# D5.12 Engage SESAR Summer School 2019

#### Deliverable 5.12

Engage	
Grant:	783287
Call:	H2020-SESAR-2016-2
Торіс:	SESAR-ER3-01-2016 Knowledge Transfer Network
Consortium coordinator:	University of Westminster
Edition date:	16 March 2020
Edition:	01.02.00
Topic: Consortium coordinator: Edition date: Edition:	SESAR-ER3-01-2016 Knowledge Transfer Network University of Westminster 16 March 2020 01.02.00





#### **Authoring & Approval**

Authors of the document				
Name/Beneficiary	Position/Title	Date		
Radosav Jovanović / University of Belgrade - FTTE	Consortium member	13 March 2020		
Graham Tanner / University of Westminster	Consortium member	13 March 2020		

#### **Reviewers internal to the project**

Name/Beneficiary	Position/Title	Date
Radosav Jovanović / University of Belgrade - FTTE	Consortium member	16 March 2020
Graham Tanner / University of Westminster	Consortium member	16 March 2020
Andrew Cook / University of Westminster	Project coordinator	16 March 2020

#### Approved for submission to the SJU By — Representatives of beneficiaries involved in the project

Name/Beneficiary	Position/Title	Date
Andrew Cook / University of Westminster	Project coordinator	16 March 2020

#### **Rejected By - Representatives of beneficiaries involved in the project**

Name/Beneficiary	Position/Title	Date
N/A		

#### **Document History**

Edition	Date	Status	Author	Justification
01.00.00	08 October 2019	Release	Engage Consortium	New document for review by the SJU
01.01.00	15 January 2020	Release	Engage Consortium	Incorporating SJU comments
01.02.00	16 March 2020	Release	Engage Consortium	Incorporating SJU comments









### THE SESAR KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER NETWORK

This deliverable is part of a project that has received funding from the SESAR Joint Undertaking under grant agreement No 783287 under European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme.



#### Abstract

This report describes the first edition of the Engage SESAR summer school, which took place in Belgrade, Serbia, between 9<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup> September 2019.

The opinions expressed herein reflect the authors' views only. Under no circumstances shall the SESAR Joint Undertaking be responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained herein.



# **Table of Contents**

	Abstra	Abstract3				
	Executive summary					
1	Intr	oduction	6			
	1.1	Engage support for the summer school	6			
	1.2	Objectives of this document	6			
	1.3	Scope of D5.12	6			
2	Pro	gramme	7			
	2.1	Building and publicising the 2019 summer school programme	7			
	2.2	Delivering the 2019 programme	13			
3	Part	ticipants	. 15			
4	Fee	dback from participants	. 18			
	4.1	Participant feedback	18			
5	Con	nclusions and outlook	. 20			
	5.1	Conclusions	20			
	5.2	Lessons learned	20			
	5.3	Outlook	21			
6	Ref	erences	. 22			
7	Acr	onyms	. 23			

# List of figures

Figure 1. Catalogue of lectures	. 11
Figure 2. Lecture preference form	. 12
Figure 3. Final summer school programme	. 13
Figure 4. Participants' countries of residence	. 15
Figure 5. Educational level of participants	. 16
Figure 6. Educational background of participants	. 17
Figure 7. Participants' gender structure	. 17





#### **Executive summary**

The first Engage SESAR summer school took place between 9<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup> September 2019 in Belgrade, Serbia.

30 participants from 13 European countries and Singapore attended the summer school. These included nine Engage-funded PhD students, each of whom presented their PhD topic and the findings obtained thus far. Over four full days, 13 tutorials on key concepts and challenges in air traffic management, as well as on research methods, were given, and two SESAR ER case studies presented by 10 lecturers from the University of Westminster, the University of Belgrade – Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, the University of Trieste, TU Delft and EUROCONTROL.



# 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Engage support for the summer school

Engage is the SESAR Knowledge Transfer Network (KTN), established to promote and facilitate the development of air traffic management research in Europe. The organisation of the summer school received support and expertise from Engage consortium members (led by the University of Belgrade – Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering), from initial planning through to post-summer-school activities.

# **1.2 Objectives of this document**

This document describes the 2019 edition of the Engage SESAR summer school.

### 1.3 Scope of D5.12

The following sections describe the:

- Summer school programme;
- Participants;
- Participants' feedback;
- Conclusions, lessons learned and outlook.





# 2 Programme

# 2.1 Building and publicising the 2019 summer school programme

As envisaged in the GA [1], the Engage summer schools *have the purpose of providing high quality education and training in the field of ATM, gathering together PhD students, experienced researchers and industry representatives*. Given the early stage and varied backgrounds of Engage-funded PhDs, the 2019 programme focused on key ATM concepts and challenges as well as on research methods, and was tailored to the Engage PhDs' needs and preferences. More specifically, the programme was compiled based on the following procedure, which was launched in April 2019:

- 1. The professors and researchers from the Engage KTN consortium were invited to propose one or more relevant topics they could give lectures on, including the topic title and an abstract of the lecture proposed (100-200 words).
- 2. The 25 tutorial proposals were collected by 4<sup>th</sup> May 2019. After checking for possible overlaps, these were compiled into a *catalogue of lectures* and (Figure 1) sent to supervisors of Engage PhDs on 8<sup>th</sup> May 2019, along with the *lecture preference form* (Figure 2). Supervisors could then make a selection of several lectures which they felt would be most useful for their candidates, given the PhD topic and the candidates' background.
- 3. Based on feedback received from Engage PhD supervisors (who all replied by 17<sup>th</sup> May 2019) the final selection of 13 lectures to be given was made and these were incorporated into the summer school programme.

The Call was subsequently publicised via the SESAR JU website, SESAR e-newsletters (initially in May's edition), Twitter, LinkedIn, German Aviation Research Society (G.A.R.S.) Newsletter (June edition) and the Engage website [2]. The application form was available on the Engage website from May.

Attendance to the summer school was free of charge, with costs covered by Engage. Students (only) could also apply to Engage for financial support to assist with their travel and accommodation expenses, of which 17 students were able to benefit from this assistance.

The *catalogue of lectures* and *lecture preference form* are shown on the following pages.



### SESAR Engage KTN – Summer school, 9-13 September 2019, Belgrade: Catalogue of lectures

No.	Lecture title	Learning objectives (Abstract)	Duration (minutos)
1	The principles of	Understand where ATEM fits in the ATM structure. Provide a brief introduction to ASM and ATS	90-120
1	air traffic flow	and how ATEM sits between them. Anneciate the relationship between ASM. ATEM and ATS	50 120
	management	Understand why we need flow management and how it operates under capacity constraints by	
	india Bernette	examining the airport and airspace contexts, with an introduction to the concept of tactical	
		slots. Appreciate further principles of flow management, through additional details of the	
		mechanisms deployed and through a comparison of the US and European approaches.	
2	An introduction	Appreciate the main principles of current flight planning through discussion of the information	60-90
	to flight planning	required for an example flight plan, including available sources of aeronautical information. The	
	and ATM	role of the Network Manager at filing, subsequent message distribution and slot allocation is	
	messaging	summarised. A comparison is given between the basic ICAO flight plan and the more detailed	
		operational flight plans generated internally by airlines using commercial tools. The extended	
		flight plan and its expected benefits are also introduced.	
3	Performance	Appreciate key aspects of performance measurement in ATM, with comparisons across major	60-90
	measurement in	regions and frameworks; gain knowledge of the latest regulatory and KPI contexts, and some of	
	ATM	the main challenges ahead. Background of the Single European Sky targets, contributions from	
		ICAO, key aspects of the key performance areas, comparison of the SES Performance Scheme	
		and SESAR Performance Framework discussed.	
4	Delays in	Appreciate the methodology for calculating the cost of delay to European aviation; gain	60-90
	European	familiarity with the key associated trends and distributions, plus uses of the cost data by	
	aviation: trends	industry and academia. Understand the difference between strategic and tactical delay, plus	
	and costs	the contributions from the various elements (aircraft ownership, maintenance, fuel, crew and	
5	Eccontial data	Cain familiarity with the key datasets available to support aviation and ATM research. Whilet	60.90
5	essential uata	the focus is on European traffic (flights) and passanger data sources, other useful data sources	00-90
	aviation and	(a g anablers calibration) are discussed. Examples of data grapularity show a contrast between	
		high level/aggregated data and individual flight data/nassenger itineraries. Costs and	
		accessibility, dataset cleaning and prenaration, pros and considered considered	
6	Modelling	Understand what is a model, why it is necessary to make decision. Understand basic concepts	45-60
-	methods in	of overfitting, underfitting, prediction power, falsifiability etc. Understand the different	
	aviation:	characteristics of a model; in particular understand differences between logical/causal models	
	comparative	and ML/correlation models. Understand how data enter the picture and what is training and	
	benefits	calibration. Review the main models used in ATM, including agent-based models, neural	
		networks, Bayesian networks etc. Understand their logic, the pros of and cons of each and see	
		some examples of how they have been used in ATM.	
7	Tools for data	Get started on how to do data science in ATM, including following aspects:	60-90
	science	<ul> <li>What tools, languages and modules to use for modelling purpose? (could include Java,</li> </ul>	
		Python, C, but also JADE. Could also include things on code management, like git).	
		<ul> <li>In particular, what languages and modules to use for statistical analysis? (could include</li> </ul>	
		Python and R, maybe Tableau)	
		<ul> <li>How do you take care of data? (could include excel, open-source equivalent, Mysql</li> </ul>	
		databases, non-relational databases like DynamoDB)	
		<ul> <li>How do you produce graphs? For whom? (could include simple excel, matplotlib graphs</li> </ul>	
•	Future concente	and maybe more advanced D3.js things)	60.00
ð	Future concepts	Attain familiarity with the core challenges of future ATM, understanding links with performance	60-90
	III ATIVI	measurement, with insights into trajectory-based operations (introduction to TBO concept and	
9	Convective	Introduction to the convective weather bazards, and the impact of convective weather	90-120
<b>_</b>	weather decision	nhenomena on ATM and different stakeholders. Description of impacts on different parts of	50-120
	support tools for	ATM - airports TMA en-route network and their requirements for the met information	
	air traffic	provision in terms of spatio-temporal resolution. Introduction to the needs for the weather	
	management.	oriented decision support tools, the basics of the tool development process. deployment and	
	with ATM basics	usage. Short review of the advances in the atmospheric sciences and meteo-related	
		observation capabilities with the deployment of satellites.	







No.	Lecture title	Learning objectives (Abstract)	Duration
			(minutes)
10	Financing air	Review of ways of financing ANS in the world, focusing on European setup of the Central Route	90-120
	navigation	Charges Office. Introducing the European regulation on ANS financing, performance scheme	
	services	and pilot common project and their interdependence. Description of how route, terminal and	
		airport charges are calculated and collected. Discussing different route charging options already	
		reviewed by the Commission and some future proposals, some coming from the SESAR2020	
		Exploratory Research projects. Those include modulation of charges through peak load pricing,	
		trajectory pricing. Discussion on why no modulation of charges has been applied so far.	
11	ATM and	The impact of volcanic ash on airframe on one hand and the impact on the ATM on the other.	90
	airlines'	What happens when the volcanic ash is spreading along the active routes from the point of	
	operations in	view of different stakeholders (ATM, airlines, CAAs). What are the regulations in place,	
	volcanic ash	procedures to follow, and the information flows? The current state-of-the-art and the changes	
		2010 Eja eruption brought. New developments in the research arena ash forecasting and	
		observation, discussing the steps to undertake from the research to deployment.	
12	Survey design	Principles of quantitative survey design in the air transport context. Key stages of the survey	90-120
		process and stakeholder use of data. Types of survey vehicle, with focus on quantitative	
		questionnaire design and use of common scales (Likert, semantic differential, stapel scales; pros	
		and cons of scale width choices and balance); visual design and layout issues. Dealing with bias.	
		Key tips for successful survey implementation.	
13	Analysis of	Overview of (survey) data analysis methods, sampling and hypothesis testing. Detailed	270-360
	survey data	discussion of independence, with examples. Choosing the right statistical test, with simplified	
		classroom example. Four in-depth examples using the most common statistical tests, using	
		variants of t and chi-square tests, illustrating common pitfalls and issues encountered. Manual,	
		worked examples and corresponding instructions on using SPSS software. (No prior knowledge	
		of statistics required, equips students to carry out and interpret major tests.)	
14	The door to door	Introduction to the door-to-door perspective. Describe how flight and passenger metrics might	90-120
	perspective	differ and present a different perspective on the system performance. Appreciate the context	
		of door-to-door mobility and the challenges. Describe different passenger profiles and their	
		evolution. Identify which tools are available to model door-to-door times focusing on	
45	Interaction to	access/egress of airport. Example of DATASET2050/vista modelling.	100
15	Introduction to	Acquire knowledge about ATC tasks and activities, organization of the airspace, ATC systems,	180
		ATFM, organization of processes in ATC. The following topics are covered: Air Navigation	
	Control	Services: main goals of service provision, type of services. Airspace organization, classification,	
		sectors and the sector sector sector and the sector sector sector sectors and the sector sect	
		ATC convice structure: functions and division air traffic controller (ATCO) activities, data	
		Are service solutions, functions and division, an infance controller (Areco) activities, data	
		and nilots: flight plans, meteo data, aircraft position, airspace, airport, aircraft trajectory, etc.	
		Types of ATC systems; procedural radar, automation in ATC future ATC ATC units; aerodrome	
		(tower control), approach, terminal (TMA), area control (ACC). AIS, FIS, Alerting service, ATEM	
		service: air traffic system canacity. ATEM, network operations management	
16	Risk and Safety	Bisk and safety are considered the most important operational characteristics of civil aviation.	45
	Modelling in	Usually, they refer to the potential occurrence of air traffic accidents which might result in loss	
	Civil Aviation	of life, damage to infrastructure and third party property damage. Consequently, they have	
		been regarded as externalities in addition to other adverse effects such as noise, air pollution.	
		land-use, water/soil pollution, waste, and congestion.	
		This lecture deals with a review of part of the research on risk and safety modelling in civil	
		aviation. In such a context, the basic (generic) concepts and definitions of risk, safety and their	
		evaluation are described. A review of the research is focused on four categories of	
		methods/models for risk and safety assessment: causal for aircraft and ATC/ATM operations,	
		collision risk, human factor error and third-party risk. The review is carried out with respect to	
		their purpose, problems, recommendations and relation to new technologies.	



No.	Lecture title	Learning objectives (Abstract)	Duration
			(minutes)
17	Causal	Causal methods/models establish the theoretical framework of causes that might lead to	90
	methods/models	certain events (e.g. flight delays, aircraft accidents). These methods/models can be qualitative	
	for the ATC/ATM	or quantitative. The former provide a diagrammatic or hierarchical description of the factors	
	operations	that might cause events. They are useful for improving understanding of causes of accidents	
		and proposing preventive interventions. The later estimate the probability of occurrence of	
		each cause. They might be restricted to pure statistical analysis based on the available data of combine these data with expert judgment on the accident causes. Course will provide evention	
		of the following methods: Fault Tree Analysis, Event Tree Analyses, Bayesian Belief Networks	
		Bow Ties. Petri Nets, etc. Overview is carried out with respect to methods/models purpose	
		problems, recommendations and relation to new technologies.	
18	Agent-based	The FAA and EUROCONTROL, together with a number of European Air Navigation Service	45-90
	modelling of	Providers (ANSPs) and NASA, have worked to evaluate and progress of promising safety	
	ATM Safety	research areas that can aid real operational ATM safety and safety assurance. Motivated by the	
		increased safety risk assessment challenges posed by NEXTGEN and SESAR developments they	
		identified Agent-based modelling as promising technique. The lecture will cover reasons for	
		usage of agent-based modelling, detailed modelling steps and finally examples of usage.	
19	Experimental	Experiments are a common and very useful part of scientific methods as they allow gathering	180
	methods	experimental evidence that allow answering a research question or retusing/confirming a	
		nypointesis. Experiments are often costly in effort and time, especially when human participants are involved, and proper planning is percessed to obtain the evidence cought in support of the	
		research question. The tutorial will cover the following tonics:	
		Formulating research question and bynothesis	
		Observations, longitudinal studies and experiments	
		Dependent and independent variables	
		<ul> <li>Correlation and causality, Granger causality</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Measurement Scales and Distributions (continuous and concrete, examples of</li> </ul>	
		distributions)	
		<ul> <li>Population metrics, sampling methods and sample size</li> </ul>	
		Categories of validity	
		Statistical power	
		Factorial design	
		<ul> <li>Sequence effects, counterbalancing, latin-square design</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Ethical guidelines and participant consent</li> </ul>	
		Experimental design in practice: limitations and considerations	
	<b>The share state</b>	Three short exercises, building on each other, will help applying the above concepts.	75.00
20	The air transport	The air transport value chain: aircraft manufacturers, leasing companies, infrastructure	75-90
	value chain	distribution systems travel agents freight forwarders, etc. Economic relationships between	
		stakeholders involved: The nature of demand for airports and air navigation services, arising	
		from inherently heterogeneous transport demand, accommodated by different airline business	
		models: full-service network carriers, low-fare carriers, business aviation, charters, all-cargo	
		carriers, etc.; Cost and revenue structures of airlines, airports and air navigation service	
		providers.	
21	Introductory	The lecture will give some basic ideas on cost structure, revenue structure and profitability of	60-75
	airport	airports in general, as well as of different airport types. This should help understanding the	
	economics	"objective function" of an airport, and sheds some more light upon relationship between	
		airports and airlines. Importance and sources and of non-aeronautical airport revenues will be	
22	Basics of airfield	specifically addressed. To discuss modelling and models as a planning, design and operation tool. Pole of an	60-90
~~	and airspace	"engineer". Systems approach and analysis. Systems analysis process structure. Why models for	00-00
	modelling	evaluation of alternatives. Model building, Models used in (air) transport. An example of a well-	
		accepted airfield and airspace capacity model.	
23	Introduction to	To discuss basic advantages and possible shortcomings of simulation models.	45-60
	airfield and	Basics of Monte Carlo simulation. Stochastic processes and variables. Structure of simulation	
	airspace	models. Illustrative generic example of an airfield/airspace model.	
	simulation		
	modelling		

10  $\mathbb{O}-2020$  – University of Westminster, Innaxis, Università degli studi di Trieste, Univerzitet u Beogradu, Technische Universiteit Delft, Frequentis AG, EUROCONTROL, European Aviation Safety Agency. All rights reserved. Licensed to the SESAR Joint Undertaking under conditions.



EUROPEAN UNION EUROCONTROL



No.	Lecture title	Learning objectives (Abstract)	Duration
			(minutes)
24	Making best use	Important question for busy airports is how to achieve the best use of the existing resources	90
	of airport airside	before building new ones. Various solutions to increase utilization of available airport airside	
	capacity	capacity are discussed - research results and success with implementing them in practice.	
		Procedural and technological improvements are covered (changes in separation standards,	
		innovative procedure design, etc.), as well as contribution of collaborative decision making (A-	
		CDM, APOC, TAM concepts). Also, an overview of the latest SESAR solutions implementation in	
		Key feature – High performing airport operations is given, pointing out an urgency to consider	
		more closely issue of airport airside capacity.	
25	Demand	Demand at an airport is typically characterized by (more or less pronounced) hourly, daily	60-90
	characteristics	and/or monthly variations. Concentration of traffic throughout the year (seasonality) and	
	related to	throughout the day (due to number of factors) is of high importance for balanced airport	
	airport planning	"supply side" planning (runways, taxiways, aprons and terminals). As for the daily traffic	
		patterns – special attention is given to the difference between O-D and hub airports, i.e. airside	
		capacity sensitivity to concentration of aircraft into waves. Wave-system significantly burdens	
		airport resources requiring higher throughput during relatively short time. Apart from traffic	
		patterns, other demand characteristics of importance for reliable airside planning (balancing	
		between runway system and terminal complex) are discussed.	

Figure 1. Catalogue of lectures





### SESAR Engage KTN – Summer school, 9-13 September 2019, Belgrade

Please fill in this form using the total of up to 10 Xs to state your preferences, putting no more than 3 Xs in any individual preference box.

Supervisor:

		_
No.	Lecture title	Preference box
1	The principles of air traffic flow management	
2	An introduction to flight planning and ATM messaging	
3	Performance measurement in ATM	
4	Delays in European aviation: trends and costs	
5	Essential data sources in aviation and ATM	
6	Modelling methods in aviation: comparative benefits	
7	Tools for data science	
8	Future concepts in ATM	
9	Convective weather decision support tools for ATM, with ATM basics	
10	Financing air navigation services	
11	ATM and airlines' operations in volcanic ash	
12	Survey design	
13	Analysis of survey data	
14	The door to door perspective	
15	Introduction to Air Traffic Control	
16	Risk and Safety Modelling in Civil Aviation	
17	Causal methods/models for the ATC/ATM operations	
18	Agent-based modelling of ATM Safety	
19	Experimental methods	
20	The air transport value chain	
21	Introductory airport economics	
22	Basics of airfield and airspace modelling	
23	Introduction to airfield and airspace simulation modelling	
24	Making best use of airport airside capacity	
25	Demand characteristics related to airport planning	

Figure 2. Lecture preference form





# 2.2 Delivering the 2019 programme

The programme (Figure 3) kicked off on Monday, 9<sup>th</sup> September 2019, with presentations of four Engage-funded PhDs. The PhD session continued on Tuesday morning with the five remaining PhD presentations. Each PhD presentation was followed by lively Q&A session, with questions coming both from colleague PhD students and from senior Engage researchers. It should be noted that each Engage PhD has an Engage mentor assigned and that PhD candidates and their mentors were introduced to each other during the summer school.

SESAR		Friday, 13 Sep	30 09.00-10.00 ve Future concepts cision In ATM sols Luis Delgado	30 FI012 Providence data	12.30-12.45 Losing Radosav Jovanović and Andrew Cook	12.45-14.00 Lunch					
			09.00-10. Convecti weather dec support to Tatjana Bo	11.00-12. Making best airport ain capacit Bojana Mirk							oom 217 (tbc).
P	Programme	Thursday, 12 Sep	09.00-10.30 Essential data sources in aviation and ATM Graham Tanner	11.00-12.30 Performance messurement in ATM Andrew Cook	13.30-14.45 Tools for data science Gerald Gurtner	15.15-16.15 Delays in European aviation: trends and costs Andrew Cook	16.15-17.00 SESAR ER Case study 2: Tatjana Bolić				i. Parallei session on Friday in Ro
er 2019, Belgrade ic Engineering, Vojvode S		Wednesday, 11 Sep	09.00-10.30 Experimental methods - part 1 Dirk Schaefer	11.00-12.30 Experimental methods - part 2 Dirk Schaefer	13.30-15.00 The principles of ATFM Luis Delgado	15.30-16.15 Modelling methods in aviation: comparative benefits Gerald Gurtner	16.15-17.00 SESAR ER Case study 1: COCTA Radosav Jovanović				gistration in front of Room 214 sks in front of Room 214.
er school, 9-13 Septembe e - Faculty of Transport and Traff		Tuesday, 10 Sep	09.30-10.00 PhD5: ML applications to extend AGEN'S conflict resolution Rah/ isufaj	10.00-10.30 PhD6: Integrating weather prediction models into ATM planning Anastasia Lemetti	11.00-11.30 PhD7: Advanced statistical signal processing for next generation Homeyra Analedian Homeyra Analedian	PhDB: A pilot/dispatcher support tool based on enhanced provision of thunderstorm forecasts considering its inherent tactors inder Ender2 Eduardo Andres Ender2	12.00-12.30 PhD9: Stochastic control of tactical airline operations in hub- airport networks Jan Evier	13.30-15.00 Introduction to air traffic control - part 1 FedJa Netjasov	15.30-17.00 Introduction to air traffic control - part 2 Fedja Netjasov	17.00-17.15 PhD contracts update Graham Tanner	or (on-site directions will be provided). <b>Re</b> lay at Teachers' Club, 4 <sup>th</sup> floor. Coffee brei
Engage KTN – Summe Venue: University of Belgrade		Monday, 9 Sep	12.30-14.00 Registration* and Lunch**	14.00-14.15 Wetcome Radosav Jovanović The Engage KTN Andrew Cook	14.15-14.45 PhD1: Decision support system for airline operation control hub Jonas Langner	14.45-15.15 PhD2: Trajectory planning for conflict-free trajectories: a multi agent reinforcement learning Alevitros Bastas	15.45-16.15 PhD3: Detection, classification, identification and mitigation of GNSS signal degradations by means of ML Ergeni Munin	16.15-16.45 PhD4: ML techniques for seamless traffic demand prediction Nanuel Natios			<ul> <li>Lectures given in Room 214, 2<sup>nd</sup> flo ** Complimentary Lunch served each o</li> </ul>

Figure 3. Final summer school programme



© – 2020 – University of Westminster, Innaxis, Università degli studi di Trieste, Univerzitet u Beogradu, Technische Universiteit Delft, Frequentis AG, EUROCONTROL, European Aviation Safety Agency. All rights reserved. Licensed to the SESAR Joint Undertaking under conditions.

13

The programme continued with tutorials on key ATC/ATM concepts and research methodologies. Two SESAR ER case studies were presented too: the Jane's 2019 ATC Innovation award-winning project COCTA, and the ongoing ER project ADAPT. It should be noted that it was attempted to have the presentation of another SESAR ER (and Jane's 2018 Enabling Technology Award-winning) project – RETINA – at the summer school. Following the initial contact in early July, it unfortunately turned out that neither the RETINA project coordinator nor the potential substitute presenters were available during the summer school week.

Specifically, during the opening and closing sessions, but also where relevant throughout the PhD presentations and tutorials, references have been made to relevant Engage thematic challenges and forthcoming workshops, to relevant catalyst-funded projects, as well as to the SESAR Innovation Days conference.

All summer school tutorial slides were made available to participants on a dedicated passwordprotected page of the Engage website.





# 3 Participants

The summer school was attended by 30 participants from 14 countries (see Figure 4). 42 applications from 16 countries were received in total, of which 38 were accepted on a first come, first served basis for postgraduate students studying for an ATM-/aviation-/aeronautical-related topic or those working in the industry. With cancellations, a total of 30 participants took part. The largest number of participants came from Spain (7), followed by Serbia (4), Germany and the Netherlands (3 each), Belgium, France and Greece (2 each), and Bulgaria, Italy, Norway, Singapore, Sweden, Turkey and UK (1 each). The participants included nine Engage PhD candidates and 21 other postgraduate students and practitioners (of which two researchers are involved in Engage catalyst-funded projects).



Figure 4. Participants' countries of residence

Figure 5 shows that three-quarters of participants were PhD students, with most of the others being current or recent MSc students. The four non-student participants are all involved in various SESAR-funded projects.

The summer school also raised interest in several European ANSPs, with three applications received and accepted. However, two of these places were subsequently cancelled due to unforeseen work commitments of the applicants. Limited industry involvement was to be expected given that the





programme focused on ATM tutorials and methodological aspects (reflecting the early stage of the Engage PhDs).

#### Figure 5. Educational level of participants

Figure 6 illustrates the varied educational background of summer school participants. It suggests that almost half of them had some kind of aviation background (aerospace engineering, air transport and traffic engineering, aeronautical engineering or aviation management). Six participants had a background in computer science or informatics, and a fifth with other engineering background (industrial, electrical, civil, space or maritime).



16 © - 2020 - University of Westminster, Innaxis, Università degli studi di Trieste, Univerzitet u Beogradu, Technische Universiteit Delft, Frequentis AG, EUROCONTROL, European Aviation Safety Agency. All rights reserved. Licensed to the SESAR Joint Undertaking under conditions.



EUROPEAN UNION



EUROCONTR



#### Figure 6. Educational background of participants

35 29 30 25 21 20 Male 15 13 Female 9 10 5 0 Applications Attendees

Finally, Figure 7 shows the gender structure of participants and applicants, with around two-thirds male and one-third female in both categories.

Figure 7. Participants' gender structure



# 4 Feedback from participants

# 4.1 Participant feedback

Participants were encouraged to provide feedback, several of which did. Anonymised feedback is shown below.

*I just wanted to say a huge thank you to everyone for preparing the Excellent "Engage KTN Summer School 2019, Belgrade".* 

It was the best opportunity to enhance my knowledge in ATM and meeting the great community in this field. I learned many useful subjects during this week which if I wanted to learn them on my own it would take plenty of time.

*First, I learned the concepts of ATM and mathematic tools related to ATM.* 

Then, the next generation of ATM and also Surveillance data which they were very close to my project

And finally, modeling, performance measurement, and getting familiar with the projects in this field with SESAR solutions and EUROCONTROL.

It was really an honor to see you all.

[Engage PhD student]

Thanks a lot for the brilliant organization of the Engage KTN Summer School I have really learned a lot from it!

I do hope to attend the future trainings on the subject to enrich my knowledge and capacities.

[non-Engage PhD student]

Thank you for the great organization and the inspiring programme. It was nice to visit Belgrade and I am looking forward to the upcoming events and my PhD research.

[Engage PhD student]





Thank you for sharing the slides and for organising a great summer school. There was really a lot of useful information, of which I am still digesting. Also, the venue was great and I hope to visit Belgrade again. You asked during the final day for some feedback to help improve the 2nd edition:

1. I think during the first day, a tour de table of all the participants would have been helpful. This would have made getting to know people a bit quicker and easier, as well as seeing who is doing similar work.

2. An evening event, such as a tour of the city or closing dinner, could have helped further with establishing contacts.

3. I felt that the introductory presentations could have happened on Monday. After, the more technical or specific presentations (such as the PhD topics) could take place. This would allow people coming from the different disciplines to have the necessary background information, before going into more advanced topics.

4. A summer school participation certificate could be a nice gesture, as well as proving useful for those who have to prove attendance to companies/universities.

[non-Engage PhD student]



© – 2020 – University of Westminster, Innaxis, Università degli studi di Trieste, Univerzitet u Beogradu, Technische Universiteit Delft, Frequentis AG, EUROCONTROL, European Aviation Safety Agency. All rights reserved. Licensed to the SESAR Joint Undertaking under conditions.

# 5 Conclusions and outlook

### **5.1 Conclusions**

This first edition of the Engage summer school was considered successful. A healthy number of applications from a number of European countries and Singapore was attracted. This led to a nicely sized and structured final group of 30 participants, with varied backgrounds and a common, strong interest in air traffic management. The programme itself seemed well-tailored to participants' interests and capabilities. Overall, a solid foundation has been laid for the forthcoming work with Engage PhDs, as well as for future editions of the Engage summer school and other related Engage activities.

### 5.2 Lessons learned

The format chosen for the first summer school worked well:

- 1. Involving Engage PhD supervisors in building the programme ensured lecture topics were closely aligned with student requirements;
- 2. Although primarily aimed at Engage PhDs, the programme proved to be attractive to other PhD/MSc students and young researchers.

Lessons learned organising the first summer school:

- Publicising the summer school with a near-final programme at the earliest opportunity (over three months' notice was given in this case) helped the target number of bookings (around 30) to be reached in good time;
- 2. As this was the first summer school, considerable effort was invested in the organisation, preparation of the lecture content, and post-event activities such as processing travel/accommodation expense claims from students eligible for financial support. Although it may be somewhat easier to organise the next two summer schools, the processing of student claims is expected to require a similar amount of effort from the Engage Coordinator;
- 3. Whilst a complimentary lunch was provided for participants each day, organising additional social activities such as an evening meal or a tour should be considered.





Follow-up activities:

- It is clear that some of the PhDs have similar requirements for their research, e.g. use of high-resolution trajectories in TMAs and access to passenger itineraries for decision-making tools. Such research requirements could be addressed by Engage through the organisation of targeted technical workshops involving students and experts from within/outside the network. These may be opened to a limited number of other participants (this will be discussed with the SJU during the upcoming Grant Amendment phase);
- 2. PhD candidates were notified of forthcoming Engage thematic challenge workshops, which align particularly well with their topics of study, and encouraged to attend them.

### 5.3 Outlook

The second Engage summer school is scheduled to be held in July 2020 at EUROCONTROL's premises in Luxembourg. It will have a stronger focus on the Engage PhDs' technical progress and outputs, as the candidates will by then be nearly half-way through their PhD studies. Consequently, less time will be devoted to tutorials on ATM concepts and research methodologies, with more time devoted to PhDs presenting their more mature work and obtaining targeted feedback from both industry and academia, including from their mentors. Supervisors will be strongly encouraged to attend.

Industry involvement will be encouraged, particularly as expert speakers, to give insights into the operational context, thus building on the previous edition of the summer school. However, it should be noted that given the target number of appx. 30 participants identified as lessons learned, with a full complement of Engage PhD students and supervisors, plus other (e.g. EUROCONTROL-sponsored PhD) students, there may only be a few additional places available for industry *delegates per se*.



# 6 References

- [1] Engage project, 2017. Grant Agreement 783287, Ref. Ares(2017)6114946 13/12/2017.
- [2] Engage website, 2019. https://engagektn.com/summer-school-2019/.





# 7 Acronyms

ANSP	Air navigation service provider
ATC	Air traffic control
ATM	Air traffic management
ER	Exploratory Research

- KTN Knowledge Transfer Network
- SESAR Single European Sky ATM research
- SJU SESAR Joint Undertaking
- TMA Terminal manoeuvring area



-END OF DOCUMENT-

