

# WestminsterResearch

http://www.westminster.ac.uk/westminsterresearch

The inequalities of different dimensions of visible street urban green space provision: A machine learning approach Wang, R., Cao, M., Yao, Y. and Wu, W.

NOTICE: this is the authors' version of a work that was accepted for publication in Land Use Policy. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Land Use Policy, volume 123, December 2022, 106410.

The final definitive version in Land Use Policy is available online at:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2022.106410

© 2022. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

The WestminsterResearch online digital archive at the University of Westminster aims to make the research output of the University available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the authors and/or copyright owners.

# 2 The inequalities of different dimensions of visible street urban green space 3 provision in Beijing, China: using street view data and a machine learning 4 approach

4 5

1

6 7

8

9

### ABSTRACT

Awareness is growing that the uneven provision of street urban green space (UGS) may lead to 10 environmental injustice. Most previous studies have focused on the over-head perspective of street 11 UGS provision. However, only a few studies have evaluated the disparities in visible street UGS 12 13 provision. While a plethora of studies have focused on a single dimension of visible UGS provision, no previous studies have developed a framework for systematically evaluating visible street UGS 14 15 provision. This study therefore proposes a novel 4 'A' framework, and aims to assess different dimensions (namely: availability; accessibility; attractiveness; and aesthetics) of visible street UGS 16 provision, using Beijing as a case study. It investigates inequities in different dimensions of visible 17 18 street UGS provision. In addition, it also explores the extent to which a neighbourhood's economic level is associated with different dimensions of visible street UGS. Our results show that, in Beijing, 19 the four chosen dimensions of visible street UGS provision significantly differ in terms of spatial 20 21 distribution and the association between them. Furthermore, we found that the value of the Gini 22 index and Moran's I index for attractiveness and aesthetics are higher than those for availability and accessibility, which indicates a more unequal distribution of visible street UGS from a qualitative 23 24 perspective. We also found that a community's economic level is positively associated with attractiveness and aesthetics, while no evidence was found to support the claim that the economic 25 level of a community associated with availability and accessibility. This study suggests that visible 26 27 street UGS provision is unequal; therefore, urban planning policy should pay more attention to disparities in visible street UGS provision, particularly in urban areas. 28



- 32
- 33
- 34

### 35 1. Introduction

36

37 Urban green space (UGS) is one of the most important amenities for urban residents, since it not 38 only fulfils crucial ecosystem functions, but also contributes to the improvement of public health 39 (Bratman et al., 2019). Previous studies have indicated that UGS can mitigate environmental hazards, such as reducing air pollution (Wang et al., 2020) and urban heatwaves (Yang, Sun, Ge, & 40 41 Li, 2017). In addition, UGS contributes to public health by encouraging physical activity (Wang et al., 2019), promoting social cohesion (Liu et al., 2020), fostering a sense of well-being and reducing 42 stress among residents (Wang et al., 2019). Due to rapid urbanization, the amount of contact that 43 most people have with large-scale UGS has decreased in the last two decades, in China, among 44 45 other countries (Song, Chen, & Kwan, 2020). Compared with large green infrastructure (e.g., urban parks), street-level UGS (e.g., trees, grass, and vegetation) takes less space and is more economical, 46 47 so it can be planned in compact and urbanized area to increase people's contact with nature (Donovan & Butry, 2010; Mullaney et al., 2015). Hence, street UGS is important for the whole 48 49 urban system (Seamans, 2013). For example, Wang and Akbari. (2016) found street trees are 50 necessary for mitigating the effect of urban heat island in Montreal. Wood and Esaian. (2020) 51 pointed out that street vegetation can increase the richness of urban avifauna in Greater Los Angeles, 52 which is important for urban ecology system. Therefore, street-level UGS has attracted attention in recent years and has become a popular means of intervention for meeting the public demand for 53 54 greater engagement with green space (Kondo et al., 2020).

55

Disparities in UGS provision on the basis of socio-economic status (SES) have become an important 56 57 social issue and green justice globally (Liu et al., 2022; Wolch, Byrne, & Newell, 2014). Social 58 groups with a lower SES are more likely to have limited access to UGS, as they may be not able to 59 afford to live near the main UGS locations (Hughey et al., 2016; Li et al., 2021; Rigolon, 2016; 60 Wolch, Wilson, & Fehrenbach, 2005; Xu et al., 2019). In addition, socio-economically disadvantaged groups have fewer political resources and less support, which may restrict the extent 61 62 to which they can engage with the decision-making processes involved in urban planning (Hughey 63 et al., 2016; Rigolon, 2016; Wolch et al., 2005). However, findings regarding the association between SES and UGS provision are inconsistent in the case of some developed countries, such as 64 Japan and the United States (Boone, Buckley, Grove, & Sister, 2009; Comer & Skraastad-Jurney, 65 66 2008; Cutts, Darby, Boone, & Brewis, 2009; Dai, 2011; Hughey et al., 2016; Rigolon & Flohr, 2014; Yasumoto, Jones, & Shimizu, 2014; Zhou & Kim, 2013). On the one hand, some studies have found 67 that social groups with a higher SES have better access to UGS (Dai, 2011; Hughey et al., 2016; 68 69 Yasumoto et al., 2014). For example, Yasumoto et al. (2014) found that park accessibility is 70 positively associated with neighbourhood SES, and new parks are more likely to be built in affluent 71 communities in Japanese cities. Hughey et al. (2016) showed that the quality of parks in areas where 72 socio-economically disadvantaged groups live is likely to be poorer in southeastern US counties. Dai (2011) also pointed out that socio-economically disadvantaged groups, such as African 73 74 Americans, have more limited access to green spaces in metropolitan Atlanta, Georgia. However, other studies from the existing literature have found that socio-economically disadvantaged groups 75 76 tend to have better access to UGS (Boone et al., 2009; Comer & Skraastad-Jurney, 2008; Cutts et 77 al., 2009). For instance, Boone et al. (2009) found that some African Americans have a relatively 78 higher level of accessibility to parks compared to white people in Baltimore, Maryland. Comer et 79 al. (2008) found that Hispanics and other social groups with lower incomes in fact have a higher 80 level of accessibility to parks in Oklahoma City. Finally, Cutts et al. (2009) found that African 81 Americans and Hispanics have better pedestrian access to neighbouring parks in Phoenix, Arizona. 82

82 83

Empirical evidence regarding green justice in the Chinese context is still relatively scant. Most 84 85 existing studies conducted in China have confirmed the existence of SES disparities in UGS 86 provisions (Guo et al., 2019; H. Li & Liu, 2016; Shen, Sun, & Che, 2017; Xu, Xin, Su, Weng, & Cai, 2017; You, 2016; J. Zhang et al., 2020). For example, You (2016) found that district 87 disadvantage degree of income, occupation and housing are all negatively associated with the 88 quantity of UGS in Shenzhen. Guo et al. (2019) demonstrated that areas with higher housing prices 89 90 also have higher levels of accessibility to parks in Beijing. However, two recent studies conducted in Shanghai showed that socio-economically disadvantaged groups, such as migrants and older 91

92 adults, have better accessibility to parks (Xiao, Wang, & Fang, 2019; Xiao, Wang, Li, & Tang, 2017). Compared with large-scale UGS (e.g., parks), SES-related disparities in the provision of street-level 93 visible UGS (e.g., trees) have received less attention, particularly in the Chinese context. Previous 94 studies involving developed countries have shown that SES-related disparities in the provision of 95 96 street-level visible UGS may be more significant than that of large-scale UGS (Li, Zhang, Li, & 97 Kuzovkina, 2016; Li, Zhang, Li, Kuzovkina, & Weiner, 2015). For example, Li et al. (2016) found 98 that neighbourhoods with higher levels of both income and educational attainment have more street-99 level visible UGS in Hartford, Connecticut; however, the same association was not observed for 100 proximity to urban parks. One possible explanation for this difference could be that the provision 101 and maintenance of visible street UGS may be more costly and labour-intensive than the provision 102 and maintenance of parks (Li et al., 2016; Li et al., 2015). However, only two recent studies carried out in Guangzhou have focused on SES-related disparities in the provision of visible street UGS in 103 104 China, and they have yielded similar results to those found in the existing literature for some developed countries (Chen, Zhou, & Li, 2020; Wang et al., 2021). 105

106

Although the provision of visible street UGS has attracted considerable attention, there is currently 107 108 no systematic framework for assessing it. While an increasing amount of scholarly attention has 109 been paid specifically to the uneven provision of UGS, there has been surprisingly little empirical research on the disparities in visible street UGS provision. A handful of studies have examined the 110 uneven provision of visible street UGS in developed countries, such as the United States (Li et al., 111 112 2016; Li et al., 2015), the United Kingdom (Labib, Huck, & Lindley, 2021) and Finland (Toikka, Willberg, Mäkinen, Toivonen, & Oksanen, 2020). However, the findings from these studies may 113 differ from those for developing countries due to cultural and contextual differences. Moreover, 114 115 previous studies conducted in China have mainly concentrated on the methodological aspect of developing different indices for assessing visible street UGS (Chen, Meng, Hu, Zhang, & Yang, 116 2019; Dong, Zhang, & Zhao, 2018; Long & Liu, 2017; Yu, Zhao, Chang, Yuan, & Heng, 2019), 117 while only two existing studies have focused on SES-related disparities in visible street UGS 118 provision (Chen et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). However, they are based either on the district or 119 120 neighbourhood level (juweihui). To the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have evaluated the socio-economic disparities in visible street UGS provision in China at a community level 121 122 (juzhuxiaoqu). 123

## 124 **2.** Theoretical framework

Based on the above review, this study therefore aims to develop a 4 'A' framework (namely: availability; accessibility; attractiveness; and aesthetics) (Fig. 1) for assessing visible street UGS using street view data collected from Beijing. It investigates inequities in different dimensions of visible street UGS provision. In addition, it also explores the extent to which a neighbourhood's economic level is associated with different dimensions of visible street UGS.

130

First, existing studies usually classified UGS into objective and subjective dimension (Kronenberg 131 132 et al., 2020; Stoltz & Grahn, 2021). Objective dimension reflects how easily people can get access 133 to UGS, while subjective dimension measures whether people are willing to get access to UGS (Kronenberg et al., 2020; Stoltz & Grahn, 2021). Second, both objective and subjective dimension 134 of UGS may interact and integrate with each other, which finally forms people's overall impression 135 of a certain UGS (Kronenberg et al., 2020; Stoltz & Grahn, 2021). Therefore, as shown in Fig 1, we 136 137 classified visible street UGS into two dimensions (objective and subjective) and four perspectives (quantity, proximity, quality and diversity). 138

139

140 This study extends previous research in several respects. First, it enhances our knowledge of 141 different dimensions of visible street UGS in China by proposing a novel 4 'A' framework. Second, 142 it investigates the inequalities based on different dimensions of visible street UGS provision. Third, 143 it further explores the extent to which neighbourhood socio-economic level is associated with 144 different dimensions of visible street UGS.



# 154 3.1 Research area155

3. Methodology

As the capital and one of the most unbanised areas of China, Beijing was chosen as the research area for our study. In 2020, 86.6% of the city was urbanised. We selected the central urban area (the area within the Fifth Ring Road) of Bejing city as the main research area (Fig. 2). In total, 5,180 residential communities (juzhuxiaoqu) were included in the study (516 residential communities were excluded due to the limitation of data availability). The average area of the sampled communities was  $0.166 \text{ km}^2$  (SD=  $\pm 0.227 \text{ km}^2$ ), while the average residential population was 1,487 persons (SD=  $\pm$  2101 persons). The visible UGS assessed in this study mainly refers to street-level vegetation, which can be viewed by pedestrians. 



167

Fig 2. The research area in Beijing city, China

168 169

171

172 Street view

We used street view images from Tencent Map (https://map.qq.com/) to estimate visible street UGS. Tencent Map is the most comprehensive online mapping website available, and has been used for a wide range of urban studies in China (see Long & Liu, 2017). We constructed sampling points along the road network based on OpenStreetMap (Haklay & Weber, 2008). Following the approach used in previous studies (Wang et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2019), street view images from the four cardinal directions (0, 90, 180, and 270 degrees) were retrieved for each sampling point. In total, 222,868 images were obtained.

180

181 Similarly to previous studies (Wang et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2019), we used a machine learning 182 approach to extract ground-level objects from street view images. We applied a fully convolutional neural network for semantic segmentation (FCN-8s) (Long, Shelhamer, & Darrell, 2015), which 183 segments the images into the different ground-level objects that are visible along the streetscape. 184 185 We trained our FCN-8s model based on the ADE20K scene parsing and segmentation databases 186 (Zhou et al., 2019). The accuracy of our model was higher than 85% for both the testing and trained 187 data. After the image segmentation process had been completed, the ratio of different ground-level 188 objects was calculated for each image at each sampling point. Since the street view images were 189 collected along the street with precise location information, they can be used to measured how 190 pedestrians are exposed to visible street UGS for each of the sampling point.

191

192 *Tencent mobile phone big data* 

193 Again, following the method used in previous studies (Liu, Wu, Thakuriah, & Wang, 2020), we

obtained Tencent mobile phone data from the Tencent Big Data Centre (http://data.qq.com/) through
the Institute of Geographic Science and Natural Resources Research Centre, at the Chinese
Academy of Sciences from 2015. Tencent mobile phone big data mainly records the location
information of WeChat users, which is representative of smart phone users in China (Economist,
2016). The data consisted of the location information for each user and the spatial resolution of this
data was 100-m.

201 Night-time light data

2013 VIIRS night-time light data for Beijing was downloaded from the WorldPop website
 203 (https://www.worldpop.org/). The spatial resolution of this data was 100-m.

204 205

207

209

213

215

200

- 206 3.3 Variables
- 208 3.3.1 Objective perspective

210 Street view greenness (SVG) per sampling point was calculated by the ratio of the number of 211 greenness pixels per image summed over the four cardinal directions to the total number of pixels 212 per image summed over the four cardinal directions.

214 Availability

Availability reflects whether people have access to UGS (Kronenberg et al., 2020), so we calculated
 the availability of visible UGS by weighting SVG based on Tencent mobile phone data. The
 following formula was used:

219 220

221 
$$Availability_j = \sum_{p=1}^n SVG_{pj} \cdot \frac{Pop_{pj}}{\sum_{p=1}^n Pop_{pj}}$$
 (1)

222 Where  $SVG_{pj}$  is the value of street view greenness for sampling point p in community j; 223  $Pop_{pj}$  is the value of the Tencent mobile phone population for sampling point p in community 224 j; n is the total number of sampling points within community j. 225

225 226

227 Accessibility

Accessibility is an indicator of how easily people can travel to UGS in their locality (Kronenberg et al., 2020), so we calculated the accessibility of visible UGS by weighting SVG based on travel distance. The formula used was as follows:

231  
232 
$$Accessibility_{j} = \sum_{p=1}^{n} SVG_{pj} \cdot \frac{Dis_{pj}}{\sum_{p=1}^{n} Dis_{pj}}$$

(2)

Where  $SVG_{pj}$  is the value of street view greenness for sampling point p in community j; Dis<sub>pj</sub> is the distance between the community geometric centroid and sampling point p in community j; n is the total number of sampling points within community j.

236 237

238 3.3.2 Subjective perspective

239240 *Attractiveness* 

241 Attractiveness reflects the general quality of UGS (Kronenberg et al., 2020), so we calculated the attractiveness of visible UGS using the method proposed by Wang et al. (2021). First, 2,000 images 242 243 were randomly selected and rated based on a UGS quality scale (0 to 10). The scale included the following items: maintenance (very bad-very good), naturalness (very unnatural-very natural), 244 245 colourfulness (very dull-very colourful), clear arrangement (very difficult to survey-very 246 surveyable), shelter (very enclosed-very open), absence of litter (a lot of litter-very little litter), and 247 safety (very unsafe-very safe). This scale has been widely used by previous studies (De Vries et al., 248 2013; Lu, 2019; Van Dillen et al., 2012), which aims to reflect people's general perception of the 249 green space quality. It measures various aspects of green space quality. For example, maintenance 250 mainly reflects whether the green space is regularly and well maintained by the government sector, 251 while the naturalness reflects whether the green space is with higher level of biodiversity (e.g., with bird or other creatures) but without too many artificial decorative objects. Second, based on those 252 253 2,000 images, a random forest (RF) model (Breiman, 2001) was trained by the proportion of different ground-level objects (from the results of the image segmentations) to predict the UGS 254 255 quality scale. Finally, the trained random forest (RF) model was used to score all of the street view images for UGS quality. The attractiveness of each sampling point was calculated by the average 256 257 score on the UGS quality scale (7 items)/10. The following formula was used:

258 Attractiveness<sub>j</sub> = 
$$\sum_{p=1}^{n} Q_{pj} \cdot \frac{1}{n}$$
 (3)

259 Where  $Q_{pj}$  is the value of the street view greenness attractiveness for sampling point p in

260 community j; n is the total number of sampling points within community j.

261

262

### 263 Aesthetics

Aesthetics is a measure of how people perceive the beauty and tastefulness of UGS and it is 264 265 comprised of multiple dimensions (Stoltz & Grahn, 2021). We calculated the aesthetics of visible 266 UGS based on the diversity dimension proposed by Stoltz and Grahn (2021). The more mixed the elements are, the more aesthetically pleasing the UGS is considered to be. Since there is a wide 267 variety of man-made elements, and natural elements is more related to the restorative effect of green 268 269 space (Stoltz & Grahn, 2021), we only focused on natural elements in this study. Therefore, we calculated the aesthetics of visible UGS by generating the entropy of natural elements (bodies of 270 271 water, greenness and living creatures).

272

273 The formula used was as follows:

274

275 
$$Aesthetic_{j} = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{-\left(\sum_{l=1}^{3} G_{lpj} \cdot \ln G_{lpj}\right)}{\ln 3} \cdot \frac{1}{n}$$

276 Where  $G_{lpj}$  is the value of a given street view natural element *l* (body of water, greenness or living 277 creatures) for sampling point *p* in community j; *n* is the total number of sampling points 278 within community j.

(4)

279

280

281 3.3.3 Community population density and economic level

Community population density was calculated based on Tencent mobile phone data. We aggregated the amount of Tencent mobile phone users at a community level, and then calculated the population density for each community selected. Community economic level was calculated based on VIIRS night-time light data. Previous studies have shown that the pixel values (brightness) of night-time light data can reflect the economic level of a region (Li, Xu, Chen, & Li, 2013; Wu, Yang, Dong, Zhang, & Xia, 2018). Thusm we calculated the average pixel values of night-time light data for each community and took this value as the proxy for the economic level of the community.

## 292 3.3.4 Statistical analysis

293 To assess the inequalities between different dimensions of visible UGS, we used spatial analysis, 294 295 inequality indices and linear regressions. First, to identify general inequalities in visible UGS, we 296 calculated the Gini index (Gini, 1921) for the four visible UGS measures. In addition, we used the Global Moran's I (Moran, 1950) to examine the global spatial autocorrelation (inequality) of visible 297 UGS at the community level. Second, we further calculated the Local Moran's I (Anselin, 1995) 298 299 value in order to assess the spatial relevance of visible UGS in each community to its neighbours. 300 The Local Moran's I measures the degree of spatial autocorrelation (inequality) between the visible UGS within each community and its surrounding communities. LISA (Local Indicators of Spatial 301 302 Association) cluster maps of distribution of the visible UGS at the community level were used to visually represent the results. Lastly, to examine whether there were any socio-economic disparities 303 304 in visible UGS provision at the community level, we regressed the community population density 305 and economic level for the four measures of visible UGS. The analyses were carried out with ArcGIS 10.8.1 (Esri Inc., College Station, Aylesbury, UK) and Stata 15.1 (StataCorp., College 306 307 Station, TX, USA) using the 'reg' commands.

308 309

# 310 4. Results311

Fig. 3 shows the spatial distribution of visible UGS at a community level from the perspective of 312 313 availability (Fig. 2a), accessibility (Fig. 2b), attractiveness (Fig. 2c) and aesthetics (Fig. 2d), respectively. We found that visible UGS was generally unevenly distributed in Beijing based on our 314 4-A framework at the community level. From a quantitative perspective (i.e., availability and 315 accessibility), residential communities with higher values of visible UGS were mainly located in 316 317 the northern and western part of the research area. Additionally, there were more residential communities with higher values of visible UGS in the outer area (urban periphery) than in the inner 318 area. Residential communities with lower values of visible UGS were relatively evenly distributed. 319 320

From a qualitative perspective (i.e., aesthetics and attractiveness), residential communities with higher values of visible UGS were mainly located in the western part of the research area. In addition, residential communities with higher values of visible UGS were relatively evenly distributed in both the inner and outer areas. However, compared with the inner area, there were more residential communities with lower values of visible UGS in the outer area (urban periphery).

- 326
- 327



331

Fig 3. The distribution of visible UGS at the community level (Natural Breaks): (a)Availability;
(b)Accessibility; (c)Attractiveness; (d)Aesthetics

332 Table 1 shows the results of the inequality indicators for different visible UGS measures. The Gini index measures the general inequalities in the provision of visible UGS, while Moran's I index 333 334 measures spatial inequality of the visible UGS provision. From a quantitative perspective (availability and accessibility), the Gini index of visible UGS was lower than the Gini index of 335 visible UGS from a qualitative perspective (aesthetics and attractiveness), which indicates there are 336 generally more striking inequalities in the qualitative (aesthetic and attractiveness) provision of 337 visible UGS. In addition, from a quantitative perspective (availability and accessibility), the Moran's 338 I index of visible UGS was lower than that from a qualitative perspective (aesthetics and 339 340 attractiveness), which suggests there is a more obvious spatial autocorrelation from a qualitative 341 perspective (aesthetics and attractiveness) in terms of the provision of visible UGS.

342

#### 343 344 **Table 1**

345	Results of i	nequality	indicators	for the f	four visible	UGS measures.

		Availability	Accessibility	Aesthetic	Attractiveness
	Gini index	0.103	0.109	0.129	0.243
	Moran's I index	0.047***	0.045***	0.049***	0.055***
*p < 0.10,	**p < 0.05, ***p <	< 0.01.			

<sup>346</sup> 347

Fig. 4 displays the local Moran's I values in relation to the four visible UGS measures. Fig. 4a and 348 349 4b show the LISA (Local Indicators of Spatial Association) cluster maps of visible UGS from a quantitative perspective (availability and accessibility). We only focused on the HH (high-high) and 350 LL (low-low) clusters, because the HL and LH clusters only make up a small proportion of visible 351 UGS from a quantitative perspective. The HH clusters were mainly located in the northern and 352 353 western part of the research area, while the LL clusters were largely located in the outer area (urban 354 periphery). Fig. 4c shows the LISA cluster map of visible UGS from an attractiveness perspective. We only focused on the HH and HL clusters, as the LL and LH clusters comprised only a small 355 proportion of visible UGS in terms of attractiveness. The HH and HL clusters were primarily located 356 357 in the western part of the research area and the inner area. Fig. 4d shows the LISA cluster map of visible UGS from an aesthetic perspective. Again, we only focused on the HH and LL clusters, due
to the HL and LH clusters comprising just a small part of visible UGS from an aesthetic perspective.
The HH clusters were mainly located in the northern and western part of the research area, while
the LL clusters were primarily found in the northern and inner parts of the research area.

362

363



**Fig 4.** LISA (Local Indicators of Spatial Association) cluster map of distribution of visible UGS at the community level: (a)Availability; (b)Accessibility; (c)Attractiveness; (d)Aesthetics

Table 2 shows the relationship between the four measures of visible UGS and community population density and economic level using the OLS (ordinary least squares) method. The results show that community population density was positively associated with all four measures of visible UGS, when the other variables remained constant. The economic level of a community was positively associated with visible UGS from a qualitative (aesthetics and attractiveness) dimension. However, there was no statistical evidence to support an association between a community's economic level and the quantity (availability and accessibility) of visible UGS.

376 377 378 **Table 2** 

364

365 366

379 Regression models of visible UGS at the community level in Beijing.

	Model 1 (Availability)	) Model 2 (Accessibility)	Model 3 (Aesthetics)	Model 4 (Attractiveness)
	Coef. (SE)	Coef. (SE)	Coef. (SE)	Coef. (SE)
Population density	0.008***(0.001)	0.007***(0.001)	0.004***(0.001)	0.003***(0.001)
Economic level	0.002*(0.002)	-0.002(0.002)	0.003**(0.001)	0.002***(0.000)
Constant	0.054***(0.016)	0.068***(0.017)	0.131***(0.012)	0.537***(0.007)
AIC	-15018.99	-14182.19	-17511.3	-23462.25
380	Note: Coef. = coefficie	nt; SE = standard error; A	AIC = Akaike inform	ation criterion. * $p < 0.10$ , * $p$
381	< 0.05, ***p < 0.01.			
382				
383				

### 384 5. Discussion

This study extends previous research on inequities in UGS provision in several respects. First, it aims to be the first to propose and apply the 4 'A' framework previously described, in order to assess visible UGS based on street view data. Second, it systematically explores the inequalities in different dimensions of visible UGS provision in Beijing. Third, it further investigates the extent to which a neighbourhood's economical level is statistically associated with different dimensions of visible UGS.

392

385

- 393
- 394
- 395 396

### 5.1 Evaluating the inequalities in different dimensions of visible UGS provision

397 Our results show that, in quantitative terms (availability and accessibility), visible UGS is relatively 398 high in the outer areas, but low in the inner areas (within the Fifth Ring Road) of Beijing. This 399 finding is consistent with previous research using different measures of UGS, such as land cover data, NDVI (normalised difference vegetation index) (Qian, Zhou, Li, & Han, 2015; Qian, Zhou, 400 401 Yu, & Pickett, 2015; Yan, Zhou, Zheng, Wang, & Tian, 2020; Yin et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2018) and public parks data (Guo et al., 2019; J. Wu, He, Chen, Lin, & Wang, 2020). For example, Guo et 402 al. (2019) found that park accessibility was higher in the outer areas of Beijing than in the inner 403 areas. Li et al. (2021) found that the NDVI value was relatively low within the Third Ring Road, 404 but high in outer areas of the city. In addition, two recent studies conducted in Beijing confirmed a 405 406 similar spatial pattern for the green view index (GVI) using street view data at both road and country 407 level (Dong et al., 2018; Li et al., 2021). One possible explanation is that the inner areas were 408 developed and urbanised earlier than the outer areas; therefore, the building density is higher in the inner areas, resulting in less land being available for the building new visible green infrastructure 409 410 (Wu, Li, & Yu, 2016). In addition, there are many historic sites within the inner areas, which may restrict the expansion of existing visible UGS (Li et al., 2021). By contrast, in qualitative terms 411 412 (aesthetics and attractiveness), visible UGS is plentiful in the inner areas, but sparser in the outer 413 areas. Previous studies have demonstrated that the government has spent more on maintaining the 414 historic sites and the surrounding environs in the inner areas (Dou, Zhen, De Groot, Du, & Yu, 2017). 415 This may also have had the effect of increasing the quality (aesthetics and attractiveness) of visible UGS in the inner areas. Hence, housing prices are higher in the inner areas, which may also 416 encourage local residents to maintain the quality of visible UGS (Zhang & Dong, 2018). 417

418

Our results also imply that visible UGS is less equally distributed from a qualitative perspective 419 420 (aesthetics and attractiveness) than from a quantitative perspective (availability and accessibility). 421 With regard to quantity, there are laws and standards in place to ensure the provision of greenspace 422 in the Chinese context, such as the Urban and Rural Planning Law of the People's Republic of China (Standing Committee of the Tenth National People's Congress of the People's Republic of China, 423 2007), the Assessment Standard for Healthy Communities (China Association for Engineering 424 425 Construction Standardization, 2021b) and the Assessment Standard for Elderly-oriented Function 426 of Urban Communities (China Association for Engineering Construction Standardization, 2021a). Therefore, from a quantitative viewpoint, visible UGS is regulated by macroscopic policy, which 427 has resulted in relatively equal distribution. However, due to difficulties in measuring and regulating 428 the quality of visible UGS, its distribution is less equal from this perspective. First, the quality of 429 430 UGS is a relatively subjective notion, so it is difficult for it to be precisely defined and regulated via 431 laws or standards. For example, Knobel et al. (2021) included safety as one of the measures in their 432 green space quality assessment tool, while Gidlow et al. (2012) did not. Second, there are multiple 433 sub-categories that can be used for measuring the quality of visible UGS, which means that 434 assessment and regulation will be time-consuming, labour-intensive and expensive (Wang et al., 435 2021). Lastly, although the quality of visible UGS may be more directly related to health outcomes 436 (Feng & Astell-Burt, 2017), its quantity can be linked to a wider range of ecological functions such as reducing heatwayes (Maimaitiviming et al., 2014), mitigating air pollution (Wang et al., 2020) 437 and increasing biodiversity by providing a habitat for wildlife (Karuppannan, Baharuddin, Sivam, 438 & Daniels, 2014). Therefore, in order to improve the overall well-being of a city, it is more 439 economical and feasible for the government set standards or legislate on the basis of quantity rather 440

### than the quality of UGS.

442

443 Our results also show that there is a positive association between a community's economic level and the quality of UGS, although there is no evidence of a similar association with regard to the quantity 444 445 of UGS, which is consistent with previous studies, such as Wang et al.'s (2021) research in 446 Guangzhou. This means that SES-related disparities are more significant in terms of the provision 447 of visible UGS from a qualitative than a quantitative perspective. There are several explanations for 448 this finding. First, although most UGS in China is provided by the government, it is maintained via local public finance, which is closely related to the economic level of the local community (You, 449 2016). Therefore, communities with a higher SES are more likely to be able to afford the 450 maintenance charges or even to pay more to improve the surrounding environment, so that local 451 residents can enjoy a better quality of public open space (Wang et al., 2021). Second, people living 452 453 in communities with a higher SES are also more likely to demand better quality UGS and be willing to pay for it (Xiao, Lu, Guo, & Yuan, 2017). Previous studies have shown that UGS can function as 454 455 a public good and is positively related to housing prices, so local residents living in wealthier communities may be willing to pay to improve the quality of UGS in order to maintain the value of 456 their properties (Xiao, Li, & Webster, 2016; Xiao, Lu, et al., 2017). Additionally, people living in 457 458 wealthier communities may have more spare time and higher requirements for engaging with the 459 open space environment, so they are more likely to be willing to fund it (Xiao, Wang, et al., 2017). Lastly, as previously mentioned, there are national laws and standards to ensure the provision of 460 461 UGS in China, so the quantity of visible UGS is less influenced by a community's economic level. However, the omission of a qualitative perspective from the laws and standards relating to UGS 462 may make its provision more market-based, and thus more influenced by the economic level of a 463 464 community. Therefore, Zhang et al. (2021) argued that to ensure social equality, more attention 465 should be paid to the qualitative perspective of UGS, instead of excessively pursuing the promotion of its quantity. Although previous studies in China found that labour and capital are the main driving 466 forces of UGS, there were still spatial variations for that (Xu et al., 2019). For example, Xu et al. 467 468 (2019) pointed out that the positive association between capital and UGS provision was weaker in 469 Eastern District such as Beijing than other regions.

470 471

473

### 472 5.2 Implications for urban planning and policy

Assessing the disparities in community-level visible UGS provision in Beijing has implications for 474 475 urban planning and policy. First, although the system used in China for planning green space has specific rules for the general provision of UGS (Zhou et al., 2021), scant attention has been paid 476 477 specifically to visible UGS provision. Therefore, the latter should be taken into consideration in the 478 planning process. Second, our proposed framework for assessing visible UGS provision, which 479 provides a systematic understanding of visible UGS provision, could be used to guide the future planning of green space. In addition, remote-sensing data and land use data were included in the 480 481 national dataset used in this study, so they could easily be used by policy makers to assess UGS 482 provision from an overhead perspective. However, there are currently no data that policymakers 483 could use to assess visible UGS provision, so the government should invest in creating an appropriate dataset. For example, currently, street view data is mainly collected by commercial 484 485 corporations, so it cannot be updated annually due to the high level of investment required. Therefore, the government could collaborate with these companies to create a dataset for assessing 486 changes in visible UGS which would then be updated on an annual basis. Third, our results indicate 487 488 that the four different dimensions of visible UGS provision significantly differ in terms of their 489 spatial distribution and the association between them in Beijing. Therefore, urban planning policy should pay attention to the spatial heterogeneity of different dimensions of visible UGS provision. 490 491 For example, the availability and accessibility of visible UGS are relatively low in the inner area of 492 Beijing, while the attractiveness and aesthetics of visible UGS are relatively high in the same area. 493 Therefore, urban planning policy should focus more on improving the availability and accessibility of visible UGS in the city's inner area. Fourth, inequity indices (e.g., Gini index) relating to different 494 dimensions of visible UGS provision should be considered as a crucial indicator for urban planning 495 496 policy. For example, the China Association for Engineering Construction has published 'Assessment Standards for Healthy Communities' (Standardization, 2021b), which highlights the 497

498 importance of green justice, but contains no specific indicators for measuring inequalities in the 499 provision of visible UGS. Therefore, inequality indices relating to different dimensions of visible 500 UGS provision could be added to the revised version of the standards. Last but not least, we have 501 identified that economically disadvantaged communities have less visible UGS (from a qualitative 502 perspective), so their maintenance allocations for UGS should be increased to provide for the upkeep 503 of their visible UGS.

504 505

507

## 506 5.3 Limitations

It should be noted that this study has the following limitations. First, our proposed framework may 508 not be comprehensive enough. For example, there are different aspects of aesthetics, but we have 509 510 only focused on aesthetics from a diversity perspective. Second, street view data are collected over a set period of time, so they may not fully reflect seasonal variations in greenery. Third, there are 511 512 some gated communities in Beijing, so the street view data may only contain information about the visible UGS outside the boundaries of these communities. Fourth, we only had access to cross-513 sectional street view data, which meant our study was unable to take changes in visible UGS into 514 515 account, nor were we able to make inferences about the causality between the economic level of 516 communities and visible UGS provision. Fifth, communities were identified on the basis of the administrative boundaries, which may have led to a modifiable areal unit problem (MAUP) due to 517 518 the differences in scale between the geographical units (Fotheringham & Wong, 1991). Sixth, census data is usually aggregated at neighbourhood level (juweihui), so it does not provide detailed socio-519 economic and demographic covariates (only population density was included). Seventh, 520 521 street view data offer only two dimensions of visible street UGS, but other two dimension information such as the size of street trees and spacing between the trees also matter (Zhu et al., 522 2021). Last, the factors for measuring SVG attractiveness may be contradictory in some area. For 523 example, if an area is of high naturalness, it is possible that both maintenance and safety can only 524 525 achieve a relatively low level, since a sense of naturalness is associated with higher degree of rewilding (Hoyle et al., 2019). Hence, we did not consider man-made elements when calculating 526 SVG aesthetics, and this may lead to potential measurement bias. 527

- 528
- 529 530

## 531 6. Conclusions

532 This study constitutes the first attempt to propose a systematic framework for assessing visible street 533 UGS provision. Based on Beijing street view data, it explored inequalities in four different 534 dimensions of visible street UGS provision and the extent to which a neighbourhood's economic 535 level is associated with these different dimensions of visible street UGS. Based on the empirical 536 study of Beijing, this paper draws the following conclusions.

537

541

544

(1) We found that the value of the Gini index and Moran's I index for attractiveness and aesthetics
are higher than those for availability and accessibility, which indicates that there is a more unequal
distribution of visible street UGS from a qualitative perspective.

542 (2) The results showed that there are differences in the spatial distribution and clustered pattern543 between qualitative and quantitative perspective of UGS in Beijing.

(3) We also found that a community's economic level is positively associated with attractiveness
and aesthetics, while no evidence was found to support the claim that the economic level of a
community associated with availability and accessibility. Such a result indicated that a community's
economic level is only associated with the qualitative aspects of visible street UGS, which suggests
that there are socio-economic disparities in the qualitative provision of visible street UGS.

550

Therefore, to help achieve the goal of green justice through urban planning and design,policymakers and urban planners should pay more attention to visible street UGS provision.

#### 556 **References**

- 557
- 558 Anselin, L. (1995). Local indicators of spatial association—LISA. *Geographical Analysis*, 27(2), 93-115.
- Boone, C. G., Buckley, G. L., Grove, J. M., & Sister, C. (2009). Parks and people: An environmental justice inquiry in Baltimore, Maryland. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 99(4), 767-787.
- Bratman, G. N., Anderson, C. B., Berman, M. G., Cochran, B., De Vries, S., Flanders, J., . . . Hartig, T.
  (2019). Nature and mental health: An ecosystem service perspective. *Science Advances*, 5(7), eaax0903.
- 565 Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. *Machine learning*, 45(1), 5-32.
- 566 Chen, J., Zhou, C., & Li, F. (2020). Quantifying the green view indicator for assessing urban greening
   567 quality: An analysis based on Internet-crawling street view data. *Ecological Indicators, 113*, 106192.
- 569 Chen, X., Meng, Q., Hu, D., Zhang, L., & Yang, J. (2019). Evaluating greenery around streets using
  570 Baidu panoramic street view images and the panoramic green view index. *Forests*, 10(12), 1109.
- 571 China Association for Engineering Construction Standardization. (2021a). Assessment Standard for
   572 Elderly-oriented Function of Urban Community. Retrieved from
   573 http://www.cecs.org.cn/xhbz/fbgg/11914.html
- 574 China Association for Engineering Construction Standardization. (2021b). Assessment Standard for
   575 Healthy Community. Retrieved from http://www.cecs.org.cn/uploads/soft/180930/1 576 1P9301A405.pdf
- 577 Comer, J. C., & Skraastad-Jurney, P. D. (2008). Assessing the Locational Equity of Community Parks
  578 through the Application of Geographic Information Systems. *Journal of Park & Recreation*579 *Administration*, 26(1), 122-146
- 580 Cutts, B. B., Darby, K. J., Boone, C. G., & Brewis, A. (2009). City structure, obesity, and environmental
   581 justice: An integrated analysis of physical and social barriers to walkable streets and park access.
   582 Social Science & Medicine, 69(9), 1314-1322.
- 583 Dai, D. (2011). Racial/ethnic and socioeconomic disparities in urban green space accessibility: Where to
   584 intervene? Landscape and Urban Planning, 102(4), 234-244.
- 585 De Vries, S., Van Dillen, S. M., Groenewegen, P. P., & Spreeuwenberg, P. (2013). Streetscape greenery
   and health: Stress, social cohesion and physical activity as mediators. *Social Science & Medicine*, 94, 26-33.
- Donovan, G. H., & Butry, D. T. (2010). Trees in the city: Valuing street trees in Portland, Oregon.
   *Landscape and urban planning*, 94(2), 77-83.
- Dong, R., Zhang, Y., & Zhao, J. (2018). How green are the streets within the sixth ring road of Beijing?
   An analysis based on Tencent street view pictures and the green view index. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(7), 1367.
- Dou, Y., Zhen, L., De Groot, R., Du, B., & Yu, X. (2017). Assessing the importance of cultural ecosystem
   services in urban areas of Beijing municipality. *Ecosystem Services*, 24, 79-90.
- Economist, T. (2016). China's mobile internet: WeChat's world. Retrieved from https://www.economist.com/business/2016/08/06/wechats-world
- Feng, X., & Astell-Burt, T. (2017). Do greener areas promote more equitable child health? *Health & Place, 46, 267-273.*
- Fotheringham, A. S., & Wong, D. W. (1991). The modifiable areal unit problem in multivariate statistical analysis. *Environment and Planning A*, 23(7), 1025-1044.
- 601 Gidlow, C. J., Ellis, N. J., & Bostock, S. (2012). Development of the neighbourhood green space tool
   602 (NGST). Landscape and Urban Planning, 106(4), 347-358.
- 603 Gini, C. J. T. e. j. (1921). Measurement of inequality of incomes. *31*(121), 124-126.
- Guo, S., Song, C., Pei, T., Liu, Y., Ma, T., Du, Y., . . . Peng, Y. (2019). Accessibility to urban parks for
  elderly residents: Perspectives from mobile phone data. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 191, 103642.
- Haklay, M., & Weber, P. (2008). Openstreetmap: User-generated street maps. *IEEE Pervasive computing*, 7(4), 12-18.
- Hoyle, H., Jorgensen, A., & Hitchmough, J. D. (2019). What determines how we see nature? Perceptions
  of naturalness in designed urban green spaces. *People and Nature*, 1(2), 167-180.
- Hughey, S. M., Walsemann, K. M., Child, S., Powers, A., Reed, J. A., & Kaczynski, A. T. (2016). Using
  an environmental justice approach to examine the relationships between park availability and
  quality indicators, neighborhood disadvantage, and racial/ethnic composition. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 148*, 159-169.

- Karuppannan, S., Baharuddin, Z. M., Sivam, A., & Daniels, C. B. (2014). Urban green space and urban
  biodiversity: Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 7(1), 1-16.
- Knobel, P., Dadvand, P., Alonso, L., Costa, L., Español, M., & Maneja, R. (2021). Development of the
  urban green space quality assessment tool (RECITAL). Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 57,
  126895.
- Kondo, M. C., Mueller, N., Locke, D. H., Roman, L. A., Rojas-Rueda, D., Schinasi, L. H., . . .
  Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J. (2020). Health impact assessment of Philadelphia's 2025 tree canopy cover goals. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 4(4), e149-e157.
- Kronenberg, J., Haase, A., Łaszkiewicz, E., Antal, A., Baravikova, A., Biernacka, M., . . . Andreea Onose,
   D. (2020). Environmental justice in the context of urban green space availability, accessibility,
   and attractiveness in post-socialist cities. *Cities*, 106, 102862.
- Labib, S., Huck, J. J., & Lindley, S. (2021). Modelling and mapping eye-level greenness visibility
  exposure using multi-source data at high spatial resolutions. *Science of the Total Environment*,
  755, 143050.
- Li, H., & Liu, Y. (2016). Neighborhood socioeconomic disadvantage and urban public green spaces
  availability: A localized modeling approach to inform land use policy. *Land Use Policy*, *57*, 470478.
- Li, X., Ma, X., Hu, Z., & Li, S. (2021). Investigation of urban green space equity at the city level and
   relevant strategies for improving the provisioning in China. *Land Use Policy*, 101, 105144.
- Li, T., Zheng, X., Wu, J., Zhang, Y., Fu, X., & Deng, H. (2021). Spatial relationship between green view
  index and normalized differential vegetation index within the Sixth Ring Road of Beijing. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 62, 127153.
- Li, X., Xu, H., Chen, X., & Li, C. (2013). Potential of NPP-VIIRS night-time light imagery for modeling
  the regional economy of China. *Remote Sensing*, 5(6), 3057-3081.
- Li, X., Zhang, C., Li, W., & Kuzovkina, Y. A. (2016). Environmental inequities in terms of different types
  of urban greenery in Hartford, Connecticut. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, *18*, 163-172.
- Li, X., Zhang, C., Li, W., Kuzovkina, Y. A., & Weiner, D. (2015). Who lives in greener neighborhoods?
  The distribution of street greenery and its association with residents' socioeconomic conditions in Hartford, Connecticut, USA. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 14(4), 751-759.
- Liu, W., Wu, W., Thakuriah, P., & Wang, J. (2020). The geography of human activity and land use: A big
  data approach. *Cities*, *97*, 102523.
- Liu, Y., Wang, R., Lu, Y., Li, Z., Chen, H., Cao, M., . . . Song, Y. (2020). Natural outdoor environment, neighbourhood social cohesion and mental health: Using multilevel structural equation modelling, streetscape and remote-sensing metrics. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 48, 126576.
- Liu, J., Zhang, L., Zhang, Q., Li, C., Zhang, G., & Wang, Y. (2022). Spatiotemporal evolution differences
   of urban green space: A comparative case study of Shanghai and Xuchang in China. *Land Use Policy*, 112, 105824.
- Long, J., Shelhamer, E., & Darrell, T. (2015). *Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation*.
   Paper presented at the Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition.
- Long, Y., & Liu, L. (2017). How green are the streets? An analysis for central areas of Chinese cities using Tencent Street View. *PloS One*, *12*(2), e0171110.
- Lu, Y. (2019). Using Google Street View to investigate the association between street greenery and
   physical activity. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 191, 103435.
- Maimaitiyiming, M., Ghulam, A., Tiyip, T., Pla, F., Latorre-Carmona, P., Halik, Ü., . . . Caetano, M.
   (2014). Effects of green space spatial pattern on land surface temperature: Implications for
   sustainable urban planning and climate change adaptation. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 89, 59-66.
- Moran, P. A. (1950). Notes on continuous stochastic phenomena. *Biometrika*, 37(1/2), 17-23.
- Mullaney, J., Lucke, T., & Trueman, S. J. (2015). A review of benefits and challenges in growing street
   trees in paved urban environments. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 134, 157-166.
- Qian, Y., Zhou, W., Li, W., & Han, L. (2015). Understanding the dynamic of greenspace in the urbanized
  area of Beijing based on high resolution satellite images. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*,
  14(1), 39-47.
- Qian, Y., Zhou, W., Yu, W., & Pickett, S. T. (2015). Quantifying spatiotemporal pattern of urban greenspace: new insights from high resolution data. *Landscape Ecology*, 30(7), 1165-1173.
- 672 Rigolon, A. (2016). A complex landscape of inequity in access to urban parks: A literature review.
   673 Landscape and Urban Planning, 153, 160-169.
- 674 Rigolon, A., & Flohr, T. L. (2014). Access to parks for youth as an environmental justice issue: access

- 675 inequalities and possible solutions. *Buildings*, *4*(2), 69-94.
- Seamans, G. S. (2013). Mainstreaming the environmental benefits of street trees. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 12(1), 2-11.
- Shen, Y., Sun, F., & Che, Y. (2017). Public green spaces and human wellbeing: Mapping the spatial inequity and mismatching status of public green space in the Central City of Shanghai. Urban 680 Forestry & Urban Greening, 27, 59-68.
- Song, Y., Chen, B., & Kwan, M.-P. (2020). How does urban expansion impact people's exposure to green
   environments? A comparative study of 290 Chinese cities. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 246*, 119018.
- Standing Committee of the Tenth National People's Congress of the People's Republic of China. (2007).
   Urban and Rural Planning Law of the People's Republic of China. Retrieved from http://www.gov.cn/flfg/2007-10/28/content 788494.htm
- Stoltz, J., & Grahn, P. (2021). Perceived sensory dimensions: An evidence-based approach to greenspace
   aesthetics. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 59, 126989.
- Toikka, A., Willberg, E., Mäkinen, V., Toivonen, T., & Oksanen, J. (2020). The green view dataset for
  the capital of Finland, Helsinki. *Data in Brief, 30*, 105601.
- Van Dillen, S. M., de Vries, S., Groenewegen, P. P., & Spreeuwenberg, P. (2012). Greenspace in urban neighbourhoods and residents' health: adding quality to quantity. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 66(6), e8-e8.
- Wang, Y., & Akbari, H. (2016). The effects of street tree planting on Urban Heat Island mitigation in
   Montreal. Sustainable Cities and Society, 27, 122-128.
- Wood, E. M., & Esaian, S. (2020). The importance of street trees to urban avifauna. *Ecological Applications*, 30(7), e02149.
- Wang, R., Feng, Z., Pearce, J., Yao, Y., Li, X., & Liu, Y. (2021). The distribution of greenspace quantity
  and quality and their association with neighbourhood socioeconomic conditions in Guangzhou,
  China: A new approach using deep learning method and street view images. *Sustainable Cities and Society, 66*, 102664.
- Wang, R., Helbich, M., Yao, Y., Zhang, J., Liu, P., Yuan, Y., & Liu, Y. (2019). Urban greenery and mental wellbeing in adults: Cross-sectional mediation analyses on multiple pathways across different greenery measures. *Environmental Research*, *176*, 108535.
- Wang, R., Yang, B., Yao, Y., Bloom, M. S., Feng, Z., Yuan, Y., . . . Lu, Y. (2020). Residential greenness, air pollution and psychological well-being among urban residents in Guangzhou, China. *Science of the Total Environment*, *711*, 134843.
- Wolch, J., Wilson, J. P., & Fehrenbach, J. (2005). Parks and park funding in Los Angeles: An equity mapping analysis. Urban Geography, 26(1), 4-35.
- Wolch, J. R., Byrne, J., & Newell, J. P. (2014). Urban green space, public health, and environmental justice: The challenge of making cities 'just green enough'. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 125, 234-244.
- Wu, J., He, Q., Chen, Y., Lin, J., & Wang, S. (2020). Dismantling the fence for social justice? Evidence
  based on the inequity of urban green space accessibility in the central urban area of Beijing. *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics City Science, 47*(4), 626-644.
- Wu, R., Yang, D., Dong, J., Zhang, L., & Xia, F. (2018). Regional inequality in China based on NPP VIIRS night-time light imagery. *Remote Sensing*, 10(2), 240.
- Wu, Y., Li, S., & Yu, S. (2016). Monitoring urban expansion and its effects on land use and land cover
   changes in Guangzhou city, China. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 188*(1), 54.
- Xiao, Y., Li, Z., & Webster, C. (2016). Estimating the mediating effect of privately-supplied green space
   on the relationship between urban public green space and property value: Evidence from
   Shanghai, China. Land Use Policy, 54, 439-447.
- Xiao, Y., Lu, Y., Guo, Y., & Yuan, Y. (2017). Estimating the willingness to pay for green space services
   in Shanghai: Implications for social equity in urban China. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening,
   26, 95-103.
- Xiao, Y., Wang, D., & Fang, J. (2019). Exploring the disparities in park access through mobile phone
   data: Evidence from Shanghai, China. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 181*, 80-91.
- Xiao, Y., Wang, Z., Li, Z., & Tang, Z. (2017). An assessment of urban park access in Shanghai–
  Implications for the social equity in urban China. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 157, 383393.
- Xu, M., Xin, J., Su, S., Weng, M., & Cai, Z. (2017). Social inequalities of park accessibility in Shenzhen,
   China: The role of park quality, transport modes, and hierarchical socioeconomic characteristics.
   *Journal of Transport Geography*, *62*, 38-50.
- Xu, Z., Zhang, Z., & Li, C. (2019). Exploring urban green spaces in China: Spatial patterns, driving

- factors and policy implications. *Land Use Policy*, 89, 104249.
- Yan, J., Zhou, W., Zheng, Z., Wang, J., & Tian, Y. (2020). Characterizing variations of greenspace
  landscapes in relation to neighborhood characteristics in urban residential area of Beijing, China. *Landscape Ecology*, 35(1), 203-222.
- Yang, J., Sun, J., Ge, Q., & Li, X. (2017). Assessing the impacts of urbanization-associated green space
  on urban land surface temperature: A case study of Dalian, China. Urban Forestry & Urban *Greening*, 22, 1-10.
- Yasumoto, S., Jones, A., & Shimizu, C. (2014). Longitudinal trends in equity of park accessibility in
   Yokohama, Japan: An investigation into the role of causal mechanisms. *Environment and Planning A*, 46(3), 682-699.
- Yin, J., Wu, X., Shen, M., Zhang, X., Zhu, C., Xiang, H., . . . Li, C. (2019). Impact of urban greenspace
  spatial pattern on land surface temperature: a case study in Beijing metropolitan area, China. *Landscape Ecology*, 34(12), 2949-2961.
- You, H. (2016). Characterizing the inequalities in urban public green space provision in Shenzhen, China.
   *Habitat International*, 56, 176-180.
- Yu, X., Zhao, G., Chang, C., Yuan, X., & Heng, F. (2019). Bgvi: A new index to estimate street-side greenery using Baidu street view image. *Forests*, 10(1), 3.
- Zhu, S., Du, S., Li, Y., Wei, S., Jin, X., Zhou, X., & Shi, X. (2021). A 3D spatiotemporal morphological database for urban green infrastructure and its applications. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 58, 126935.
- Zhang, Z., Wang, M., Xu, Z., Ye, Y., Chen, S., Pan, Y., & Chen, J. (2021). The influence of Community
   Sports Parks on residents' subjective well-being: A case study of Zhuhai City, China. *Habitat International*, 117, 102439.
- Zhang, J., Yu, Z., Cheng, Y., Chen, C., Wan, Y., Zhao, B., & Vejre, H. (2020). Evaluating the disparities in urban green space provision in communities with diverse built environments: The case of a rapidly urbanizing Chinese city. *Building and Environment, 183*, 107170.
- Zhang, Y., & Dong, R. (2018). Impacts of street-visible greenery on housing prices: Evidence from a hedonic price model and a massive street view image dataset in Beijing. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 7(3), 104.
- Zhou, B., Zhao, H., Puig, X., Xiao, T., Fidler, S., Barriuso, A., & Torralba, A. (2019). Semantic understanding of scenes through the ade20k dataset. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, *127*(3), 302-321.
- Zhou, Q., van den Bosch, C. C. K., Chen, Z., Wang, X., Zhu, L., Chen, J., . . . Dong, J. (2021). China's
   Green Space System Planning: Development, Experiences, and Characteristics. Urban Forestry
   & Urban Greening, 127017.
- Zhou, W., Wang, J., Qian, Y., Pickett, S. T., Li, W., & Han, L. (2018). The rapid but "invisible" changes
   in urban greenspace: A comparative study of nine Chinese cities. *Science of the Total Environment*, 627, 1572-1584.
- Zhou, X., & Kim, J. (2013). Social disparities in tree canopy and park accessibility: A case study of six
  cities in Illinois using GIS and remote sensing. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 12(1), 8897.
- 776