

WestminsterResearch

<http://www.westminster.ac.uk/westminsterresearch>

**"A Cathartic Moment in a Man's Life": Homosociality and Gendered Fun on the Puttan Tour
Crowhurst, I. and Eldridge, A.**

This is a copy of the accepted author manuscript of the following article: Crowhurst, I. and Eldridge, A. (2018) "A Cathartic Moment in a Man's Life": Homosociality and Gendered Fun on the Puttan Tour, *Men and Masculinities*.

DOI:10.1177/1097184X18766578. The final definitive version is available from the publisher Sage at:

<https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1097184X18766578>

© The Author(s) 2018

The WestminsterResearch online digital archive at the University of Westminster aims to make the research output of the University available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the authors and/or copyright owners.

Whilst further distribution of specific materials from within this archive is forbidden, you may freely distribute the URL of WestminsterResearch: (<http://westminsterresearch.wmin.ac.uk/>).

In case of abuse or copyright appearing without permission e-mail repository@westminster.ac.uk

Men and Masculinities

'A cathartic moment in a man's life': homosociality and gendered fun on the puttan tour

Journal:	<i>Men and Masculinities</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Review
Abstract:	<p>Rarely addressed in academic scholarship, the puttan tour is a well-known form of entertainment in Italy where young men drive around in small groups with the aim of spotting street sex workers. On some occasions the participants will approach the sex workers to strike up a conversation. On others they will shout out insults from their car then drive away. This paper aims to advance a detailed analysis of this under-explored cultural practice drawing on a diverse body of scholarship exploring the intersection of masculinity, leisure, and homosociality. By analyzing stories of puttan tours gathered mostly online, including written accounts and YouTube videos, our aim is to explore the appeal of the puttan tour through an analysis of how homosociality, humor and laughter operate in this example of gendered fun. To this end, we look at the multiple and often equivocal meanings of this homosocial male-bonding ritual, its emotional and affective dynamics, and the ways in which it reproduces structures of inequality while normalizing violence against sex workers.</p>

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

'A cathartic moment in a man's life': homosociality and gendered fun on the *puttan tour*

Abstract

Rarely addressed in academic scholarship, the *puttan tour* is a well-known form of entertainment in Italy where young men drive around in small groups with the aim of spotting street sex workers. On some occasions the participants will approach the sex workers to strike up a conversation. On others they will shout out insults from their car then drive away. This paper aims to advance a detailed analysis of this under-explored cultural practice drawing on a diverse body of scholarship exploring the intersection of masculinity, leisure, and homosociality. By analyzing stories of *puttan tours* gathered mostly online, including written accounts and *YouTube* videos, our aim is to explore the appeal of the *puttan tour* through an analysis of how homosociality, humor and laughter operate in this example of gendered fun. To this end, we look at the multiple and often equivocal meanings of this homosocial male-bonding ritual, its emotional and affective dynamics, and the ways in which it reproduces structures of inequality while normalizing violence against sex workers.

Introduction

As a teenager growing up in Italy in the late 1980s, Isabel, the first author of this paper, recalls how every now and then on a Saturday the 'boys' of her group of friends would meet late at night after the 'girls' had gone home and drive to a nearby city for a *puttan tour*. The *puttan tour* – 'whore tour' in English – consists of a small group of young male friends who, usually at night, drive around to spot street sex workers, approach them to strike up a conversation, or shout insults at them from their car. The aim of the *puttan tour* is not for the 'tourers' to purchase sexual services, but to spend time together, exchange jokes, laugh and have fun while engaging with the (real or

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

imagined) spectacle of street prostitution. While not unique to Italy, the *puttan tour* is typically understood as a common ritual for young men in the country.¹

When in her previous work Isabel briefly reflected on this experience, she commented on how the acceptance of the *puttan tour* as a form of entertainment reflected “the normalized male objectification of prostitute women, both as sexual bodies and, in this particular case, as a source of mockery upon which masculine identities are performed and reinforced” (Crowhurst 2007: 83). While mostly interested in addressing the role of the *puttan tour* in the material and discursive construction of outdoor prostitution in Italy, Isabel also made reference to a rather generalized and homogenous notion of masculinity in parallel with the other few studies that briefly mention and attempt to make sense of this common ritual. Agustin, for example, has written that the *puttan tour* is about young men spending time together, looking for and at street sex workers, sometimes drinking, taking drugs together, and “in general, being men” (2006: 77). Similarly, Leonini (1999) claims that the *puttan tour* is a complex ritual predicated upon a particular construction of masculinity which is not about actual sexual consumption but sharing the transgressive act of engaging with prostitution. Two more authors briefly mention the *puttan tour* describing it as a lighthearted activity to reinforce male group identity (Monzini 2002), and as a rite of passage to reinforce the camaraderie of groups of young men (Barnao 2006).

This paper builds on these sparse accounts of the *puttan tour*, framing it as a ritualistic, performative and collective practice of male-bonding predicated upon gendered fun and shared entertainment. It advances a more detailed analysis of this under-explored and often taken-for-granted cultural practice in Italy, drawing on a diverse body of scholarship concerning the intersection of masculinity, leisure, humor and homosociality. More specifically, by analyzing stories of *puttan tours* gathered from written accounts and *YouTube* videos, our aim is to explore the appeal of the *puttan tour* through an analysis of homosociality, humor and masculinity. To

¹ The term *puttan tour*, while mostly used to refer to the practice described here, is sometimes adopted to indicate the kerb-crawling of punters interested in buying sexual services. This is however more frequently described as ‘*andare a puttane*’, or ‘whoring’.

1
2
3 paraphrase Pascoe (2007), the gendered fun imbricated in the *puttan tour* is, for the ‘tourers’, a way
4
5 of constructing and making sense of the social world and in so doing reflecting, reinforcing but
6
7 also re-negotiating dominant meanings of gender. Rather than merely describing what the *puttan*
8
9 tour is, we are interested in what it ‘does’; while, as noted above, it is certainly a common ritual and
10
11 is perceived as lighthearted fun, we are interested in how it both troubles and affirms hegemonic
12
13 forms of masculinity. To this end, we look at the multiple and often equivocal meanings that are
14
15 attributed to this collective homosocial male-bonding ritual, its affective and emotional dynamics
16
17 and residues, and the ways in which it reproduces structures of inequality. While the *puttan* tour
18
19 appears to be evidence of a creative form of male sociability, we argue that this activity and its
20
21 construction as merely an innocent and fun ritual associated with youth masculinity can, at the
22
23 same time, re-assert problematic forms of male privilege that normalize hostility and violence
24
25 against sex workers.
26

27
28 The discussion that follows takes the *puttan tour* as a ritualized male leisure activity and
29
30 focuses on three entwined themes: masculinity and leisure, homosociality and leisure, and the role
31
32 and purpose of laughter and humor in male leisure practices. These themes are used to situate the
33
34 *puttan tour* within a broader conceptual framework which will foreground our discussion of the
35
36 data. We then present the methodology, followed by a brief overview of how the Italian context
37
38 contours the *puttan tour*. In the remaining sections, drawing on the data, we mirror the literature
39
40 review by looking at the *puttan tour* as a male youth leisure activity, the centrality of togetherness
41
42 and homosociality, and the role of emotions, humour and gendered fun. As we note in the
43
44 conclusion, the exploration of these themes develops our analysis of the complex ways in which
45
46 “leisure activities are intimately connected with gendering processes that induce broad social
47
48 impacts” (Pringle et al 2011: 107).
49
50
51
52

53 **Leisure activities and gendered power**

54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 Leisure is highly political and politicized and gender plays a central role in leisure choices,
3 experiences, access, and constraints (Henderson et al 1989; Aitchison 1999; Shaw 2001). In
4 contrast to traditional accounts, which framed leisure in terms of simply freedom or escape, leisure
5 is now widely understood as bound up with power (Rojek, 2010) and is therefore both reflective
6 and constitutive of hegemonic masculinities and femininities. Leisure remains a contested term,
7 and the ways that gender contours leisure is equally disputed (Aitchison 2003), but to understand
8 the *puttan tour* we start from the basis that leisure is “a site both for the construction of hegemonic
9 masculinity and its reinforcement” (Wearing 1998:83). In these terms, leisure spaces and practices
10 can clarify or ‘express’ (Spracklen 2013) hegemonic masculinity.
11
12

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 Equally, Wearing also suggests that leisure practices and spaces can be sites where “fissures
22 in such hegemony occur [and] where traditional masculinity may be challenged” (Wearing
23 1998:83). Some leisure practices might therefore also provide the opportunity to more creatively
24 negotiate gendered power relations, if not explicitly undermine or challenge hegemonic and
25 normative gender altogether. Following this account, recent analyses of men’s leisure practices
26 develop Anderson’s (2009) notion of multiple, softer and ‘inclusive masculinities’, pointing to
27 examples ranging from contact sports (Channon and Matthews, 2015; Murray and White 2017) to
28 fashion (Duffy 2014). Rather than simply reinforce hegemonic masculinities, these activities and
29 others might be practices for resisting and re-imagining dominant masculinity. We return to
30 Anderson’s work later, but for the moment Nayak’s (2006) discussion of masculinities is worth
31 reciting in terms of how it takes both approaches. Echoing Wearing’s understanding that leisure
32 might provide spaces of fissure or challenge, Nayak uses the figure of the palimpsest to argue that
33 through leisure young men entwine, erase, reassert and reconfigure new and old practices of being,
34 belonging and doing masculinity. His account works with an understanding of masculinities as
35 fractured, multiple, intersectional and context specific while equally recognizing that traditional
36 and contemporary forms of masculinity exist in a relationship played out against a backdrop of
37 changing leisure spaces as well as changing socio-economic contexts. By employing the figure of
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 the palimpsest, Nayak demonstrates that while masculinity might well be changing, that does not
3 necessarily equate with a complete erasure of power, sexual politics, or, as we understand it, other,
4 more progressive forms of hegemonic masculinity.
5
6
7

8
9 Nayak's framing of leisure and masculinity helps to clarify our own thoughts on the *puttan*
10 *tour*. As discussed in depth later, the authors have struggled with this example; recognizing on the
11 one hand that it is a productive and perhaps even creative way of negotiating the transition from
12 adolescence to adulthood. On the other hand, we are keenly aware of how it reproduces often
13 reactionary and problematic masculinity which is then explained on the basis that adolescence is
14 merely a transitory stage. Pringle et al claim that many researchers of masculinity and leisure fail to
15 "discuss their conceptualizations of the workings of power as associated with gender" (2011: 110)
16 and O'Neill's (2015) critique of Anderson similarly foregrounds our concerns here. Anderson's
17 optimism evades a deeper analysis of gendered power relations which is critical when analyzing
18 practices such as the *puttan tour* and the broader sexual politics in which they are located. Indeed,
19 highlighting the ways that hegemonic masculinity is produced, resisted or constituted through
20 leisure alerts us to the ways leisure and gendered relations of power are articulated. That is to say,
21 despite recognizing that masculinities are fraught and liable to change or be challenged, specific
22 leisure practices can reproduce problematic forms of masculinity, which, at one extreme, are
23 deeply embedded within patriarchal, homophobic and sexist power structures; Chess and Shaw's
24 (2015) review of #Gamergate being one recent example. By looking at the gendered dimensions
25 of leisure and fun that form the basis of the *puttan tour* we approach this practice as deeply
26 entwined with violence against sex workers. While recognizing masculinity as fluid and contingent,
27 we echo Wearing's (1998) point that leisure can be a site through which to challenge as well as
28 reinforce hegemonic masculinities.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 Another point to be taken from accounts exploring the intersections of masculinity and
52 leisure is a robust debate about homosociality and, secondly, what is actually meant by
53 'masculinity'. Central to many accounts of men's leisure is Sedgwick's understanding of
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

homosociality as discussed in *Between Men* (1985). Here, the term is understood as triangular in structure where women serve as a conduit through which male bonds are developed, maintained and strengthened. Men's relations with women are not only subordinate, but also instrumental in their bonding with other men (Sedgwick 1985: 229). When put to work in leisure studies, homosociality typically refers to patters of male bonding found in activities such as the *puttan tour*. Activities such as this are not only enjoyed predominantly by men, but their execution depends upon, or can lead to, men bonding at the expense, exclusion or negation of women and 'others' – see for example, 'girl hunting' (Grazian 2007), practices to sexually humiliate and/or assault women for men's amusement (Flood 2008), and 'girl watching' (Quinn 2002).

As Flood notes, much of the literature on homosociality concerns the role of homophobia as constitutive of hegemonic masculinity. Much of this literature concerns competition between men but in the work of Evers (2009), Thurnell-Reid (2012) and Anderson (2009) a slightly different discussion of homosociality opens up. Drawing heavily on Sedgwick, Thurnell-Reid (2012) foregrounds friendship as not simply about the negation of women or homosexual men. Anderson (2009), in a similar vein, challenges Connell's (1995) interpretation of hegemonic masculinity as always in opposition to homosexuality. Anderson's work has been crucial to a recent unpicking of homosociality and masculinity, in particular its reliance on the trope that it always occurs at the exclusion of women or homosexual men. His work proceeds from the hypothesis that young men are less homophobic than older generations resulting in a 'softer' and more egalitarian form of masculinity. Equally, and in contrast to Connell, Anderson suggests that there is no longer a single hegemonic masculinity, but that multiple forms of masculinity exist and are valorized alongside each other. These 'typologies' (Evers 2017) of masculinity do not necessarily compete for hegemonic dominance, but may comfortably exist alongside each other. Similarly, in their work on masculinity and homosociality in Italy, Ferrero Camoletto and Bertone (2016) illustrate how homosocial bonding both reproduces and disrupts naturalized and dominant gender hierarchies and models. In sum, as this body of work suggests, conceiving masculinity as

1
2 contradictory, contextual and apt to both resistance and change means challenging the idea that
3
4 homosociality always reinforces male bonding at the expense of women, and that hegemonic
5
6 masculinity always occurs through the negation of the feminine.
7

8
9 One way through which such complex dynamics of homosocial bonding have been
10 theorized is through humor. For Kehily and Nayak, drawing on their study of secondary school
11 men, “heterosexual masculinities are organized and regulated through humor” (1997:69). In their
12 work, humor is not only central to masculine identities, but is also linked to ‘differentiated
13 heterosexualities’ (1997:70), which we take to mean again multiple forms of masculinities. They
14 draw firstly on the notion of humor and laughter as a means of resistance, a technique most
15 famously explored by Willis (1977). Following Willis, humor is a coping strategy and means for
16 subversion and resistance as well as a creative solution to exclusion. Kehily and Nayek equally
17 frame humor as constitutive; producing specific forms of masculinity that can be both oppressive
18 to other students, especially women, as well as a means of social conformity to hegemonic
19 masculinity. For them, humor is therefore linked to negation as well as achieving prestige and
20 functions as a ‘disciplining’ tool to maintain normative masculinity.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 The link between humor and status is of course not new. Coser (1959) drew attention to
35 how humor can affirm status and momentary control. While her research was conducted in the
36 workplace, the relationship she drew between power and humor remains a compelling argument.
37 As it relates to the discussion at hand, humor is also bound up with aggression, which for
38 Kotthoff entails “teasing, mocking, parodying, and ridiculing” (2006:13). Moreover, sexually
39 aggressive joking served not only as a means of establishing and reciting hierarchies, but “signalled
40 familiarity and a certain sense of belonging, even in their aggressiveness towards out-groups”
41 (Kotthoff 2006:14). This is again a core feature of the use of humor in groups, and a clear way of
42 demonstrating exclusion – making jokes at others – and inclusion – sharing values and
43 perspectives (Kotthoff 2006). More importantly, “[s]exual joking often seems to play a role when
44 desires cannot be satisfied” (Kotthoff 2006:17). For the *puttan tour*, this may well be the case; as
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 Hickey-Moody and Laurie argue, “[h]umor enables people to express desires contrary to social
3 rules without actually breaking any” (2016:218). But homosociality and humor can produce
4 distinct effects and need to be explored in their context. Hickey-Moody and Laurie argue that
5
6 “[m]en are everywhere seen to be failing at being men, and practices of ridicule allow these failed
7 men to be contrasted with the hegemonic ideals to which men are expected—against all odds—to
8 aspire” (2016:220). Kotthoff (2006) similarly points to the importance of context and situation,
9 and very usefully, the role of humor in challenging hegemonic masculinities and femininities
10 through, for example, self-deprecation. These complex and contrasting perspectives clearly emerge
11 in Ferrero Camoletto’s (2014, 2013) analysis of the constructions of male heterosexuality among
12 young Italian men. Here we see men who, when discussing their sexual practices, laugh at other
13 men and women to reinforce their hegemonic status. In contrast to this, other respondents are
14 either willingly self-deprecating or make themselves the object of their male friends’ mockery. This
15 allows them to mark their sexual failures and awkwardness as a shared laughing matter and
16 therefore acceptable through the collective fun they arouse; acceptable, however, only as long as
17 these laughable ‘missteps’ are understood as temporary or age-specific.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 Once again, as we have seen with leisure and masculinity, work on humor and masculinity
35 points in different directions. On the one hand, it can shore up privilege at the expense of women
36 or gay men. Equally, humor can be employed by men as a means to bond and, in some cases,
37 parody one’s own failings in fulfilling normative adult masculinity. The discussion below echoes
38 this ambiguity. It is concerned primarily with men in late adolescence negotiating the hitherto
39 unsure world of adulthood and adult sexuality, one that is deeply marked by structures of power
40 and inequality but one where their own dominance is both a source of potential ‘awkwardness’ as
41 well as potential prestige; with the latter not yet guaranteed. The term awkwardness here is thus
42 deployed to capture a sense of ambiguity; the tourers have not yet achieved the status of
43 normative adult masculinity such that the humor central to the *puttan tour* is directed both towards
44 their own ambiguous status as ‘men’, as well as outwards towards the sex workers. As
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 demonstrated in more depth later, our argument here is that such ambiguity and awkwardness are
3 legitimated by their youth, in ways that would not be acceptable in adulthood.
4
5

6
7 To summarize, the literature drawn on here invariably represents multiple shifts in thinking
8 about homosociality, humor, leisure and masculinities. Masculinities are not fixed and transform
9 under different conditions and in different contexts. But while this is more or less now given,
10 there are different ways of situating leisure within this, from accounts which emphasize resistance
11 and creative solutions, to those which suggest more of a palimpsest of both traditional and
12 modern forms of masculinity. Likewise, we can identify a trend towards challenging the idea of
13 there being a single hegemonic masculinity, and instead the belief that we are now witnessing the
14 emergence of a more inclusive masculinity resulting in homosociality becoming less exclusionary
15 or reactive and, equally, resulting in multiple valorized types of masculinity. Finally, and central to
16 our analysis, humor, laughter and shared fun serve as an optic for thinking about the way that
17 modern masculinities are enforced and undermined, often again producing or challenging
18 heteronormativity, homosociality and hegemonic masculinity. Overall, a key theme from the
19 literature is the need to keep power at the forefront and, indeed, this forms a key theme in our
20 analysis.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **Methodology**

39
40 This paper draws on the analysis of various documents which can be broadly grouped in two
41 typologies of ‘stories of *puttan tours*’. The first includes the small number of *ex-post facto* accounts of
42 *puttan tours* and explanations of the practice that are posted on the internet – on publicly viewable
43 blogs, fora, and other websites² – and published in *How Much?*, Carla Corso and Sandra Landi’s
44 1998 edited collection of interviews in which, as the subtitle explains, *Clients and prostitutes tell their*
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54
55 ² A *Google* search of “puttan tour” reveals approximately 24,500 results, many of which are only vaguely relevant to the
56 subject of this paper. Of these, 25 were selected as specifically addressing in narrative and explicative form the practice
57 analysed here.
58

1
2
3 *stories*.³ These written texts are reflective narratives that present the *puttan tour* as a complex and
4 purposeful ritual structured through age, gender and sexuality. The second typology of documents
5 analyzed reflects the fact that “stories have become increasingly visual” (Plummer 1995:112), and
6 comprises videos of *puttan tours* uploaded on the video-sharing website *YouTube*. We selected
7 *YouTube* as the video-sharing website with the highest number of *puttan tour* videos publicly
8 viewable without the need for a login or registration. By inputting “puttan tour” on *YouTube*’s
9 search engine we obtained “about 2,160 results” (in December 2017)⁴, the vast majority of which,
10 however, are not the ‘live accounts’ of *puttan tours* that we were seeking but were instead videos
11 somehow related to sex work. Of the over 2,100 results, we identified 31 videos of *puttan tours*
12 based on relevance, meaningful length and understandable content. These vary in length between
13 18 seconds to over 15 minutes. They were posted between May 2007 and March 2017, and have
14 been viewed between 150 to over 17,000 times. The videos show *puttan tours* with two to five
15 young men, with two exceptions: one is made by two middle age men, and a second one by two
16 young women and a man. The videos show *puttan tours* that took place in urban and suburban
17 localities in at least 10 of the 20 Italian regions.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 For data analysis purposes, the content of the videos, including dialogues and visual
35 components, were summarized in written texts. We then carried out a qualitative content analysis
36 of all the data collected which led to inductively generated codes and categories, and subsequently
37 to the themes and patterns discussed in the next section. When analyzing the videos, we had to
38 take into account that the relationship between the story and reality is somewhat collapsed: the
39 videos feature the *puttan tours* in action, video-recorded with a mobile phone in the very moment
40 they are taking place. While portraying ‘real action in action’, however, the videos are also

41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
³ The book referred to here is one of three that Corso co-authored detailing her own experiences as a sex worker and those of other sex workers and clients in the Italian sex industry in the 1980s, 1990s and 2000s.

⁴ It is possible that *YouTube*’s policies on nudity, sexual and hateful content may have limited the amount of *puttan tour* videos publicly viewable. The videos uploaded on *YouTube* do not contain graphic nudity or sexual content, but some of them include racist and sexist language directed against street sex workers, and have therefore been flagged to be reviewed by *YouTube*. At the time of writing this (December 2017), they remain publicly available. The ethical, epistemological and methodological implications of reporting material that we have ourselves used as part of our own research form part of a forthcoming paper.

1
2 conceived and produced as accounts of *puttan tours* for others to consume. These products are
3
4 therefore analyzed here as stories of *puttan tours* aimed at being uploaded to publicly viewable
5
6 websites to be shared and memorialized. This does not mean that we view these recordings as
7
8 staged. Rather, we approach and analyze the videos as embedded in a dramaturgical framework
9
10 wherein the tourers provide a live account of their *puttan tour* to an imagined audience, which is
11
12 vicariously present during the action via the materiality of the video-recording mobile phone. The
13
14 short texts used to describe the videos and their content suggest that they are mostly aimed at an
15
16 imagined audience comprised of other men who are invited to ‘join in’ in the fun. Indeed, most of
17
18 the comments added by the viewers, although limited in number, appear to be written by men.
19
20 This was relevant in our making sense of the data which was also analyzed in relation to the
21
22 double male gaze – from within the tourers’ group and from the *YouTube* viewers without –
23
24 shaping the gendered dynamics of the shared ‘adventures’.
25
26

27
28 As explained so far, most of the data upon which the analysis of this paper is based was
29
30 gathered from publicly available websites. There is limited space here to discuss the
31
32 methodological implications of this, however two points need to be briefly addressed. The first
33
34 pertains to the process of data mining from public websites. We accessed public data that we
35
36 found useful, analyzed it and cited it in this paper without seeking the consent of those who
37
38 uploaded the material. Following guidelines on internet research (British Psychological Society
39
40 2013, AOIR 2012), we took the position that those who posted their videos and writings on
41
42 publicly available, non-password-protected websites wished to share their data with different and
43
44 unknown viewers, with no expectation of privacy, and with an awareness of the variety of uses
45
46 that their data could be made of once uploaded. Secondly, we acknowledge that, while online
47
48 spaces present the researcher a vast amount of data, not everyone can or wishes to generate
49
50 publicly available online data and that participating in online spaces entails the privilege of being
51
52 able to do so (Tucker 2009). As Morrow et al state, “the everyday lives we encounter in online
53
54 spaces [...are] produced and consumed as commodities, by those with the power to frame their
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 everyday lives as meaningful” (Morrow et al 2016: 531). In this respect, on the one hand our
4
5 research on the *puttan tour* was made possible by the availability of online data posted and made
6
7 public by some tourers. On the other hand, while the perspective of street sex workers on this
8
9 practice was not the focus of this paper and instead forms the basis of a forthcoming project, we
10
11 need to at least point out that there is, at this stage, no equivalent online public account of the
12
13 *puttan tour* as told by sex workers. That online data is infinite does not disallow its partiality and the
14
15 absence of voices and perspectives which are regularly missing or silenced in public exchanges
16
17 outside the virtual framework. Indeed, the internet reflects and reproduces the problematic social
18
19 structures within which it exists (Morrow et al 2016).
20
21
22

23 **The *puttan tour* in the Italian context**

24
25 We know very little of the history of the *puttan tour* in Italy, how and when it became a familiar
26
27 practice and, for some at least, an established ritual. It is likely that the passing of the current
28
29 prostitution law in 1958 which abolished state-regulated brothels and partially criminalized
30
31 prostitution, played a part in facilitating the development of the *puttan tour* by creating the
32
33 conditions for an increase in the visibility of street sex workers.⁵ The latter, until the late 1980s,
34
35 were mostly Italian women but the composition of prostitution started to change significantly in
36
37 the early 1990s with the arrival of migrant women (and to a lesser extent men and transgender
38
39 women) who now constitute the largest presence in the relatively large Italian outdoor prostitution
40
41 sector (Crowhurst et al 2017). The historical visibility of sex workers in public spaces has not made
42
43 their presence ordinary or normalized to the point of being taken-for-granted or ignored, however.
44
45 Similar to what Chapuis (2016) has observed in the well-established red-light district in
46
47 Amsterdam, in Italy the stigma attached to prostitution has not waned nor has the moral otherness
48
49 of people involved in the sex industry been undermined simply because it is more visible. The
50
51
52
53

54
55 ⁵ The decades after the passing of the new prostitution law were also the time when passenger cars became a
56
57 widespread means of personal transport in Italy, thus making it possible for young men to have access to them
58
59 (ISTAT 2011) – another factor that is likely to have facilitated the diffusion of the practice that is so reliant on the car.
60

1
2 spaces occupied by street sex workers, be it urban or suburban main or side roads, parks, or petrol
3 stations, remain part of the ‘immoral landscape’ (Symanski 1981) of the country, and therefore
4 continue to attract kerb-crawlers, curious voyeurs, and *puttan tourists*. The ‘Puttan Tour Roma’ t-
5 shirt,⁶ which portrays a map of the areas of the city where street sex workers can be found,
6 provides an example of how street sex workers, as paradigmatic sexual dissidents of the urban
7 scene (Walkowitz 1992) are central in the creation of an erotic topography (Chapius 2016) that
8 makes “the sexual possibilities and pitfalls of the city legible” (Hubbard 2002: 369).

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17 It should not be overlooked that street prostitution also attracts the attention of law and
18 order enforcers and in the past two decades it has been the target of various punitive local-level
19 ordinances against sex workers and their clients (Crowhurst et al 2017). Whilst these measures
20 have negatively impacted on the safety and health of sex workers (Crowhurst et al 2017), they have
21 had little to no effect in reducing outdoor prostitution, a feared outcome by those who thought
22 that the ordinances would pose a real threat to the survival of the *puttan tour* (see for example,
23 Bossi 2008). If anything, they may have contributed to reinforcing its tension between desire of,
24 and anxieties towards, sex workers. Moreover, the recent criminalization of clients, who until the
25 1990s had been relatively ignored by prostitution policies and their implementers,⁷ may be
26 reinforcing the perceived danger, and therefore excitement, of the experience as ‘vicarious kerb-
27 crawling’ without any actual sexual consumption.

28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 A final comment pertains to the name of this practice, which is far from having a simply
42 descriptive connotation. ‘Puttan’ is short for ‘puttane’ (whores), a feminine noun which like
43 ‘whore’ is socially loaded in signifying and reflecting the stigma attached to women who engage in
44 sex work as “traditional models of female dishonor” (Pheterson 1993: 46). The word ‘tour’
45 accentuates the motionalty of the experience and conjures images of a sightseeing trip entailing

50
51
52 ⁶ [http://www.prezzishock.it/Puttan-Tour-ROMA--Indispensabile-come-ultima-spiaggia-
per.name,852754,auction_id,auction_details](http://www.prezzishock.it/Puttan-Tour-ROMA--Indispensabile-come-ultima-spiaggia-per.name,852754,auction_id,auction_details)

53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 ⁷ As Bertone and Camoletto (2009) among others have pointed out, engaging with prostitution in Italy, during the
time of state-regulated brothels (i.e. until 1958), was viewed as a socially acknowledged rite of passage for young men
to enter the world of sexual male adulthood. This view only started waning in the 1980s with the radical change in the
composition of and social response to prostitution briefly outlined in the text. Now the figure of the client is more
ambiguously perceived, as is reflected in some of the data analyzed.

1
2 the observation of ‘something’ different from anything one is normally accustomed to, possibly
3 even exotic, scary or curious. The ‘touring’ of the ‘puttane’ emphasizes both the movement and
4 the gendered gaze involved in the practice which, with some resemblances to *flânerie*, is
5 dependent on the ability of men to exercise their privileged gaze on sexualized others while driving
6 around and remaining, potentially, unseen (Jordan and Aitchison 2008).
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 **The *puttan tour* as an ‘obligatory passage’: visions from adulthood**

16 We start the exploration of the data with an analysis of post-hoc accounts of the *puttan tour*
17 presented mostly from adult men’s perspectives. For them, the *puttan tour* is characterized as a
18 collective, gender and age-specific activity carried out by young men. What is meant by ‘young’ is
19 not clearly determined, however most of the accounts analyzed make reference to the age of
20 transition from adolescence to adulthood, more specifically from late teens to early twenties. For
21 men in the older age group the *puttan tour* is often described as a rite of passage and a mandatory
22 experience, as these extracts from online fora show: “at the age of sixteen it is compulsory in
23 Rome. They don’t even give you a driving license if you’ve never been on one”⁸
24 (Termometropolitico 2011); “it is an obligatory passage” (Gamesvillage 2010); “it’s a must for a
25 teenager” (Russia-Italia 2008); “every average and respectable male must have taken part in a
26 puttan tour at least once in their life” (Charlie 2008); and, asked rhetorically, “who has never gone
27 on a puttan tour?” (Superzeta 2002). In the caption of his commemorative *puttan tour* video, a
28 middle-aged man writes that *puttan tours* “are part of the background of each of us, they are part of
29 the adolescent life of all Roman and Italian boys!” (‘Video 1’ 2016). In the video, the same man
30 also refers to the *puttan tour* as “a dogma [sic], at eighteen years of age, for any man growing up”
31 (ibid.). While the term ‘dogma’ may not be used correctly here, it signifies the indisputability and
32 indispensability of the *puttan tour* as a process of initiation, a *rite de passage*, that young men
33 participate in to be confronted with the desire, danger and disgust associated with the world of sex
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56
57 ⁸ All quotations drawn from the data have been translated into English by the authors.
58
59
60

1
2 for sale (Hubbard 2000). By approaching the latter from the safety of the car, the tourers can
3
4 vicariously experiment with what male adulthood feels like. As Andrea claims in his interview with
5
6 Corso and Landi, “going out to look at prostitutes is like touching transgression, even if we are
7
8 staying out if it. We like it, it makes us feel like grown-ups” (Corso and Landi 1998: 108).
9

10
11 Turner (1966) explains that rites of passage are rituals that foster transition from one social
12
13 state to another. Such transitions, Turner details, are marked by three phases: separation, the liminal
14
15 period, and aggregation. This is useful in making sense of the identification of the *puttan tour* as a
16
17 ritual which is predicated upon its construction as a marker of detachment from adolescence, the
18
19 point of departure, towards the phase of destination, the future of adulthood, when “the passage is
20
21 consummated” (Turner 1966: 359). In this final phase of re-incorporation into a stable state, the
22
23 ritual subject has rights and obligations and “is expected to behave in accordance with certain
24
25 customary norms and ethical standards binding on incumbents of social position” (Turner 1966:
26
27 359). In contrast, the liminal ‘days of the *puttan tour*’ are viewed as neither regimented nor
28
29 unchanging, and are rather an ambiguous and ever-shifting time of transition, when, as Turner
30
31 characterizes this phase, the ‘passengers’ negotiate and experiment with attributes of the past and
32
33 the coming stage (ibid.)
34
35

36
37 While liminality and transition are often experienced as times of anxiety and uncertainty, in
38
39 the accounts of former tourers who have ‘consummated the passage’ and write from the
40
41 perspective of adulthood, the *puttan tour* is looked back at nostalgically, with a longing for what
42
43 they perceive to be the untroubled youthfulness it symbolizes. In his blog, Prepuzio (PrepuziO’
44
45 blog 2009) writes about the insouciant times when he would go on a *puttan tour* with friends, who,
46
47 like him, were optimistic about the future and unbothered by the little studying they did at
48
49 university. Bossi (2008) in an evocative piece entitled ‘Farewell to the *puttan tour*’ also
50
51 sentimentally looks back at the ‘age of the *puttan tour*’ when, despite being students with no money,
52
53 it was possible to have fun and dream big (Bossi 2008). On another website, the *puttan tour* is
54
55 described as “a cathartic moment in a man’s life” (Nonciclopedia 2016) – a time of freedom, and
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 an opportunity for the tourers to share excitement and curiosity, inciting together the emotions
3 that the *puttan tour* may conjure, and laugh at each other precisely for those very shared emotions.
4
5 Comments on other fora feature recollections of *puttan tours* laced with a nostalgic sense of ‘those
6
7 were the days!’
8
9

10
11 In these post-hoc accounts, the lightheartedness of the age associated with the *puttan tour* is
12 also often extended to the nature of the practice, presented as an innocent ritual and an innocuous
13 practice of masculine companionship whose purpose in not hurting the sex workers. Prepuzio
14 writes that “the greatest transgression consisted in asking for the price of the sexual service, and
15 then [we] skid away, embarrassed and laughing at what was viewed as a good-humored and
16 innocent prank while the whore would curse at us” (Prepuzio’ blog 2009). On a forum, a
17 participant comments: “I remember some amazing puttan-tours about 10 years ago along the main
18 road in Rimini, and then we would go to the gros [possibly a locality] to laugh *with* the trans, we
19 used to do it, more than anything else, to be together and have a laugh, and then we’d end up the
20 night in a mcdonald (sic) in Riccione, fuck, what amazing memories being 18” (Ilmeteoform
21 2007; emphasis added). Bossi insists that the *puttan tour* was “devoid of eroticism and sleaziness”
22 and “we would never, under any circumstance, say anything unbecoming to the girls: we would
23 talk to them about all sorts, and occasionally our mischievous gaze would end up on their tits. And
24 the girls, tour after tour, would remember our names” (Bossi 2008). In these short recollections
25 the emphasis of, now adult, tourers is on the shared silliness and fun of the experience – silliness
26 and fun which were sometimes met with the anger of the ‘whore’, but more often shared, the
27 accounts suggest, with the ‘girls’ and ‘trans’ themselves with whom the tourers ended up chatting
28 and laughing. In the quotation above, Bossi is adamant that the *puttan tour* was only ever benign
29 and centered around shared and respectful humor, lacking any form of crassness or abuse. The
30 *puttan tour* is also presented as a fun-filled male bonding ritual which had little to do with sexual
31 desire. Although the latter was not completely absent – the tourers’ did gaze at the ‘girls’ tits’ – this
32 is described as a naughty ‘slip of the eye’, which somehow would endear the ‘girls’ to the tourers.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

These mischievous looks are presented as almost inevitable, but it is also firmly emphasized that the *puttan tour* was not sleazy or erotic – it was about the fun and not ever about the sex. This distinction is important because it creates a divide between the respectful and respectable young *puttan tourers*, looking for a way to find entertainment together, and the sleazy clients who also drive around in search of street sex workers, but with the intent of buying sexual services. This is implicitly frowned upon and condemned in the online fora threads analyzed, where buying sexual services from sex workers is viewed as something that ‘losers’ do, and certainly not for ‘real men’.⁹ Presenting a narrative where going on a *puttan tour* was entirely about male friends having fun together, and *not* about buying sex or ‘being horny together’ almost functions as a way of positioning the ‘tourers’ on a high ground of goodness and respectable gendered fun. Indeed the hierarchical policing of what counts as acceptable masculine behavior and what is inferior and contemptable can also be present within the group itself. This is captured by Francesco, a middle-age man at the time of the interview with Corso and Landi, who remembers that he was often annoyed by some of his friends who, during a *puttan tour*, protected by being in the group, “would insult the girls. Some, excited and impotent at the same time, blew off their frustration in this way; others were simply ignorant, insensitive and certainly full of hang-ups” (Corso and Landi 1998: 62). Here the spite for the inappropriate sexual and emotional tensions released by such friends are tinged with an accusation of cowardice for using the collectivity of the male group as a protected vantage point from which to insult the ‘girls’.

43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

Another aspect that emerges from these melancholic narratives of past and innocent times is how they contrast to adulthood, replete with endless responsibilities that need to be fulfilled in the context of economic crisis and more complicated relationships with women. This bleak scenario awaits the still oblivious young tourers, it will crush their hopes and dreams, dissipate the excitement of ‘hanging out’ together and will be substituted with a new “desire to stay at home in our own shell” (Bossi 2008). Given Italy’s lingering history of patriarchal familism, built on the

55
56
57
58
59
60

⁹ See for example: <https://forum.ilmeteo.it/thread-139521.html?highlight=puttan+tour> and <http://www.gamesvillage.it/forum/archive/index.php/t-847590.html>

1
2
3 “strong cultural convictions that masculinity is the ‘natural’ expression of ‘tradition’ and ‘social
4 order’” (Ruspini 2009: 127), the authority and dependability expected of adult men, even in times
5 of great socio-economic changes, is presented here as almost asphyxiating. Adulthood with its
6 burdens is viewed as an encumbrance to male freedom and bonding, of which the *puttan tour* is a
7 trope, and is therefore valorized and treasured. The *puttan tour* assumes a distinctive biographical
8 quality, to borrow from Thurnell-Read’s (2012) analysis of stag tours, in that it signifies ‘epochal’
9 changes in a man’s life and the inevitable passage from adolescence, idealized as untroubled and
10 feckless. The deployment of melancholia for the past and its youthful leisure times reinforces the
11 construction of the *puttan tour* as a necessary, benign and innocuous ritual associated with the
12 pleasures of youth. Nostalgic memories of the *puttan tour* are thus stripped of any negative
13 connotation, emotion and implications, erasing the anxieties and tensions that may have come
14 with it as well as the gendered power and inequalities that it reproduces. These problematic
15 aspects, almost erased in the romanticized post-hoc accounts, emerge more clearly in the videos
16 and more recent online comments analyzed in the next section.

34 **What happens on the *puttan tour*: togetherness, bonding and gendered fun**

35
36 As discussed above, while predicated upon the presence, visibility and various levels of
37 engagements with street sex workers, the *puttan tour* is generally not about purchasing sexual
38 services. Instead it is first and foremost viewed as a homosocial male bonding ritual where, in
39 parallel with other homosocial masculine practices, the main goal is for the tourers to spend time
40 and have fun together, rather than satisfying any possible “heterosexual desire of the individuals
41 involved” (Thurnell-Read 2012: 259). As a tourer observes online, “it entails friendship, travelling,
42 adventure and cohesion amongst males. And if, as often happens, the *puttan tour* ends up being a
43 simple hunt for the ‘ladies’, mixed with hearty laughs, jokes, but little substance apart from
44 bothering them, then that doesn’t really matter” (Boomvenus 2017). Echoing these sentiments,
45 Andrea explains that “we enjoy the fact of being together [...] many times we just stay out chatting
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 for hours, just like that, not about important things” (Corso and Landi 1998: 108). The primacy of
3 intimate relationships with other men is here emphatically and explicitly valued and presented as
4 an anchor of male identity and homosociality. Similarly, in the videos analyzed, the touring friends
5 do not refrain from displaying the affection, intimacy and familiarity that bind them together. They
6 tell in-jokes, elatedly recall their past group adventures, sing together, tease each other’s
7 idiosyncrasies and laugh about them *with* the group (Hickey-Moody and Laurie, 2014), often use
8 nicknames for each other, and in some cases have a special name for their ‘clique’. When a friend
9 is missing, the tourers pine his absence and lament the imperfect group vibe which may lead the
10 *puttan tour* to ‘not be the same’ and lead to the inevitable loss of fun. A successful *puttan tour* is one
11 where all friends are present and where the camaraderie and cohesiveness of the group is sustained
12 by keeping each other emotionally roused. In the videos, this is generally done by discussing at
13 length where to drive to find the sex workers and how best to hold the mobile phone to avoid
14 being seen by them – loud confabulations that build up excitement are punctuated by collective
15 explosive laughter. ‘So much laughter’, ‘what fits of laughter’, ‘what cosmic laughter’ are some of
16 the comments found also in online fora, where laughing together is an essential aspect of the
17 *puttan tour*. In the videos, giggles and bursts of laughter are sparked by almost all interactions in the
18 car: funny remarks and jokes, burping, passing wind, randomly shouting out of the car window, or
19 the sight of street sex workers and their ensuing reactions. The latter, always met with great
20 hilarity, vary from in-group only evaluations of the physical attributes of the sex workers spotted
21 on the streets, for example, ‘nice ass but ugly face!’, ‘what amazing boobs’, ‘those boobs were
22 staying up on their own!’, to insults shouted directly from the car: ‘what great tits,
23 congratulations!’, ‘asshole, go find a job!’, ‘fucking whore!’, ‘negrona!’¹⁰

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Laughter is the visible manifestation of ‘having fun’: the louder, the more raucous, vulgar
and incessant the tourers’ laughs are, the more fun they are meant to be having *together* and the
stronger the performance and reinforcement of their collective masculine identity and superiority

¹⁰ ‘Negrone’ is a racist insult that is similar in meaning to ‘big fat blackie’.

1
2
3 (Hickey-Moody and Laurie 2014). Coming in close proximity with the ‘transgression’ of
4
5 prostitution, as one tourer puts it, provokes excitement, and laughter can thus be seen as a coping
6
7 strategy to release and dissipate the simultaneous emotional tensions that permeate the experience
8
9 of the *puttan tour*. This can be observed in videos where the tourers display fear of the police and,
10
11 more frequently, of pimps. In particular, the specter of the bad pimp who will punish the tourers
12
13 for the trespassing and abuse of *his* space and women often force them to drive away hurriedly and
14
15 to worriedly check that they have not been followed. When it is established that this is not the
16
17 case, loud laughter signals the end of danger and emotional release. The *puttan tour*, as already
18
19 indicated, can thus be experienced as a time of catharsis, where strong emotions of desire and fear
20
21 can be shared and ‘laughed off’. Finally, to recite a point previously made on the relevance and
22
23 meaning of laughter, it is worth emphasizing that laughter is about power and status. Laughing at
24
25 sex workers, collectively humiliating them from the car and then driving away is a means to
26
27 consolidate both the superior hierarchical gendered status, power and control of the tourers and
28
29 the construction of sex workers as abject bodies whose debasement and injury remain
30
31 unquestioned matters of course. What we therefore see in the data is laughter at, but also with, and
32
33 alongside their friends.
34
35

36 The maintenance of fun, hilarity and togetherness is not just based on mutual boosting,
37
38 cheering and laughing at sex workers, but also on the policing and rebuking of any less than
39
40 enthusiastic and participative group member. This is seen in Video 2 (2013) when a reluctant
41
42 tourer, sitting in the back of the car on his own, absorbed in texting on his mobile phone, is made
43
44 fun of for being such a ‘faithful’ boyfriend to his long-distance girlfriend. Made to feel disloyal to
45
46 his friends, the young man changes attitude and becomes more involved, and soon takes charge of
47
48 recording the touring on the mobile phone, re-vitalizing the group dynamics. The previously
49
50 explored role of women as a conduit to cement male homosocial bonding is significant in this
51
52 interaction. By reproaching their friend and his inopportune display of attachment to his girlfriend,
53
54 the tourers assert his homosocial obligation such that “male-male friendships take priority over
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 male-female relations” (Flood 2008: 342). Similarly, the presence of women as a spoiler of male
3 fun and bonding is emphasized in an online forum where suggestions of what to do with friends
4 on Saturday nights are sought. When it comes to discussing going out with a mix of male and
5 female friends, some contributors write that women are boring, they do not get male camaraderie,
6 and when they join a *puttan tour*, one post states, “they don’t make any jokes and don’t even laugh”
7 (HardwareUpgradeForum 2006). Clearly women should not be invited on a *puttan tour*, implies one
8 of the replies. The exclusion of women is thus viewed as a necessary condition for unrestrained
9 male relations and behaviors and therefore for a meaningful *puttan tour*. The presence of female
10 friends or, worse, of girlfriends, represents a conspicuous disruption of homosocial male bonding,
11 which can only happen when women are excluded. As Bossi (2008) recalls in his blog, the *puttan*
12 *tour* was “despised by girlfriends and feminists.” Women negatively affect male group dynamics by
13 constraining the tourers’ freedom to unleash emotions that may be seen as too hostile or even too
14 feminized. They prevent men from engaging in activities that can only be shared among men.
15 More speculatively, the female presence can be seen as instigating the tourers to become aware of
16 the broader implications and meaning of their actions. By censoring unbecoming behaviors and
17 emotional displays, just by being present and disapproving, women as ‘killjoys’ make men
18 accountable for what they would rather just see and experience as plain fun.

19
20 Another aspect that strongly emerges from the accounts analyzed is that the active
21 participation of all tourers in the *puttan tour* is part of a collective effort to defy what the *puttan tour*
22 is often viewed as an antidote for: boredom, having nothing better to do, and therefore having to
23 go back home (likely to be the parental home¹¹) after a night out. Asked about what he thinks the
24 reason for this practice may be, Andrea replies that “the first thing that comes to mind is that
25 sometimes there is nothing better to do, we don’t know what to do and the *puttan tour* has become
26 a common and frequent entertainment” (Corso and Landi 1998: 108). Similar sentiments are
27 displayed in other posts: “we used to do it after a mediocre and exceedingly boring night, in the

28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
¹¹ In Italy most young men and women live with their parents until marriage (Ruspini 2016)

1
2 darkest hours, which were filled by our laughter” (Bossi 2008); “with friends on a Saturday night,
3 after having been clubbing it was one of the favorite ways of passing time” (Ilmeteoforum 2007);
4 “if you have nothing else to do on a Saturday night, what else is better?” (caption to Video 3
5 2013); “it’s Monday evening and there is fuck all to do in Messina [city], so we go on a puttan
6 tour” (caption to video 4 2009); “Senigallia [city] and what is there to do? The puttan tour!”
7 (caption to video 5 2008). The lyrics of the song ‘puttantour’ by the satirical rock band Gem Boy
8 also describe how a dull evening can be brightened up by a *puttan tour*: “every evening we meet up,
9 we feel drab and sad, asking ‘what can we do?’ It’s so hard staying in a bar all night, so where shall
10 we go? There is nothing to do here! We jump in the car and we go...” (Puttantour 2000)

11
12 The emphasis on the ever-present ‘lurking’ of boredom (Steinmetz et al 2016) and the
13 threat of ‘doing nothing’ while ‘hanging out’ reinforces the collective role that the group of friends
14 has in coming up with other experiential possibilities to engage in while they are together. Similar
15 to what Joelsson (2015) and Torbenfeldt Bengtsson (2012) point out in their studies of youth and
16 gender, boredom is not viewed as ‘being boring’ or a characteristic of the individual, but as a
17 condition imposed on the group by external factors. Thus, the choice to go on a *puttan tour* can be
18 viewed as a creative way of resisting and finding a solution to an institutional environment that
19 offers limited affordable leisure opportunities, and to a cultural and socio-economic context that
20 creates the conditions for significantly delayed household independence, in turn compelling
21 groups of friends to meet up and spend time outside the (parental) house. However, to reiterate a
22 point advanced throughout the paper, that the form of entertainment chosen to defy boredom is
23 predicated upon gazing at, and being hostile towards, street sex workers is revealing of the
24 normalization of gendered fun that reproduces traditional forms of masculinity and that
25 encourages men’s dominance over women and others (Bird 1996). The post-hoc accounts
26 discussed earlier confute this by presenting a more goliardic picture of the *puttan tour*, somehow
27 enjoyed by all those involved, including sex workers themselves. However, more recent accounts,
28 written or video-recorded, reveal a practice that is a means to territorialize space, to re-establish

1
2 the masculine power disturbed by sex workers who have ‘taken ownership’ of the public realm,
3
4 and to vilify them as a fun-generating practice. The street, re-constituted as a leisure space,
5
6 becomes a site where masculine hegemony can be exercised, unless a more dominant man
7
8 occupies it, for example the feared pimp, in which case the tourers are careful to stay away and not
9
10 to challenge his authority. Otherwise, the videos show that from the car the tourers uninhibitedly
11
12 shout at sex workers, and at times also at random pedestrians. In Video 6 (2015), in a full display
13
14 of masculine and economic power and privilege, a tourer waves a handful of banknotes outside
15
16 the window and directs various sexual remarks at street sex workers as the car drives slowly past
17
18 them. Online fora discussions also mention the practice of throwing bread at, or shooting sex
19
20 workers with water pistols or air-rifles. The following post provides a chilling account of one such
21
22 practice: “Ha ha, ha, I’ve done many *puttan tours* with my friends. [...] Once we were in a new
23
24 BMW320 [...] and we had air-rifles, mafia-style...with the rifles out of the windows...we drove
25
26 past them and started shooting and they ran away scared and yelling, and they would climb
27
28 everywhere to escape from us, oh my god, how fantastic when I think about it, then we had to
29
30 skid away ourselves” (Gamesvillage 2010)
31
32
33

34 These hostile and disturbing actions are performed from the moving car, keeping a
35
36 distance from sex workers. When the car stops and the sex workers are approached by the tourers,
37
38 the interaction is generally less hostile, albeit not necessarily less verbally aggressive. One of the
39
40 tourers usually asks for and tries to bargain the price of sexual services, often making appreciative
41
42 remarks on the body of the worker, sometimes asking to see their ‘tits’ or ‘cunt’, while the other
43
44 tourers muffle their giggles and are careful to record the exchange without the sex workers’
45
46 noticing. In Video 3, after shouting insults, a group of tourers stop at a petrol station. One of
47
48 them exits the car and is left alone with a sex worker with whom he engages in a short, inaudible
49
50 conversation. When he returns to the car, his friends hail him as a great hero. He shows them that
51
52 he had snuck a cigarette from the woman, provoking great hilarity, and that he had been smitten,
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 and has ‘fallen in love’. As the car speeds up, and other sex workers are spotted, another member
3
4 of the party leans out and shouts ‘fuck you!’
5

6
7 Here the tourers, as in all other videos or written accounts, are only ‘playing’ at being
8
9 clients. The sexual act is not a part of the objectives of the *puttan tours* we analyzed and the
10
11 exchanges between the tourers do not include any form of homoeroticism, of ‘being horny
12
13 together’, or any explicit talk of sexual acts. Sexualized expressions, appreciative or highly
14
15 demeaning and in some cases hateful and racist, are only used to refer to sex workers and their
16
17 bodies, keeping the tourers entertained and excited. While the tour is predicated upon a sexual
18
19 practice, it is itself less about sexuality, though at the same time needs sexuality or the sexualization
20
21 of the ‘whore’ to make sense and provide excitement.
22
23
24
25

26 **Conclusion**

27
28 We started this paper with a review of different accounts of leisure, masculinity, homosociality and
29
30 humor. While there remains a dominant view that there is a privileged, hegemonic masculinity, we
31
32 also touched on Anderson’s (2009) argument that there is now a more inclusive masculinity
33
34 challenging the singular model. This work also rests on the understanding that masculinity is no
35
36 longer entirely dependent upon the negation or exclusion of women and gay men. While
37
38 recognizing the strength of this work, we have provided here an example of a masculine practice
39
40 which demonstrates a more traditional model of homosociality, one which, through shared
41
42 gendered fun, is predicated on abuse and at times physical violence towards sex workers and, in
43
44 this sense, accords with earlier work on masculinity. The second point that marks our analysis in
45
46 contrast to Anderson is our concern, following O’Neill (2015), about the obfuscation of power in
47
48 the inclusive masculinities work. In agreement with Evers (2017), we would like to propose that
49
50 we are not witnessing multiple forms of masculinity here, as if there were typologies of equally
51
52 validated or stable masculinities, but instead that masculinity is assembled and constituted in
53
54 different ways dependent upon context. We have framed the *puttan tour* as one such example
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 where the tourers' sense of masculinity is assembled in different ways throughout the event; from
3
4 abuse to fear of being caught, to declaring love as the last example demonstrates – with all drawing
5
6 great laughter. The analysis of these stories of the *puttan tour* suggests a fragile sense of normative
7
8 masculinity, one modelled on the exclusion of women, as well as and alongside the tourers' own
9
10 ambiguity and awkwardness about the display of (public) sex and sexuality. Notably, this is not
11
12 about a sense of 'lack' or 'incompletion', as if their masculinity is left wanting in the face of a more
13
14 adult-centric world of confident or easy sexual relations, or that they will in future achieve a
15
16 normative masculinity. Ambiguity and awkwardness are rather integral to the humor of the event,
17
18 directed both inwards and outwards, and are legitimated by the tourers' youth, a temporary
19
20 context that allows and even validates their gendered fun in ways that will be longed for by adult
21
22 men when reflecting back on their youth.
23
24

25
26 The *puttan tour* demonstrates that masculinities are not simply assembled in the group, or
27
28 dependent upon the intimacy of the members, but respond to and are enabled by a wider material,
29
30 socio-historical and political context. In much of the current masculinities literature there is an
31
32 emphasis upon post-industrialization, but we are cognizant of the fact that, not only is this just
33
34 one of the many backdrops against which leisure is performed, it is, in our example, less important
35
36 than other influencing factors. To name a few, we have seen that the tourers' behavior and this
37
38 practice more widely occur in the context of the legacy of misogyny, reflecting here Nayak's (2006)
39
40 work on the palimpsest, as well as a lack of youth access to private space, the wide availability of
41
42 the car, and laws that criminalize sex workers forcing them to operate in vulnerable and dangerous
43
44 situations. Mobile technologies can also not be underestimated here, given their importance in
45
46 dispersing, normalizing, and giving visibility to those who are privileged enough to want to 'be'
47
48 and make themselves visible.
49
50

51 To return to another point advanced earlier, the differential visibility of the bodies of the
52
53 tourers, active, engaging, having fun, and of those of street sex workers, secretly and non-
54
55 consensually filmed, and insulted, present and reinforce the story of the *puttan tour* as a form of
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 entertainment where the fun is more important than the injury inflicted because it is not viewed as
3 an injury in the first place. The normalization and ritualization of the *puttan tour* as a form of age-
4 specific, youthful fun and homosocial bonding that should be condoned and even encouraged
5 because it serves as simply a rite of passage, simultaneously erases the possibility of viewing it as an
6 injurious and damaging practice that contributes to the maintenance of violence against sex
7 workers.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 Finally, recent work on homosociality and male leisure has identified examples of fissures
16 in male privilege; here we find something more complex. On the one hand, the *puttan tour* asserts
17 male ownership of place – in competition with other men – but, in retrospect, it also speaks not of
18 a longing for that ownership but of a period of male bonding and silliness which is will soon
19 vanish. Accounts of the *puttan tour* explored here waver between a melancholic masculinity and a
20 catharsis of adult responsibilities and problems. The data therefore illustrates a tension between
21 the reflective, evocative accounts of youthfulness in a complex ‘adult’ world and the *tourers’* own
22 desires to capture or recapture a period where adult, normative masculinity is yet to be achieved.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 References

- 35
36 Agustin, Laura Marià. 2006. “Atreverse a cruzar fronteras: migrantes como protagonistas.” *Viento*
37 *Sur*, no 87:3-81.
38
39
40 AIOR (Association of Internet Researchers). 2012. *Ethical Decision-Making and Internet Research:*
41 *Recommendations from the AoIR Ethics Working Committee (Version 2.0)*. Accessed on January 3rd
42 2017 from: <http://aoir.org/reports/ethics2.pdf>
43
44
45
46 Aitchison, Cara. 2003. *Gender and Leisure: Social and Cultural Perspectives*. Abingdon: Routledge.
47
48 Aitchison, Cara. 1999. “New Cultural Geographies: The Spatiality of Leisure, Gender and
49 Sexuality.” *Leisure Studies* 18(1): 19-39.
50
51
52 Anderson, Eric. 2009. *Inclusive Masculinity: The Inclusive Nature of Masculinities*. London: Routledge.
53
54
55 Author 2007; Author et al. 2017
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2 Barnao, Charlie. 2006. "Nuove tendenze del fenomeno della prostituzione in Italia: verso
3 l'invisibilità." *Difesa Sociale*. 85(3-4): 7-15.
4
5
6 Bertone C., Ferrero Camoletto R. (2009). "Beyond the Sex Machine? Sexual Practices and
7 Masculinity in Adult Men's Heterosexual Accounts." *Journal of Gender Studies*, 18(4): 369-
8 386.
9
10
11
12 Bird, Sharon. R. 1996. "Welcome to the men's club." *Gender & Society*, 10(2): 120-132
13
14
15 Boomvenus. 2017. "Puttan Tour". Accessed on December 22nd 2017 from:
16
17 <https://boomvenus.com/puttan-tour/>
18
19 Bossi, Nicola. 2008. "Addio al puttan-tour". Accessed on January 11th 2017 from:
20
21 <http://www.umbrialeft.it/node/9012>
22
23 British Psychological Society. 2013. *Ethics Guidelines for Internet-mediated Research*.
24
25 Channon. Alex. and Matthews. Christopher. R. 2015. "It is what it is": Masculinity, homosexuality,
26 and inclusive discourse in mixed martial arts." *Journal of Homosexuality*, 62(7): 936-956.
27
28
29 Chapuis, Amandine. 2016. "Touring the immoral. Affective geographies of visitors to the
30 Amsterdam Red-Light district." *Urban Studies*, 54(3): 616-632
31
32
33 Charlie. 2008. "Tribute to Puttan Tour". Accessed on 30th January 2017 from
34
35 <https://nontroppocattivi.wordpress.com/2008/02/28/tribute-to-puttan-tour-2/>
36
37
38 Chess, Shira and Adrienne Shaw. 2015. "A Conspiracy of Fishes, or, How We Learned to Stop
39 Worrying About #GamerGate and Embrace Hegemonic Masculinity." *Journal of Broadcasting and*
40 *Electronic Media* 59(1): 208-220
41
42
43
44 Connell, Raewyn. 1995. *Masculinities*. Cambridge: Polity.
45
46 Corso, Carla and Landi, Sandra. 1998. *Quanto vuoi? Clienti e prostitute si raccontano*. Giunti: Firenze.
47
48
49 Coser, Rose Laub. 1959. "Some social functions of laughter: A study of humor in a hospital
50 setting." *Human Relations* 12(2): 171-182.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Crowhurst, Isabel (2007). The 'foreign prostitute' in contemporary Italy: gender, sexuality, and
4 migration in policy and practice. PhD Thesis, London School of Economics, University of
5 London. Available from: <http://etheses.lse.ac.uk/1973/>
6
7
8
9 Crowhurst, Isabel, Testai', Patrizia, Di Felicianantonio, Cesare and Giulia Garofalo Geymonat
10 (2017). "Italy". In *Assessing prostitution policies in Europe* (Jahnes, Synnove and Wagenaar,
11 Hendrik eds.). Routledge
12
13
14
15 Duffy, Deidre. 2014. "The Gender Continuum: Analysing Constructions of Masculinity Across
16 the Situational Contexts of Consumption and Leisure Practices." Competitive paper
17 presented at 12th Conference on Gender, Marketing and Consumer Behavior (Gender
18 ACR), Aalto University, Helsinki, Finland, 24-26 June 2014 Accessed on 1st September
19 2017 from <https://arrow.dit.ie/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1113&context=buschmarcon>
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 Evers, Clifton. 2017. "Transnational mutating masculinities and mobile media." University of
27 Westminster, Sociology Open Talks, 2nd February 2017.
28
29
30 Evers, Clifton. 2009. "The point: surfing, geography and a sensual life of men and masculinity on
31 the Gold Coast". *Social & Cultural Geography* 2009, 10(8): 893-908.
32
33
34 Ferrero Camoletto, Raffaella. 2014. "Fare sesso, fare genere. Un'analisi dei copioni sessuali dei
35 giovani uomini al primo rapporto sessuale". *Rassegna Italiana di Sociologia*. LV(4), 705-734
36
37
38 Ferrero Camoletto, Raffaella. 2013. "Ridere e parlare di sesso: una costruzione plural delle
39 maschilita' eterosessuali". *Salute e Societa'*. 2, 59-76
40
41
42 Ferrero Camoletto, Raffaella and Bertone, Chiara. 2016. "Tra uomini: indagare l'omosocialita' per
43 orientarsi nelle trasformazioni del maschile". *AG About Gender – Rivista Internazionale di*
44 *Studi di Genere*, 6(11), 45-73
45
46
47
48
49 Flood, Michael. 2008. "Men, sex, and homosociality: How bonds between men shape their sexual
50 relations with women." *Men and Masculinities*. 10(3): 339-359.
51
52
53 Gamesvillage. 2010. "Discussione: Puttan Tour". Accessed on January 9th 2017 from
54 <http://www.gamesvillage.it/forum/showthread.php?847590-Puttan-Tour/page2>
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Grazian, David. 2007. "The girl hunt: urban nightlife and the performance of masculinity as
4 collective activity." *Symbolic Interaction*. 30(2): 221-243.
5
6
7 HardwareUpgradeForum (2006). 'Fare qualcosa di diverso il sabato sera?'. Accessed on 30th
8
9 January 2017 from <http://www.hwupgrade.it/forum/archive/index.php/t-1165179.html>
10
11 Henderson, Karla, Bialeschki, M.D., Shaw S.M., and Freysinger, V.J. 1989. *A leisure of one's own: a*
12 *feminist perspective on women's leisure*. College Park, PA: Venture Publishing
13
14
15 Hickey-Moody, Anna and Laurie, T. 2016. "Masculinity and ridicule." *Macmillan Interdisciplinary*
16 *Handbook Series Gender* Macmillan.
17
18
19 Hubbard, Phil. 2002. "Sexing the self: Geographies of engagement and encounter." *Social &*
20 *Cultural Geography*. 3(4): 365–381.
21
22
23 Hubbard, Phil. 2000. "Desire/disgust: mapping the moral contours of heterosexuality." *Progress in*
24 *Human Geography*. 24(2): 191-217.
25
26
27 IIMeteoForum. 2007. "Puttan Tour". Accessed on January 11th 2017 from:
28
29 <http://forum.ilmeteo.it/showthread.php?t=16192>
30
31
32 ISTAT. 2011. "Italy in Figures". Accessed on December 16th 2016 from:
33
34 <http://www.istat.it/en/files/2011/06/Italy2011.pdf>
35
36
37 Joelsson, Tanja. 2015. "Breaking bored – negotiating spatial boredom and masculinity in the Volvo
38 greaser culture." *Gender, Place and Culture*. 22(9): 1252-1268.
39
40
41 Jordan, Fiona. and Aitchison, Cara. 2008. "Tourism and the sexualisation of the gaze: Solo female
42 tourists' experiences of gendered power, surveillance and embodiment." *Leisure Studies*.
43
44 27(3): 329–349.
45
46
47 Kehily, Mary Jane and Nayak, Anoop. 1997. "Lads and Laughter: Humour and the production of
48 heterosexual hierarchies." *Gender and Education*. 9:1: 69-88.
49
50
51 Kotthoff, H. 2006. "Gender and humour: the state of the art". *Journal of Pragmatics*. 38(1): 4-25.
52
53
54 Leonini, Luisa. 1999. *Sesso in acquisto. Una ricerca sui clienti della prostituzione*. Unicopli: Milano.
55
56
57 Monzini, Paola. 2002. *Il mercato delle donne. Prostituzione, tratta e sfruttamento*. Donzelli Editore.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Morrow, Oona and Hawkins, Roberta and Kern, Leslie. 2015. "Feminist research in online Spaces." *Gender, Place & Culture*, 22(4): 526-543
- Murray, Ashnil and White, Adam. 2015. "Twelve not so angry men: Inclusive masculinities in Australian contact sports." *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*. 52(5): 536-550.
- Nayak Anoop. (2006) "Displaced masculinities: chavs, youth and class in the post-industrial city". *Sociology*, 40(5): 813-831.
- Nonciclopedia. 2016. "Puttan Tour". Accessed on January 12th 2017 from http://nonciclopedia.wikia.com/wiki/Puttan_Tour
- O'Neill, Rachel. 2015. "Whither Critical Masculinity Studies? Notes on Inclusive Masculinity Theory, Postfeminism, and Sexual Politics." *Men and Masculinities*. 18(1): 100-120
- Pascoe Cheri J. (2007). *Dude, You're a Fag. Masculinity and Sexuality in High School*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Pheterson, Gail. 1993. "The Whore Stigma: Female Dishonor and Male Unworthiness." *Social Text*. No. 37: 39-64.
- Plummer, Kenneth. 1995. *Telling Sexual Stories: Power, Change and Social Worlds*. Routledge: London.
- PrepuziO' Blog. 2009. "Puttantour: rito di iniziazione o semplice campagna elettorale?" Accessed on January 10th 2017 from: <https://prepuzioblog.wordpress.com/2009/05/11/puttantour-rito-di-iniziazione-o-semplce-campagna-elettorale/>
- Pringle, Richard, Kat, Tess and Jenkins, John M. 2011. "Masculinities, gender relations and leisure studies. Are we there yet?" *Annual of Leisure Research*, 14(2-3): 107-119
- Quinn, B. (2002). "Sexual harassment and masculinity: The power and meaning of 'girl watching'." *Gender & Society*, 16(3), 386-402
- Rojek, Chris. 2010. *The labour of leisure*. London: Sage
- Ruspini, E. 2016. *A New Youth?: Young People, Generations and Family Life*. Routldge
- Russia-Italia. 2008. "La vialata". Accessed on January 25th 2017 from <http://www.russia-italia.com/la-vialata-vt8213.html>

- 1
2
3 Sedgwick, Eve Kosofsky. 1985. *Between men*. New York: Columbia University Press
- 4
5 Shaw, Susan. 2001. "Conceptualizing resistance: women's leisure as political practice." *Journal of*
6
7 *Leisure Studies*. 33(2): 186-201
- 8
9 Spracklen, Karl. 2013. *Leisure, Sports & Society*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- 10
11 Steinmetz, Kevin F., Schaefer, Brian P. and Green, Edward L.W. (2016). "Anything but boring: A
12
13 cultural criminological exploration of boredom." *Theoretical Criminology*, pp.1-16
- 14
15 Superzeta. 2002. "Il caro vecchio Puttan Tour". Accessed on January 25th 2017 from
16
17 <http://www.superzeta.it/viewtopic.php?t=8104>
- 18
19 Symanski, Richard. 1981. *The Immoral Landscape: Female Prostitution in Western Societies*. Toronto:
20
21 Butterworths.
- 22
23 Termometropolitico. 2011. "Avete mai fatto il puttan tour?" Accessed on January 10th 2017 from:
24
25 <https://forum.termometropolitico.it/130126-avete-mai-fatto-il-puttan-tour.html>
- 26
27 Thurnell-Read, Thomas. 2012. "What happens on tour: the premarital stag tour, homosocial
28
29 bonding, and male friendship." *Men and Masculinities*. 15(3): 249-270.
- 30
31 Torbenfeldt Bengtsson, T. 2012. "Boredom and Action— Experiences from Youth
32
33 Confinement", *Journal of contemporary ethnography*, 41(5): 526-553
- 34
35 Tucker, Judith Stadtman. 2009. "Small World: Maternal Blogging, Virtual Friendship, and the
36
37 Computer-Mediated Self." *Mothering and Blogging: The Radical Act of the Mommyblog*. edited by
38
39 May Friedman, and Shana L. Calixte. Toronto: Demeter Press.
- 40
41
42
43 Turner, Victor. 1966. *The ritual process*. Cornell University Press.
- 44
45 Video 1. 2016. 'Ferrari Mondial T, Sound & Puttan Tour Sulla Salaria'. Accessed on December
46
47 22nd 2017 from: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gbOJUdR_t8c
- 48
49 Video 2. 2013. 'Secondo Puttantour sulla Marconi'. Accessed on December 22nd 2017 from:
50
51 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k5XktE1i5eY>
- 52
53 Video 3. 2013. 'Puttan-tour la prova'. Accessed on December 22nd 2017 from:
54
55 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t7vYU6Ym-WE>
- 56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Video 4. 2009. 'Puttan tour'. Accessed on December 22nd 2017 from:

4 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=McHc0S6AmyY>

6
7 Video 5. 2008. 'puttan tour dp na festa a senigallia'. Accessed on December 22nd 2017 from:

8 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qm6MrDDINx4>

10
11 Video 6. 2015. 'Puttan tour 2' Accessed on December 22nd 2017 from:

12 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tOMzeMxZ908>

14
15 Wearing, Betsy. 1998. *Leisure and Feminist Theory*. London: Sage.

16
17 Walkowitz, Judith. 1992. *Prostitution and Victorian society*. Cambridge University Press

18
19 Willis, Paul. 1977. *Learning to labour*. New York: Columbia University Press