technology's enmeshment within existing rules, norms, and practices, which sheds light on  
20 why the fourth level of digital inclusion (structural transformation), where participants  
21 become agents of change, is difficult to achieve.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 By seeing technology users as social actors situated in power relations, we highlight the  
33 impact of technology in everyday life. It is in everyday life that human actors through their  
34 actions reproduce social structures, in which are embedded social norms and relations that  
35 govern their very being (Orlikowski, 2000). From such a perspective, a more granulated and  
36  
37  
38

53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

39 practice-based understanding can be derived in relation to digital inclusion, as opposed to a  
40  
41 top-down, category-driven understanding of technology's interaction with its users. In other  
42 words, it addresses the *how* question rather than the *who* question and moves beyond a binary  
43  
44 opposition of digital inclusion and exclusion.

### 45 46 47 **6.3 Implications for Practice** 48

49 What are the implications of this discussion for public policy and practice? Firstly, digital

50  
51 inclusion takes place at multiple levels, therefore both the public and academic discourse 52 should not  
only be based on demographic categorisation of social groups, for example, gender, age, disability, and so  
on, but also on the processes of inclusion where power structures are inevitably engrained. Digital inclusion  
is therefore more complex and dynamic than providing infrastructure, skill-training, and participation. By  
centring power and subjectivity in the discussion of digital inclusion, we echo the suggestion of Zheng and  
Page 27 of 49

1  
2  
3 Walsham (2021) to treat the included not as *users* of digital technology or a passive recipient  
4  
5 of assistance, but as *actors* positioned in a network of power relations, and whose sense of 6  
7 subjectivity is valued.  
8  
9

10 In some cases, top-down initiatives of digital inclusion are limited to integrating people into a  
11 digitalised system to improve its efficiency, while reproducing and reinforcing existing  
12  
13 institutionalised power structures (Pandey & Zheng, 2019). This may give rise to the  
14  
15 instrumentalization and subjugation of individuals. Instead of seeing individuals as 'users' or  
16 'recipients', they could be considered as active, reflective actors embedded in structures of  
17  
18 norms, values, and power relations (Pandey & Zheng, 2023). Digital design and policies may  
19  
20 also serve the emancipation and empowerment of individuals, that goes beyond merely  
21  
22 providing access to technology.  
23

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
24 Importantly, this takes us to move beyond the question of '*who is included*', but '*how they*  
25 *are included and under what terms?*' For instance, when looking at social inclusion of  
26 women in the work force, even in countries where women enjoy the best employment  
27 opportunities, many women are still paid at a lower rate than men for the same type of work  
28 or have to endure a highly masculine organisational culture (Stamarski & Son Hing, 2015;  
29 Padavic, et al., 2019). Similarly, when it comes to digital inclusion, while the digital economy  
30 has facilitated social inclusion of the disadvantaged and marginalised populations by  
31 lowering participant thresholds and transaction costs, these very systems are still entangled in  
32 existing power networks and tend to reproduce or exacerbate offline social inequalities, e.g.,  
33 by putting workers under surveillance, exploitation, and precarious work conditions  
34 (Greenwood et al., 2017; Malin & Chandler, 2017; Zheng & Wu, 2022). It is for this reason  
35 that a focus on human subjectivities adds value by offering a more sensitising perspective in 44  
36 the discourse of digital inclusion.  
37

38  
39  
40 For policy makers, digital designers, and facilitators of digital programs, it may be important  
41 to consider the objectives and social conditions against which digital inclusion is  
42 implemented. Integrating the users of technology in the technology implementation process  
43 or engaging continuous feedback from the users on their use of the technology, can help  
44 engineers and designers better understand the social implications of technology on the everyday  
45 life of the users.  
46  
47

Finally, it would benefit digital inclusion researchers and practitioners to adopt qualitative and ethnographic methods of data collection. Development program evaluators tend to rely on their own judgements to what is of value, then to understand it from the recipient's 8

9 perspective how spaces of inclusion or exclusion are being created for them (Kabeer, 2005;  
10 2001; 1999). By adopting a qualitative research design, we were able to investigate the aspect  
11 of digital inclusion and exclusion from people's own retrospective narratives. Interviews, and  
12 field observations were pivotal for us in understanding the subtle process of the duality of  
13 empowerment and disempowerment that was facilitated through technology for the CHWs.  
14

15 Thus, a qualitative research design helped capture the subjective perspective of digital  
16 inclusion and exclusion.  
17  
18

## 19 **7 Conclusion**

20  
21  
22  
23  
24 This paper presents a case study of how an mHealth application facilitated inclusion of  
25 CHWs within the system of power of the PHC system. The dual effect of empowerment and  
26 disempowerment was only made visible by recognising how processes of inclusion were  
27 rooted within the power structures of the context that governed the social relations and  
28 subjectivity of the CHWs. The paper provides a sensitising perspective to differentiate  
29 diverse connotations of digital inclusion, from accessibility and or participation, to  
30 empowerment and agency for change, and various mechanisms that reconfigure the practice  
31 and implications of inclusion and exclusion at the same time. Furthermore, we propose a  
32 conception of digital technology as 'technologies of power' and constitutive of  
33 governmentality, which entails a complex relationship between power and human  
34 subjectivity. Such a perspective enriches and deepens our understanding of digital inclusion  
35 as a political process that is dynamic and contested.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
45  
46 The study is limited in that it does not explicitly or extensively investigate the role of gender  
47 as entangled in CHW's subjectivity and work. Although some aspects of this are reflected in  
48 the findings, for example, the fact that they are obligated to carry out household tasks and  
49  
50 respond to the needs of family members at various times of the day, in parallel to their  
51 devotion to their work as CHWs. Instead of using any gender-based perspective, we draw upon  
52 Foucault (1982) to focus on the importance of the subjectivity of health workers that is  
produced and reproduced in their everyday practices on processes of digital inclusion.  
Technology can assist human actors by providing a higher level of self-efficacy and sense of  
agency, thereby achieving psychological empowerment at an individual level. However, such

Page 29 of 49

1  
2  
3 empowerment does not necessarily challenge existing structures of power or transform one's 4  
5 position within the dominant system of power.  
6  
7

8 Future studies on community health worker practices could adopt a gender-based perspective  
9  
10 to study how they navigate spaces of inclusion and exclusion as rooted in gendered power  
11 structures. For instance, does the CHW's relationship with her mother-in-law or husband,  
12  
13 impact the way she carries out her work? And does this add a new layer of exclusion for her  
14  
15 in her everyday work? Furthermore, moving beyond the context of this paper, we also  
16 encourage future research to examine the issue of intersectionality in digital inclusion (Dy et  
17  
18 al., 2017; Zheng & Walsham, 2021). How does being positioned at the intersection of various  
19

20 systems power impact a human actor's ability to be included? And what role does technology  
21 play in it?  
22  
23

24 Lastly, it would also be interesting to conduct longitudinal studies to investigate whether the  
25 adoption of technology and the related enhancement of self-efficacy and responsibility could,  
26 over time, lead to some level of critical awareness of participants in terms of social structures  
27 and plant the seeds for broader possibilities of change in policies and institutional  
28 arrangements. This level of analysis would contribute to the fourth level of inclusion that 32  
29 looks at structural transformation.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

### 38 **References**

39  
40  
41 Agarwal, S., Perry, H., Long, L., & Labrique, A. (2015). Evidence on feasibility and effective  
42 use of mHealth strategies by frontline health workers in developing countries: systematic 43  
44 review. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 20(8), 1003-1014.  
45

46 Alam, K., & Imran, S. (2015). The digital divide and social inclusion among refugee 47  
48 migrants. *Information Technology & People*, 28(2), 344-365.  
49

50 Alsop, R., & Heinsohn, N. (2005). *Measuring empowerment in practice*. World Bank, 51  
52 Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Network, Poverty Reduction Group.

Armenta, A., Serrano, A., Cabrera, M., & Conte, R. (2011). The new digital divide: the  
confluence of broadband penetration, sustainable development, technology adoption and  
community participation. *Information Technology For Development*, 18(4), 345-353.

Avgerou, C., & McGrath, K. (2007). Power, Rationality, and the Art of Living through Socio-  
Technical Change. *MIS Quarterly* 31(2), 295-315.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9       Bakardjieva, M., & Gaden, G. (2012). Web 2.0 Technologies of the Self. *Philosophy &*  
10       *Technology*, 25(3), 399-41.

11       Baron, L., & Gomez, R. (2013). Relationships and Connectedness: Weak Ties that Help 12  
13       Social Inclusion Through Public Access Computing. *Information*  
14       *Technology For Development*, 19(4), 271-295.

15  
16  
17       Bloomfield, B.P. (1995). Power, machines, and social relations: delegating to information  
18       technology in the National Health Service. *Organization*, 2, 489–518.

19  
20  
21       Bloomfield, B.P., & Coombs, R. (1992). Information technology, control, and power: the  
22       centralization and decentralization debate revisited. *Journal of Management Studies*, 29, 459–  
23       484.

24  
25  
26  
27       Bonnell, S., Griggs, A., Avila, G., Mack, J., Bush, R., Vignato, J., & Connelly, C. (2017).  
28       Community Health Workers and Use of mHealth: Improving Identification of Pregnancy  
29       Complications and Access to Care in the Dominican Republic. *Health Promotion*  
30       *Practice*, 19(3), 331-340.

31  
32  
33  
34       Bourdieu, P. (1977). Outline of a Theory of Practice. The Press Syndicate of the University 35  
36       of Cambridge.

37  
38       Braun, R., Catalani, C., Wimbush J., & Israelski, D. (2013). Community Health Workers and  
39       Mobile Technology: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *PLoS ONE*, 8(6).

40  
41  
42       Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative*  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

44 *Research In Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.

45  
46 Brooke, C. (2002a). What does it mean to be 'critical' in IS research? *Journal of Information*  
47  
48 *Technology*, 17(2), 49-58.

49  
50 Brooke, C. (2002b). Critical perspectives on information systems: An impression of the  
51  
52 research landscape. *Journal of Information Technology*, 17(4), 271-85.

Burchell, G., Gordon, C. and Miller, P. (Eds.). (1991), *The Foucault Effect: Studies in Governmentality: With Two Lectures by and an Interview with Michel Foucault*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Page 31 of 49

1  
2  
3 Carmichael, S., Mehta, K., Srikantiah, S., Mahapatra, T., Chaudhuri, I., & Balakrishnan, R.  
4  
5 (2019). Use of mobile technology by frontline health workers to promote reproductive,  
6  
7 maternal, new born and child health and nutrition: a cluster randomized controlled Trial in  
8  
9 Bihar, India. *Journal of Global Health*, 9(2).

10  
11 Chatterjee, A. (2020). Financial inclusion, information and communication technology  
12  
13 diffusion, and economic growth: a panel  
14 data analysis. *Information*  
15  
16 *Technology For Development*, 26(3), 607-635. 15

16 Chohan, S., & Hu, G. (2020). Strengthening digital inclusion through e-government: cohesive  
17  
18 ICT training programs to intensify digital competency. *Information*  
19  
20 *Technology For Development*, 1-23.

21  
22 Clegg, S. (1998). Foucault, power, and organizations. In A. McKinlay., K. Starkey 23  
24 (eds), *Foucault, Management and Organization Theory*. London: Sage Publications.  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

26 Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five* 27  
28 *approaches* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. 29

30 Dean, M. (1994). "A Social Structure of Many Souls": Moral Regulation, Government, and  
31  
32 Self-Formation. *Canadian Journal Of Sociology / Cahiers Canadiens De Sociologie*, 19(2),  
33  
34 145.

35  
36 Díaz Andrade, A., & Doolin, B. (2016). Information and Communication Technology and the  
37  
38 Social Inclusion of Refugees. *MIS Quarterly*, 40(2), 405-416.

39  
40 Doolin, B. (1998). Information technology as disciplinary technology: being critical in  
41  
42 interpretive research on information systems. *Journal of Information Technology*, 13, 301–  
43  
44 311.

45 Doolin, B. (2004). Power and Resistance in the Implementation of a Medical Management 46  
47 Information System. *Information Systems Journal*, 14, 343-362. 2004.

48  
49 Dy, A.M., Marlow, S. & Martin, L. (2017). A Web of opportunity or the same old story?  
50  
51 Women digital entrepreneurs and intersectionality theory. *Human Relations*, 70(3), 286–311.

52  
Early, J., Gonzalez, C., Gordon-Dseagu, V., & Robles-Calderon, L. (2019). Use of Mobile  
Health (mHealth) Technologies and Interventions Among Community Health Workers  
Globally: A Scoping Review. *Health Promotion Practice*, 20(6), 805-817.

53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Feldman, M. S., & Orlikowski, W. J. (2011). Theorizing Practice and Practicing Theory. *Organization Science*, 22(5), 1240–1253.

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Ferreira, S., Sayago, S., & Blat, J. (2016). Going Beyond Telecenters to Foster the Digital Inclusion of Older People in Brazil: Lessons Learned from a Rapid Ethnographical Study. *Information Technology For Development*, 22(sup1), 26-46.

Foucault, M. (1977), *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison*, Penguin Books, London.

Foucault, M. (1980). *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings 1972–1977*. New York: Pantheon.

Foucault, M. (1982a). The Subject and Power. *Critical Inquiry*, 8(4), 777-795.

Foucault, M. (1982b). The subject and power. In: *Michel Foucault: Beyond Structuralism and Hermeneutics*, Dreyfus, H.L. & Rabinow, P. (eds), 208–226. New York: Harvester Wheatsheaf.

Foucault, M. (1993). About the beginning of the hermeneutics of the self (Transcription of two lectures in Dartmouth on 17 and 24 November 1980, ed. M. Blasius). *Political Theory* 21, (2), 198–227.

Foucault, M. (1997). Ethics: Subjectivity and Truth. *Essential Works of Michel Foucault, 1954–1984, I*. New York: New Press

Gorbacheva, E., Beekhuyzen, J., vom Brocke, J., & Becker, J. (2018). Directions for research on gender imbalance in the IT profession. *European Journal Of Information Systems*, 28(1), 43-67.

Greenwood, B., Burtch, G., & Carnahan, S. (2017). Unknowns of the gig-economy. *Communications of the ACM*, 60(7), 27–29.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
45  
46 Hampshire, K., Porter, G., Mariwah, S., Munthali, A., Robson, E., & Owusu, S. et al. (2016).  
47 Who bears the cost of 'informal mhealth'? Health-workers' mobile phone practices and  
48 associated political-moral economies of care in Ghana and Malawi. *Health Policy and*  
49 *Planning*, 32(1), 34-42.  
50  
51  
52

Hassan, N. (2011). Is information systems a discipline? Foucauldian and Toulminian insights.  
European Journal of Information Systems: Including a Special Section on Kleinian Approach  
to Information Systems Research, 20(4), 456-476.

Page 33 of 49

1  
2  
3 Heeks, R. (2022). Digital inequality beyond the digital divide: Conceptualizing adverse 4  
5 digital incorporation in the global South. *Information Technology for Development*, 0(0), 1– 6  
7 17.  
8

9 Heyes, C. (2011). Subjectivity and Power. In D. Taylor, Michel Foucault Key Concepts (1st 10  
11 ed.). Routledge.  
12

13 Hill, R., Beynon-Davies, P., & Williams, M. (2008). Older people and internet  
14 engagement. *Information Technology & People*, 21(3), 244-266.  
15  
16

17 Hindess, B. (1996). *Discourses of power*. Blackwell Publishers.  
18

19 Iazzolino, G. (2020). Infrastructure of compassionate repression: making sense of biometrics 20  
21 in Kakuma refugee camp. *Information Technology For Development*, 27(1), 111-128.  
22

23 Iivari, N., Kinnula, M., Molin-Juustila, T., & Kuure, L. (2018). Exclusions in social inclusion  
24  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

25 projects: Struggles in involving children in digital technology development. *Information*

26  
27 *Systems Journal*, 28(6), 1020-1048.

28 Ilozumba, O., Dieleman, M., Kraamwinkel, N., Van Belle, S., Chaudoury, M., & Broerse, J.  
29 (2018). "I am not telling. The mobile is telling": Factors influencing the outcomes of a  
30 community health worker mHealth intervention in India. *PLOS ONE*, 13(3), e0194927.

31  
32  
33  
34  
35 Introna, L. (2003). Disciplining information systems: Truth and its regimes. *European*  
36 *Journal of Information Systems*, 12, 235–40.

37  
38  
39 Introna, L. D. (2001). Truth and its politics: Evolving regimes of truth at the *MISQ*, in  
40 D. Howcroft and A. Adam (eds), *(Re)Defining Critical Research in Information Systems*, 41  
42 *Proceedings of the CRIS Workshop*, 45-55. Salford: University of Salford.

43  
44 Jaspersen, J., Carte, T.A., Saunders, C.S., Butler, B.S., Croes, H.J.P., & Zheng, W. (2002).  
45 Review: power and information technology research: a metatriangulation review. *MIS*  
46 *Quarterly* 26, 397–495.

47  
48  
49 Jia, S., Lansdall-Welfare, T., Sudhahar, S., Carter, C. & Cristianini, N. (2016). Women Are  
50 Seen More than Heard in Online Newspapers. *PLOS ONE, Public Library of Science*, 11(2).

51  
52 Joia, L., & dos Santos, R. (2018). ICT-equipped bank boat and the financial inclusion of the  
riverine population of Marajó Island in the Brazilian Amazon. *Information Systems Journal*,  
29(4), 842-887.

Page 34 of 49

Kabeer, N. (1999). Resources, Agency, Achievements: Reflections on the Measurement of  
Women's Empowerment. *Development and Change*, 30(3), 435-464.

Kabeer, N. (2001). Reflections on the measurement of women's empowerment – theory and

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 practice, *Discussing Women's Empowerment—Theory and Practice*. Stockholm: Novum  
10 Grafiska.

11  
12  
13 Kabeer, N. (2005). Gender equality and women's empowerment: A critical analysis of the  
14 third millennium development goal 1. *Gender & Development*, 13(1), 13-24.

15  
16  
17 Karuna Trust. (2020). *Karuna Trust Annual Report*. Retrieved  
18 from [https://www.karunatrust.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Karuna-Trust-Annual-](https://www.karunatrust.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Karuna-Trust-Annual-Report_2018-2019.pdf)  
19 [Report\\_2018-2019.pdf](https://www.karunatrust.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Karuna-Trust-Annual-Report_2018-2019.pdf)

20  
21  
22  
23 Kemal, A. (2018). Mobile banking in the government-to-person payment sector for financial  
24 inclusion in Pakistan. *Information Technology For Development*, 25(3), 475-502.

25  
26  
27 Klecun, E. (2008). Bringing lost sheep into the fold: questioning the discourse of the digital  
28 divide. *Information Technology & People*, 21(3), 267-282.

29  
30  
31 Lehmann, U., & Sanders, D. (2007), Community health workers: What do we know about  
32 them? The state of the evidence on programs, activities, costs, and impact on health outcomes  
33 of using community health workers. *Evidence and Information for Policy*. Department of  
34 Human Resources for Health Geneva.

35  
36  
37  
38 Lemke, T. (2002). Foucault, Governmentality, and Critique. *Rethinking Marxism*, 14(3), 49-  
39 64.  
40  
41

Lemke, T. (2007). An indigestible meal? Foucault, governmentality, and state theory.

*Distinktion: Journal Of Social Theory*, 8(2), 43-64.

Letch, N., & Carroll, J. (2008). Excluded again: implications of integrated e-government systems for those at the margins. *Information Technology & People*, 21(3), 283-299.

Light, B., Fletcher, G., & Adam, A. (2008). Gay men, Gaydar and the commodification of difference. *Information Technology & People*, 21(3), 300-314.

Lorenzini, D. (2018). Governmentality, subjectivity, and the neoliberal form of life. *Journal For Cultural Research*, 22(2), 154-166.

Lynch, R. (2011). Foucault's theory of power. In D. Taylor, *Michel Foucault Key Concepts* (1st ed.). Routledge.

Page 35 of 49

Lyon, D. (1994) *The Electronic Eye: The Rise of Surveillance Society*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Lyon, D. (2003). *Surveillance as Social Sorting*. London: Routledge.

Mackenzie, E., & McKinlay, A. (2020). Hope labour and the psychic life of cultural work. *Human Relations*, 74(11), 1841–1863.

Madon, S., Reinhard, N., Roode, D., & Walsham, G. (2009). Digital inclusion projects in developing countries: Processes of institutionalization. *Information Technology For Development*, 15(2), 95-107. 18

Maes, K. (2014). "Volunteers Are Not Paid Because They Are Priceless": Community Health Worker Capacities and Values in an AIDS Treatment Intervention in Urban



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
23 Ethiopia. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*, 29(1), 97-115.

24  
25 Malin, B. J., & Chandler, C. (2017). Free to Work Anxiously: Splintering Precarity Among  
26 Drivers for Uber and Lyft: Splintering Precarity Among Drivers for Uber and Lyft.  
27 *Communication, Culture & Critique*, 10(2), 382–400.

28  
29  
30  
31 Markula, P. (2003). The technologies of the self: Sport, feminism, and Foucault. *Sociology of*  
32 *Sport Journal*, 20(2), 87-107.

33  
34  
35 Markus, M. L. (1983). Power, Politics and MIS Implementation. *Communications of the*  
36 *ACM*, 26(6), 430-444.

37  
38 Martin, A., & Taylor, L. (2020). Exclusion and inclusion in identification: regulation, 39  
40 displacement, and data justice. *Information Technology For Development*, 27(1), 50-66.

41  
42 McKinney, E., & Yoos, C. (2019). Information as a difference: toward a subjective theory of 43  
44 information. *European Journal Of Information Systems*, 28(4), 355-369.

45  
46 Mingers, J., & Standing, C. (2018). What is Information? Toward a Theory of Information as 47  
48 Objective and Veridical. *Journal Of Information Technology*, 33(2), 85-104.

49  
50 Moisander, J., Groß, C., & Eräranta, K. (2018). Mechanisms of biopower and neoliberal  
51 governmentality in precarious work: Mobilizing the dependent self-employed as independent  
52 business owners. *Human Relations*, 71(3), 375–398.

Modi, D., Desai, S., Dave, K., Shah, S., Desai, G., & Dholakia, N. et al. (2017). Cluster randomized trial of a mHealth intervention “ImTeCHO” to improve delivery of proven maternal, neonatal, and childcare interventions through community-based Accredited Social

Health Activists (ASHAs) by enhancing their motivation and strengthening supervision in tribal areas of Gujarat, India: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*, 18(1).

Munro, I. (2014). Organizational Ethics and Foucault’s ‘Art of Living’: Lessons from Social Movement Organizations. *Organization Studies*, 35(8), 1127–1148.

Naidoo, R., Coleman, K., & Guyo, C. (2019). Exploring gender discursive struggles about social inclusion in an online gaming community. *Information Technology & People*, 33(2), 14 576-601.

Naik, P., Shilpa, D., Shewade, H., & Sudarshan, H. (2020). Assessing the implementation of a mobile App-based electronic health record: A mixed-method study from South India. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*, 9(1), 102.

Narock, T., Yoon, V., & March, S. (2012). On the Role of Context and Subjectivity on Scientific Information Systems. *Communications Of The Association For Information Systems*, 30.

Nayak, R. (2010). Role of Karuna Trust – A Public-Private-Partnership Venture in Delivering of Health Services (Master’s Dissertation). Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, 31 Karnataka, Bangalore.

Newman, L., Browne-Yung, K., Raghavendra, P., Wood, D., & Grace, E. (2016). Applying a critical approach to investigate barriers to digital inclusion and online social networking among young people with disabilities. *Information Systems Journal*, 27(5), 559-588.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
38  
39  
40 Nyemba-Mudenda, M., & Chigona, W. (2017). mHealth outcomes for pregnant mothers in  
41 Malawi: a capability perspective. *Information Technology for Development, 24*(2), 245-278.  
42

43  
44 Orlikowski, W. J. (2000). Using Technology and Constituting Structures: A Practice Lens for  
45 Studying Technology in Organizations. *Organization Science, 11*(4), 404–428.  
46

47  
48 Padavic, I., Ely, R. J., & Reid, E. M. (2019). Explaining the persistence of gender inequality:  
49 The work–family narrative as a social defense against the 24/7 work culture. *Administrative*  
50 *Science Quarterly, 65*(1), 61–111.  
51

52  
Pal, A., Herath, T., De', R., & Rao, H. (2020). Contextual facilitators and barriers influencing  
the continued use of mobile payment services in a developing country: insights from adopters in  
India. *Information Technology For Development, 26*(2), 394-420.

Page 37 of 49

1  
2  
3 Pandey, P. (2021). *Deconstructing Digital Empowerment: The Case of Community Health*  
4 *Worker's in India*.  
5

6  
7 Pandey, P., & Zheng, Y. (2019). Unpacking Empowerment in ICT4D Research. In  
8  
9 *Information and Communication Technologies for Development. Strengthening Southern-*  
10 *Driven Cooperation as a Catalyst for ICT4D* (pp. 83–94). Springer International Publishing.  
11

12  
13 Pandey, P., & Zheng, Y. (2023). Social positioning matters: A socialized affordance  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

14  
15 perspective of mHealth in India. *Information Systems Journal*.

16  
17 Pansera, M., & Owen, R. (2018). Framing inclusive innovation within the discourse of  
18 development: Insights from case studies in India. *Research Policy*, 47(1), 23-34.

19  
20  
21 Patel, A., Kuhite, P., Alam, A., Pusdekar, Y., Puranik, A., & Khan, S. et al. (2019).  
22  
23 M-SAKHI—Mobile health solutions to help community providers promote maternal and  
24 infant nutrition and health using a community-based cluster randomized controlled trial in 25  
26 rural India: A study protocol. *Maternal & Child Nutrition*, 15(4).  
27

28 Pethig, F., & Kroenung, J. (2019). Specialized Information Systems for  
29  
30 the Digitally Disadvantaged. *Journal Of The Association For Information Systems*, 141231  
32 1446.

33  
34 Pettigrew, A. M. (1972). Information Control as a Power Resource. *Sociology*, 6(2), 187-204.

35  
36 Poster, M. (1996). Databases as discourse, or, Electronic interpellations, in D. Lyon and  
37  
38 E. Zureik (eds), *Computers, Surveillance and Privacy*. Minneapolis, MN: University of  
39  
40 Minnesota Press.

41  
42 Prasanth, N. (2011). Public-Private Partnerships and Health Policies. *Economic and Political*  
43  
44 *Weekly*, 46(42), 13-15.

45  
46 Primary Healthcare | Karuna Trust. (2020). Retrieved 5 August 2020,  
47  
48 from [http://www.karunatrust.com/?page\\_id=124](http://www.karunatrust.com/?page_id=124).

49  
50 Prince, R., & Brown, H. (2016). Introduction: the politics & ethics of voluntary labour in  
51  
52 Africa, in: Prince R, Brown H. (eds). *Volunteer Economies: The Politics and Ethics of Voluntary Labour*  
in Africa. Boydell and Brewer: Rochester, USA, 1–28.

Prinja, S., Bahuguna, P., Gupta, A., Nimesh, R., Gupta, M., & Thakur, J. (2018). Cost  
effectiveness of mHealth intervention by community health workers for reducing maternal

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
  
and newborn mortality in rural Uttar Pradesh, India. *Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation*, 16(1).

8  
9  
Raffnsøe, S., Mennicken, A. and Miller, P. (2019). The Foucault Effect in Organization Studies. *Organization Studies*, 40(2), 155–182. 10

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
Ratliffe, K., Rao, K., Skouge, J., & Peter, J. (2012). Navigating the currents of change: technology, inclusion, and access for people with disabilities in the Pacific. *Information Technology For Development*, 18(3), 209-225.

17  
18  
19  
20  
Ritchie, J. & Lewis, J. (2003). *Qualitative Research Practice: A Guide for Social Science Students and Researchers*, London: Sage Publications Ltd.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
Rodriguez, J., Holvino, E., Fletcher, J., & Nkomo, S. (2016). The Theory and Praxis of Intersectionality in Work and Organisations: Where Do We Go From Here?. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 23(3), 201-222.

27  
28  
29  
30  
Rose, N., O'Malley, P., & Valverde, M. (2009). Governmentality. *Annual Review Of Law And Social Science*, 2, 83-104. Retrieved 15 August 2022, from.

31  
32  
33  
Ruton, H., Musabyimana, A., Gaju, E., Berhe, A., Grépin, K., & Ngenzi, J. et al. (2018). The impact of an mHealth monitoring system on health care utilization by mothers and children:

34 an evaluation using routine health information in Rwanda. *Health Policy and*  
35  
36 *Planning*, 33(8), 920-927.

37  
38 Sankaran, S., Sekerdej, M., & von Hecker, U. (2017). The Role of Indian Caste Identity and  
39  
40 Caste Inconsistent Norms on Status Representation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8(487).

41  
42 Schoemaker, E., Baslan, D., Pon, B., & Dell, N. (2020). Identity at the margins: data justice  
43  
44 and refugee experiences with digital identity systems in Lebanon, Jordan, and  
45  
46 Uganda. *Information Technology For Development*, 27(1), 13-36.

47  
48 Schoen, J., Mallett, J., Grossman-Kahn, R., Brentani, A., Kaselitz, E., & Heisler, M. (2017).  
49  
50 Perspectives and experiences of community health workers in Brazilian primary care centers  
51 using m-health tools in home visits with community members. *Human Resources for Health*, 52  
15(1).

Schultze, U. (2000). A Confessional Account of an Ethnography about Knowledge Work.  
MIS Quarterly, 24(1), 3.

Page 39 of 49

1  
2  
3 Scott, K., George, A., & Ved, R. (2019). Taking stock of 10 years of published research on  
4  
5 the ASHA programme: examining India's national community health worker programme 6  
7 from a health systems perspective. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 17(1).

8  
9 Scott, K., Javadi, D., & Gergen, J. (2020). India's Auxiliary Nurse-Midwife, Anganwadi  
10  
11 Worker, Accredited Social Health Activist, Multipurpose Worker, and Lady Health Visitor  
12  
13 Programs. Retrieved 11 August 2022, from [https://chwcentral.org/indias-auxiliary-nurse-  
14  
15  
16  
17 midwife-anganwadi-worker-accredited-social-health-activist-multipurpose-worker-and-lady-  
health-visitor-programs/](https://chwcentral.org/indias-auxiliary-nurse-midwife-anganwadi-worker-accredited-social-health-activist-multipurpose-worker-and-lady-health-visitor-programs/)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
18 Senyo, P., Osabutey, E., & Seny Kan, K. (2020). Pathways to improving financial inclusion  
19 through mobile money: a fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis. *Information Technology*  
20 & *People*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print).

23 Seshadri, T., Madegowda, C., Babu, G., & Nuggehalli Srinivas, P. (2019). Implementation  
24 Research with the Soliga Indigenous Community in Southern India for Local Action on  
25 Improving Maternal Health Services.

26  
27  
28  
29  
30 Siles, I. (2012). Web Technologies of the Self: The Arising of the “Blogger” Identity. *Journal*  
31 *of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 17(4), 408-421.

32  
33  
34 SOCHARA. (2005). An external evaluative study of the State Health Resource Centre  
35 (SHRC) and the Mitanin Programme. Final report. Bangalore, Society for Community Health  
36 Awareness, Research and Action (SOCHARA). Retrieved  
37 from [http://www.sochara.org/sites/default/files/mitanin\\_Review.pdf](http://www.sochara.org/sites/default/files/mitanin_Review.pdf).

38  
39  
40  
41 Som, M. (2016). Volunteerism to Incentivisation: Changing Priorities of Mitanins Work in  
42 Chhattisgarh. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 23(1), 26-42.

43  
44  
45 Stamarski, C. S., & Son Hing, L. S. (2015). Gender inequalities in the workplace: The effects  
46 of organizational structures, processes, practices, and decision makers’ sexism. *Frontiers in*  
47 *Psychology*, 6(2). NCBI.

51 Tan, T., Zhang, Y., Suang, C., & Ge, C. (2021). Empowerment of Grassroots Consumers: A  
52 Revelatory Case of a Chinese Fintech Innovation. *Journal Of The Association For Information Systems*, 22(1), 179-203.

Trauth, E. (2017). A Research Agenda for Social Inclusion in Information Systems. *ACM SIGMIS Database: The DATABASE For Advances In Information Systems*, 48(2), 9-20.

Trauth, E., Cain, C., Joshi, K., Kvasny, L., & Booth, K. (2016). The Influence of GenderEthnic Intersectionality on Gender Stereotypes about IT Skills and Knowledge. *ACM SIGMIS Database: The DATABASE For Advances In Information Systems*, 47(3), 9-39.

8  
9 Trochim, W. M. K. (2006). The Qualitative Debate. *Research Methods Knowledge Base*.

10  
11 Urquhart, C., & Underhill-Sem, Y. (2009). Special issue on “ICTs and social  
12 inclusion”. *Journal Of Information, Communication And Ethics In Society*, 7(2/3).

13  
14  
15 van Dijk, J., & Hacker, K. (2003). The Digital Divide as a Complex and Dynamic  
16 Phenomenon. *The Information Society*, 19(4), 315-326.

17  
18  
19 Warschauer, M. (2008). *Technology and social inclusion: rethinking the digital divide*.  
20 Massachusetts: MIT Press, 2003. *Pontodeaccesso*, 2(2), 170.

21  
22  
23 Webster, F. (1995). *Theories of the Information Society*. London: Routledge.

24  
25 Willcocks, L. (2004) Foucault, Power/Knowledge, and Information Systems: Reconstructing  
26 the Present. In Mingers, J., Willcocks, L (eds.), *Social Theory and Philosophy for 28*  
27 *Information Systems*. Chichester: Wiley, 238-296.

28  
29  
30  
31 Yates, S. (2002). *Power and Subjectivity: A Foucauldian discourse analysis of experiences of*  
32 *power in learning difficulties community care homes*. (Ph.D.). De Montfort University.

33  
34  
35 Zheng, Y., & Walsham, G. (2008). Inequality of what? Social exclusion in the e-society as



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
36  
37 capability deprivation. *Information Technology & People*, 21(3), 222-243.

38  
39 Zheng, Y., & Walsham, G. (2021). Inequality of what? An intersectional approach to digital  
40 inequality under Covid-19. *Information And Organization*, 31(1), 100341.

41  
42  
43 Zheng, Y., & Wu, P. F. (2022). Producing speed on demand: Reconfiguration of space and  
44 time in food delivery platform work. *Information Systems Journal*, 32(5), 973–1004.

45  
46  
47 Zimmerman, M. (1995). Psychological empowerment: Issues and illustrations. *American*  
48 *Journal of Community Psychology*, 23(5), 581-599.

49  
50  
51 Zuboff, S. (1988). *In the Age of the Smart Machine: The Future of Work and Power*. New  
52 York, NY: Basic Books.

## Appendix

### Table A1: Field Observation Guide

1  
2

3 How are the Tier 1 CHWs using technology? 4

5 How are the Tier 1 CHWs getting along with using the tablet?

6

7 What are the reactions of the CHWs about using the tablet?

8 How do the community members feel about the use of the tablet by CHWs? 9

10 How does the PHC staff feel about the tablet?

11 How is the communication between the PHC staff and CHWs managed? 12

13 What is the relationship between CHWs and PHC staff like?

14 What is the relationship between CHWs and the community like?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

**Table A2: Interviewee list and Interview Duration**

Interviewees	Pseudonyms	Interviewee time length	Degree of Interaction with the mHealth tablet
Tier 1 CHW	Jaya	01 hour: 2 minutes	High
Tier 1 CHW	Kiran	50 minutes: 20 seconds	High
Tier 1 CHW	Binita	01 hour: 15 minutes	High
Tier 1 CHW	Bhavna	01 hour	High
Tier 2 CHW	Sanvi	30 minutes	Intermediate
Tier 2 CHW	Yashti	30 minutes: 3 seconds	Intermediate
Tier 2 CHW	Rajeshri	20 minutes: 2 seconds	Intermediate
Supervisor 1	Nagendra	01 hour: 30 minutes	Intermediate
mHealth Engineer 2	Anita	35 minutes: 30 seconds	High
District Head	Bhavin	01 hour: 05 minutes	None
Beneficiary 1	Aarohi	15 minutes: 34 seconds	None
Beneficiary 2	Hiral	13 minutes: 34 seconds	None

Beneficiary 3	Palak	25 minutes: 30 seconds	None
Beneficiary 4	Meenakshi	25 minutes: 56 seconds	None
Beneficiary 5	Rudrani	15 minutes: 30 seconds	None
Beneficiary 6	Urvi	20 minutes: 45 seconds	None
Village community members	15	01 hour: 15 minutes	None
Total	35		

33 **Table A3: Semi-structure Interview Protocol**

<b>General Interview topic guide for PHC staff</b>
<p><i>(broad)</i></p> <p>How do you feel about the Tier 1 CHWs at this centre?</p> <p>How do you feel about the community you cater to?</p> <p>Can you describe the routine processes at the PHC centre?</p> <p>How do you feel about the tablet that is being used by the CHWs?</p> <p>What changes has the use of the tablet brought?</p> <p>What are the major changes the tablet has created?</p> <p><i>(specific)</i></p> <p>Has the tablet created any changes in the data reporting process of the CHWs?</p> <p>Has the tablet created any changes in the data collection process of the CHWs?</p> <p>How has the use of the tablet impacted your communication with the CHWs?</p>
<b>General Interview topic guide for CHWs</b>

(broad)

For how long have you worked as a CHW?

Do you like being a CHW?

What are your main responsibilities as a CHW?

What is your daily job like?

What are your feelings about using the tablet?

(specific)

Has the health tablet improved the data collecting process?

How did you do your job before you were given the health tablet?

How is the use of the health tablet different from the paper-based system?

How has the use of the health tablet affected your daily routine?

How has the use of the tablet affected your work process?

How has the use of the health tablet affected the relationship between you and the PHC staff?

How has the use of the health tablet affected the relationship between you and the community?

Has the use of the tablet created any major changes for you personally?

Overall, what is your opinion on the use of the health tablet?

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

The Coding Table is provided on the next page.

55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Table A4: Thematic Analysis Table**

Theoretical Theme	Theoretical Code	Selective Codes	Open Codes	Participant Quotes (Examples)
Subject Making	Feeling a sense of self responsibility and agency as rooted in existing power structures	<p>Streamlining of data collection and reporting processes by the CHWs</p> <p>Improved Relationship between the CHW and the PHC centre</p> <p>Changing perceptions of the CHWs by the PHC staff</p> <p>Changing perceptions of the CHWs by the community members</p>	<p>Reduction in data errors</p> <p>Reduction in data lag</p> <p>Reduction in data loss</p> <p>Reduction in blame on CHWs</p> <p>Improved emergency case management</p> <p>Improved allocation of governmental pregnancy schemes</p> <p>Improved data sharing by CHWs with the PHC centre</p> <p>Timely completion of Tasks</p> <p>Appreciating the role as a CHW, and their responsibility towards the community only when the PHC staff acknowledges it</p> <p>Timely reporting of data to the PHC centre</p>	<p>Bhagya: “we feel more appreciated now for the work we do, the PHC supervisor blames us less”</p> <p>Bhagya: “before when we would collect data in the registers the PHC staff would blame us, for not being able to meet emergency case needs because the data would be filled with errors, but today that has improved”</p> <p>Bhagya: “we feel more appreciated now for the work we do, the PHC supervisor blames us less”</p> <p>(PHC staff) Nagendra: “the dashboard at the PHC centres can now geographically monitor the progress of the CHWs”.</p> <p>(mHealth engineer) Anita: “the reminder feature also ensures that if any CHW is running behind her tasks, she is reminded to do the task”.</p> <p>Village Health Committee Member: “Initially, there used to be huge problems when it came to correctly allocating the pregnancy scheme to the correct beneficiary due to the data having lots of errors and delay, but the improvement in the data quality has helped in the correct allocation”.</p>
Processes of Subjugation	Control and domination over the human actor by their power relations and power structures	<p>Increase in monitoring and surveillance of CHWs</p> <p>CHWs experiencing lack of institutional support</p> <p>Change in power dynamics between tier 1 and tier 2 CHWs</p>	<p>More pronounced division of work between CHWs</p> <p>Collection of beneficiary ID information</p> <p>Dual collection of data in registers and tablets</p> <p>Additional visits to the PHC for</p>	<p>Yashti and Rajeshri: “We were the biggest support they (tier 1 workers) had before. The process of filling up 2530 registers and then reporting it to the PHC centre was not an easy task. Many a times we would relieve them of some of the burden by doing the household visits ourselves and reporting to them who would then fill the register. But since they have started using the technology, they do not involve us as much, they want all the</p>

				recognition for themselves! We request the supervisor at the PHC centre sometimes, to let us use the tablet as
--	--	--	--	--

45

			<p>charging the tablet and syncing the data</p> <p>Increase in additional work for the CHWs</p> <p>Increase in surveillance of CHWs</p> <p>CHWs receiving blame by the community</p> <p>CHWs receiving blame by the PHC staff</p> <p>Following rules set by the PHC on tablet use</p> <p>CHW's obligation towards following the PHC centre's orders</p> <p>CHWs complaining about workload</p> <p>CHWs complaining about not getting time with their families</p> <p>CHWs complaining about not getting time for their domestic responsibilities</p>	<p>well".</p> <p>Binita: "What is this, our routine job of conducting house to house visits is any way hard as it is, and now we are being watched! We would like our space and freedom to do our tasks when it is suitable for us."</p> <p>Jaya: "during busy period, it becomes quite tiring to go all the way back to the PHC centre to sync the data or charge the tablet as there is better connectivity and electricity there".</p> <p>Kiran: "We are scared that the district officer might blame us if we lose the data, due to some technical glitch, so we collect the data in registers and in the tablet"</p>
--	--	--	--	---



