

WestminsterResearch

http://www.westminster.ac.uk/westminsterresearch

Identification and characterisation of the lactic acid bacteria associated with the traditional fermentation of a dairy fermented product

Obioha, P., Ouoba, L.I.I., Anyogu, A., Awamaria, B., Atchia, S, Ojimelukwe, P.C, Sutherland, J.P and Ghoddusi, H.

This is an author's accepted manuscript of an article published in the Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, DOI: 10.1007/s42770-021-00461-y, 2021.

The final publication is available at Springer via:

https://doi.org/10.1007/s42770-021-00461-y

The WestminsterResearch online digital archive at the University of Westminster aims to make the research output of the University available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the authors and/or copyright owners.

1	Identification and characterisation of the lactic acid bacteria associated with the traditional
2	fermentation of a dairy fermented product
3	Promiselynda. I. Obioha ^a , L. Irene I. Ouoba ^{a, b} Amarachukwu Anyogu ^c , Brigitte Awamaria ^a , Sarah Atchia ^a ,
4	Philippa C. Ojimelukwe ^d , Jane P. Sutherland ^a , Hamid B. Ghoddusi ^a .
5	^a Microbiology Research Unit, School of Human Sciences, London Metropolitan University, 166-220 Holloway
6	Road, London N7 8DB, United Kingdom
7	^b Independent Senior Research Scientist & Consultant, Ouoba-Consulting, London, UK
8	^c Applied Biotechnology Research Group, School of Life Sciences, College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, University
9	of Westminster, 115 New Cavendish Street, London W1W 6UW, United Kingdom
10	^d Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria
11	
12	Corresponding author: Promiselynda Ijeoma Obioha, Microbiology Research Unit, School of Human Sciences,
13	London Metropolitan University, 166-220 Holloway Road, London N7 8DB, United Kingdom.
14	E-mail: promiselynda@yahoo.com
15	XO TO THE RESERVE TO
16	
17	
18	
19	

Abstract

21	The aim of this research was to identify the key lactic acid bacteria associated with the fermentation
22	of dairy traditional fermented products for developing starter cultures for controlled fermentation. A
23	total of 100 lactic acid bacteria (LAB) were isolated from dairy traditional fermented products.
24	Samples were obtained from eight producers in the South East of Nigeria. Isolates were identified by
25	phenotypic and genotypic techniques including rep-PCR genotyping and sequencing of the 16S rRNA,
26	pheS and rpoA genes. Isolates were characterised for antimicrobial activity against foodborne
27	pathogens, exopolysaccharide (EPS) production and survival at low pH and in the presence of bile
28	salts. All isolates clustered into 11 distinct rep-PCR groups and were identified as Lactobacillus
29	fermentum (40%), Lactobacillus delbrueckii (23%), Streptococcus thermophilus (22%), Streptococcus
30	infantarius (10%), Lactobacillus senioris (2%), Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes (2%) and
31	Enterococcus thailandicus (1%). Lactobacillus fermentum showed a broad spectrum antimicrobial
32	activity and survival at low pH, while Lactobacillus delbrueckii was able to tolerate low pH and
33	produce EPS. All isolates survived in vitro exposure to 1% (w/v) bile salts over a 3-h period. L.
34	fermentum, L. delbrueckii and S. thermophilus could be used to simulate the fermentation of dairy
35	traditional fermented products.
36	Keywords : Dairy traditional fermented product . Traditional milk products . Lactic acid bacteria .
37	Potential starter cultures . Phenotypic and genotypic identification. Traditionally fermented foods

1. Introduction

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

Traditional fermented dairy products have been consumed for thousands of years and remain popular globally and across the African continent. In areas with limited access to electricity and cold storage facilities, fermentation is an important processing technique to extend the shelf life of milk, a highly perishable food. Fermentation also contributes to improving the organoleptic and nutritional characteristics of the final fermented product [1, 2, 3]. Nono is a naturally fermented, yoghurt-like product popular amongst many cattle owning and rearing pastoral communities in West Africa. The dairy traditional fermented product is made from cow's milk and is drunk as a refreshing nutritional drink or served as an accompaniment to fura, millet-based dough. Like other traditional fermented foods, dairy traditional fermented products play a significant role in the diet. It is a relatively cheap source of nutrients and an important source of income, particularly among women [4, 5]. It is also of cultural significance as traditional fermentation techniques are passed down generations, using modifications to obtain desired organoleptic characteristics. Traditional processing of cow's milk for dairy traditional fermented product production varies across West Africa. It has been previously reported that dairy traditional fermented product is produced by fermenting raw milk fermented for ca. 24 h [6, 7] without any heat treatment. However, during this field study, it was observed that cow's milk is heat-treated among the Fulani who reside in the South-Eastern region of Nigeria, though not pasteurised, before fermentation. Despite this important difference in fermentation practice, dairy traditional fermented production has similar characteristics to other naturally fermented African milk products such as Amasi, Rob, Amabere Amaruranu [8, 9, 10] concerning; use of backslopping, non-utilisation of starter cultures as well as small scale, household production. Reliance on spontaneous fermentation of milk leads to variability in the microbial consortium present in the milk and, subsequently, the final fermented product's quality. Poor hygiene during processing and handling can contribute to the contamination of the final

product [11]. Historically, dairy traditional fermented products have low acceptability outside pastoral communities due to their short shelf life and perceived low hygienic quality. It has been suggested that processing modifications such as pasteurised milk and controlled fermentation with well characterised Lactic acid bacteria can support improving marketability to a broader range of urban consumers [7].

Recently, there has been an increased focus on studying the microbiological and physicochemical properties of African fermented milk products. However, research on Nigerian dairy traditional fermented product has mainly concentrated on using phenotypic methods to assess microbiological quality [12, 13, 14] and less on the detailed identification of microflora associated with the fermentation. Information about fermentation temperature, time and pH change during dairy traditional fermented product production is also limited. An essential first step towards improving and standardising the fermentation process for dairy traditional fermented products *is developing* functional starter cultures. An accurate understanding of the lactic acid bacteria involved during the fermentation is required to achieve this objective.

The purpose of this study was to enumerate, isolate and identify the predominant lactic acid bacteria (LAB) involved in the fermentation of cow milk for dairy traditional fermented product production using a combination of phenotypic and genotypic methods. Potential technological properties, including tolerance to acidic pH and bile salt, exopolysaccharides production and antimicrobial activity of LAB isolates against common foodborne pathogens, were also investigated.

2. Material and methods

2.1 Sampling

Samples of *nono* were collected in different areas of Abia State located in the South Eastern region of Nigeria, West Africa. A total of eight samples were collected from eight different producers. Two of these were from producers at Eket Islamic Mosque, Umuahia and six samples were collected from a farm settlement at Lokpa-Nta Fulani village. All samples were collected in sterile containers and kept

on ice before microbiological analysis. The pH of the samples was measured with a calibrated pH meter (Whatman PHA 2000, Portugal).

2.2 Microbiological analysis

2.2.1 Enumeration and isolation of presumptive lactic acid bacteria (LAB)

Enumeration and isolation of LAB from the dairy traditional fermented product were carried out using three different media, including deMan, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) agar (Oxoid, CM0361 Basingstoke, UK), MRS + 0.5 % L-Cysteine (MRSL) (C1276, Sigma-Aldrich, UK) and M17 Agar (Oxoid, CM0785). Plates were incubated anaerobically in an anaerobic jar (Oxoid, AG0025) with a gas kit (Oxoid, BR0038) added to create an anaerobic condition. Both MRSL and MRS agar plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 h, while M17 plates were incubated at 45°C for 48 h. After incubation, morphological characteristics of the colonies recovered from each agar were examined, and representative colonies were selected from appropriate dilutions. Bacteria were separately isolated and purified by streaking several times on the same media as appropriate. A single pure colony was picked aseptically and stored in a Microbank cryovial (Pro-Lab Diagnostics, Birkenhead, UK) at -20°C until required for further analysis.

2.2.2 Phenotypic characterisation of the isolated LAB

After growth on appropriate media, colony morphological characteristics such as size, shape and colour were examined. Cell morphology was examined by microscopy using a phase-contrast microscope (0.90 Dry Japan Nikon Eclipse E400). Bacteria were tested for Gram reaction using KOH (3 % w/v) as described by [15] and [16]. Isolates were also screened for the catalase enzyme reaction using 3 % (v/v) hydrogen peroxide (H3410, Sigma) and for the oxidase reaction using an oxidase reagent (Biomerieux®, 55635), on a strip of filter paper (Whatman No. 4, Whatman Plc., Kent, UK).

2.2.3 Genotypic characterisation of the isolates

a. Characterisation of the isolate by rep-PCR

A pure colony of each isolate was sub-cultured on tryptone soya agar (TSA, Oxoid, CM0131) and incubated for 24 h anaerobically at 37°C. Bacterial DNA was extracted using the Instagene matrix (Bio-Red 732-6030, Hercules, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Repetitive sequenced based PCR (rep-PCR) using the GTG5 (5'-GTG GTG GTG GTG GTG-3'; 5 pmol ml¹) primer as described by [17] was used to characterise isolates at subspecies level. For the amplification, the following programme was applied: 4 min at 94°C for initial denaturation, then 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing at 45°C for 1 min and elongation at 65°C for 8 min. The amplification ended with a final extension at 65°C for 16 min.

The DNA fragments were separated by electrophoresis on 1.5 % (w/v) agarose gel (Bio-Rad, Hemel Hempstead UK) for 2 h in 1x Tris Borate-EDTA buffer (TBE, Sigma, UK) at 130 V. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide and DNA profiles visualised and recorded using a UV transilluminator gel documentation system (M-26X, UVP, Cambridge UK). Fingerprint patterns were analysed and clustered using the Bionumerics system (Dice's Coefficient of similarity, UPGMA; Applied Maths, Saint-Martens-Latem, Belgium).

b. Identification of bacteria by 16S rRNA, pheS and rpoA gene sequencing

To identify bacteria, the method described by [17] was used to sequence the 16S rRNA gene using primers pA (5' AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') (100 mmol L⁻¹) and pE (5'-CCGTCAATTCCTTTGAGTTT-3'). The amplification was carried out under the following conditions: 5 min at 95°C for initial denaturation followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1min, annealing at 55°C for 1 min, and elongation at 72°C for 1 min. A final extension was performed for 5 min at 72°C PCR products were purified using QIAquick PCR Purification kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany 28104) following the manufacturer's instructions. A sequencing PCR using primer pD (5'-GTATTACCGCGGCTGCTG-3') was carried out under the following conditions: 95°C for 2 min, then 35 cycles at 96°C for 15 s, 40°C for 1 s and 60°C for 4 min before running on a gel (Source Bioscience, Cambridge, UK).

Where closely related species could not be differentiated by 16S rRNA gene sequencing, further identification was carried out by sequencing the *pheS* and *rpoA* genes according to the method described by [18]. Primers pheS-21-F (5'-CAY-CCNGCH-CGY-GAY-ATG-C-3') and pheS-23-R (5'-GGRTGR-ACC-ATV-CCN-GCH-CC-3') were used to direct the amplification of the *pheS* gene and *rpoA*-21-F (5'-CAY-CCNGCH-CGY-GAY-ATG-C-3') and *rpoA*-23-R (5'-GGRTGR-ACC-ATV-CCN-GCH-CC-3') the *rpoA* gene. The amplification programme consisted of (i) 5 min at 95°C, (ii) 3 cycles of 1 min at 95°C + 2 min 15 s at 46°C + 1 min 15 s at 72°C, (iii) 30 cycles of 35 s at 95°C + 1 min 15 s at 46°C + 1 min 15 s at 72°C and (iv) a final 7 min at 72°C. Isolates were identified to genus and species level by comparing sequences with those in the GenBank sequence database (NCBI, MD, USA). All 16S rRNA gene sequences were analysed using the EzBiocloud database [19].

2.3 Investigation of LAB technological properties

The isolates investigated included representative isolates of each rep-PCR group (Table 1). This included Enterococcus thailandicus, Streptococcus infantarius, Lactobacillus senioris, Lactobacillus fermentum, Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp indicus, Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides and Streptococcus thermophilus.

2.3.1 Screening of LAB for tolerance to acidic conditions

Isolates were sub-cultured on MRS or M17 agar, and a single pure colony was suspended in 1 ml of sterile Maximum Recovery Diluent (MRD) (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK). The suspension was used to prepare an inoculum (with a final cell concentration of 10⁷-10⁸ CFU/ml (equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standard) using a Sensitre[™] nephelometer (TREK Diagnostic Systems, West Sussex, UK). An acid resistance test was performed according to the method of [20]. One ml of each microbial suspension was inoculated into 9 ml of phosphate buffer solution (PBS) and adjusted to pH 3 and 7 using 2 M HCl and 2 M NaOH, respectively. Cultures were incubated at 37 °C for 3 h under anaerobic conditions. Cell viability was assessed every 30 min using a plate counting method on MRS and M17 agars. Plates were incubated anaerobically for 48 h at 37 °C, and viable cell counts were expressed as log₁₀ CFU/ml.

2.3.2 Screening of the LAB for tolerance to different % bile salt concentration.

Bile salt tolerance of the isolates was ascertained in sterile PBS containing either no or 1.0 % (w/v) bile salts, according to [20]. Inoculum preparation, medium inoculation, sampling and viable counts were carried out as described above.

2.3.3 Screening of LAB for exopolysaccharide (EPS) production

This experiment was performed according to [21]. Skimmed milk agar plates containing 10 % (w/v) skimmed milk, 1 % (w/v) sucrose (10020440, Fisher Scientific, UK), 0.5 % (w/v) yeast extract (10225203, Fisher Scientific, UK), 1.5% (w/v) agar and 0.08 g/L ruthenium red (11103-72-3, Fisher Scientific, UK) were prepared. Both LAB cultures from 48 h incubation and the control (*Enterococcus casseliflavus*, Microbiology research Unit, London Metropolitan University) were streaked out on separate plates, which were incubated anaerobically at 37°C for 48 h. Isolates unable to produce EPS than the control appear as non-ropy, pink coloured colonies, while EPS producers exhibit a ropy, whitish appearance [21].

2.3.4 Screening LAB for antimicrobial activities against pathogenic bacteria

a. Inhibition of indicator of pathogenic bacteria using the spot test

The spot test described by [18] was first used to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of the LAB isolates. The activity of LAB isolates was tested against five indicator bacteria obtained from the culture collection of the Microbiology Research Unit, School of Human Sciences, London Metropolitan University (London, UK). These include *Samonella enteritidis serovar Typhimurium* variant DT124, *Escherichia coli* NCTC 12900, *Listeria monocytogenes* NCTC 11994, *Staphylococcus aureus* CMCC 1930 and *Bacillus cereus* LMG 1356. An inoculum (2 μ l) of each isolate was spotted on the surface of an MRS agar plate and allowed to dry at room temperature for 30 min. All cultures were incubated anaerobically at 37°C for 24 h. After the incubation time, 100 μ l of each stock solution of an indicator organism was inoculated into 10 ml TSB + 0.8% (w/v) agar and overlaid on the grown spotted cultures of the LAB isolates. The overlaid plates were left to dry for 1 h at ambient temperature. Control plates

were set up by pouring the soft agar + indicator overlay on MRS agars without any test isolates spots.

All plates were incubated aerobically for 24 - 48 h at 37°C, which is the optimum growth condition for the indicator bacteria. The diameter of the zone of inhibition was measured and recorded in mm.

b. Inhibition potential of cell-free supernatants (CFS) of LAB cultures against indicator bacteria using

a spectrophotometric method

Antimicrobial activity resulting from a direct antagonism between the CFS of LAB isolates and indicator bacteria in liquid media was tested using the method described by [22] with some modifications. The CFS of LAB isolates was added 10% (v/v) to an inoculum of indicator bacteria in TSB. In the negative control, LAB CFS was substituted with 2 ml of MRS broth. The inhibitory activity of the CFS of the LAB isolates was determined by separately transferring (2 ml) of CFS of each test isolate into a universal bottle containing a mixture of 2 ml of each indicator bacterium culture and 16 ml of TSB. In the negative control, CFS was substituted with 2 ml of MRS broth. Cultures were then incubated aerobically for 24 h at 37°C. The optical density (OD) was measured at 540 nm (JENWAY 7315, Staffordshire, UK) by comparing the OD of the mixtures containing the indicator bacteria and the control mixture. However, before measuring the OD, the spectrophotometer was zeroed using a mixture of 2.6 ml TSB and 0.4 ml MRSB. Furthermore, to eliminate acid production as the sole antimicrobial property, an acid neutralisation test was conducted. The CFS of LAB isolates were prepared as previously described and neutralised with filtered sterilised 0.1M NaOH (Sigma, S8045) to increase the pH to 6.95 ± 0.1. The inhibitory effect of the neutralised CFS on the indicator bacteria was investigated using the spectrophotometric method as described above.

c. Screening potential of LAB isolates for production of antimicrobial peptides against indicator bacteria

Further characterisation of antimicrobial activity examined the possibility that LAB isolates investigated could produce antimicrobial peptides with broad-spectrum activity against the indicator bacteria. Each neutralised CFS was separately treated with Proteinase K (P2308, Sigma) to a final

concentration of 1 mg/ml. The treated CFS was incubated at 37°C for 2 h according to manufacturers' instructions. A negative control was set up using non-treated neutralised CFS for comparison. Inhibitory activities were determined using the spectrophotometric method as described above.

2.4 Data analysis

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

Each experiment was conducted at least two times, and data were analysed using Microsoft excel to determine the mean and standard deviation of the number of viable colonies. Also, statistically significant differences were set at $p \le 0.05$ to compare the means using 1-way ANOVA. The results were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation in log_{10} CFU/ml.

3. Results

3.1 Bacteria identification

After a 48 h fermentation period, all samples yielded bacterial counts of 10⁷ CFU/ml. This 220 221 corresponded with a decrease in pH from about $6.8 \text{ to } 4.3 \pm 0.23$. A total of 100 bacteria were isolated 222 from the eight samples of dairy traditional fermented product investigated. All isolates exhibited the 223 primary features of LAB, i.e. Gram-positive, catalase-negative and oxidase negative. Microscopic 224 observations revealed that a majority of the cells were rods arranged as chains, single and diplobacilli. 225 Cocci present were arranged in chains, single, and diplococci, while some were V-shaped and 226 coccobacillus. 227 All isolates were selected for molecular identification based on their phenotypic characteristics. Rep-228 PCR allowed differentiation of the isolates at interspecies and intraspecies levels into 11 different 229 groups (Figure 1). The relatedness of the different group is variable (30-80 %), as shown in Figure 1. 230 The combination of the 16S rRNA, pheS and rpoA gene sequencing allowed the identification of four 231 genera of LAB, including Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, Leuconostoc, and Enterococcus and seven species including Lactobacillus fermentum (40%), Lactobacillus senioris (2%), Lactobacillus delbrueckii 232 233 (23%), Streptococcus thermophilus (22%) Streptococcus infantarius (10%), Leuconostoc 234 pseudomesenteriodes (2 %) and Enterococcus thailandicus (1%) (Table 1). Nucleotide sequence data

memoral are available in the GenBank database under the accession number MT956953 to MT956959. Lactobacillus fermentum and Lactobacillus delbruckii were observed in all samples irrespective of the location and production site and were the most diverse species with 4 and 2 rep groups, respectively. Concerning production sites within the same location, it was observed that from Lokpa, in addition to the two common species, Streptococcus thermophillus was recovered from LO1, LO2, LO5 and LO6, Streptococcus infantarius from LO4 and LO5 and Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides and Lactobacillus senioris from LO4 only. In Eket, additional species recovered were Streptococcus infantarius from E01, whereas Enterococcus thailandicus, Lactobacillus senioris and Streptococcus thermophillus were noticed in the sample from E02. The main difference between the two locations was the presence of Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides in LO4 and Enterococcus thailandicus in EO1 (Table 1).

Using 16S rRNA gene sequencing, some bacteria could not be discriminated from closely related species. This was the case for isolates identified as *Enterococcus thailandicus* which could not be differentiated from *Enterococcus seguinicola*; *Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes* could not be differentiated from *Leuconostoc mesenteriodes*, and *Streptococcus infantarius*, which was not differentiable from *Streptococcus lutetiensis*. Such bacteria were all identified by rpoA and pheS genes sequencing (Table 1). All bacteria were identified with a percentage similarity of 98-100%.

3.2 Technological properties of LAB from dairy traditional fermented product

The effect of pH on the viability of the test isolates indicated that their tolerance to acid pH varied according to the isolate screened (Table 2). At pH 3, there was no different variation in viable cell count (about 10⁷ CFU/ml) of *Lactobacillus fermentum* over the 3 hr test period while the viability of other isolates varied within the same test time (Table 2) compared to their numbers in the control at pH 7. *Streptococcus infantarius, Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* cultures lost their viability after 3 h of incubation while the viability of *Enterococcus thailandicus*, *Lactobacillus senioris* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *indicus* were reduced respectively to 10²

260 CFU/ml, 10⁵ CFU/ml and 10⁴ CFU/ml. Generally, Lactobacillus fermentum exhibited the highest viability count (10⁷ CFU/ml) after 3 h incubation, while the least viability count (10⁴ CFU/ml) was 261 262 displayed by Streptococcus thermophilus. Except for Streptococcus thermophilus, all isolates showed 263 good tolerance to bile, with no significant decrease in viable counts over the 3 h test period. Exposure 264 to bile salts led to a 3 log decrease in *S. thermophilus* (Table 2). 265 Exopolysaccharides production also varied according to species. Some LAB isolates exhibited long, 266 ropy strands, while others exhibited less ropy strand formation. Among the seven isolates screened, 267 Enterococcus thailandicus and Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus exhibited characteristics 268 ascribed to EPS production by indicating the ropy whitish colonies similar to that of the control (Table 269 3). Other isolates showed no indication of EPS production except Streptococcus thermophilus, which 270 showed less whitish colonies. 271 Lactic acid bacteria isolated from nono exhibited varying levels of inhibition against common Gram-272 positive and Gram-negative foodborne pathogens. It was observed that Streptococcus thermophilus 273 did not inhibit any of the indicators screened. On the other hand, Lactobacillus fermentum exhibited, 274 in general, a broad spectrum of inhibition against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative indicator 275 bacteria (Table 3) with inhibition zones between 11 and 40 mm according to the indicator screened. 276 Taking specific indicators into account, Lactobacillus fermentum exhibited the most potent inhibitory 277 effect (21 - 30 mm inhibition zone) against Salmonella enteritidis while Streptococcus infantarius Lactobacillus senioris exhibited the most substantial inhibitory effect (21 - 30 mm inhibition zone) 278 279 against Escherichia coli. All LAB except Streptococcus thermophilus showed the same degree of 280 inhibition (11-20 mm inhibition zone) against Staphylococcus aureus and Listeria monocytogenes. 281 Also, Bacillus cereus was the most sensitive indicator with the largest clear inhibition zones on average 282 of (21 mm – 40 mm, Table 3). 283 All LAB showed varying degrees of antimicrobial activity due to direct antagonism between the CFS

and indicator bacteria in liquid media. The pH of the CFS dropped from 6.0 (MRS broth) and 6.8 (M17

broth) to 3.97, 4.14, 4.16, 4.26, 4.29, 4.29 and 6.07 for the CFS of broth cultures of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp *indicus, Lactobacillus fermentum, Streptococcus infantarius, Lactobacillus senioris, Enterococcus thailandicus, Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides*, and *Streptococcus thermophilus* respectively. The CFS of *Lactobacillus fermentum* exhibited the highest antimicrobial effect against all indicators screened, followed by *Lactobacillus senioris* (Figures 2). Furthermore, *Streptococcus thermophilus* exhibited the least effect on the growth of the indicator bacteria, particularly against *Escherichia coli, Bacillus cereus* and *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Overall, inhibitory activities observed from the CFS of test isolates were removed after neutralisation. For example, neutralised CFS of *Lactobacillus senioris* lost its effect on the growth of all the indicator bacteria screened (Figure 2). When their CFS were neutralised, other test isolates retained their inhibition effect only against *Bacillus cereus* compared to non – neutralised CFS (Figure 2b). The antimicrobial effect of *Streptococcus thermophilus* against *Salmonella enteritidis* was also not observed to be influenced by neutralisation (Figure 2c).

Further characterisation to determine the potential of isolates to produce antimicrobial peptides against the indicators screened showed that the inhibitory activities observed from the neutralised CFS against *Bacillus cereus* were lost after proteolytic enzyme (proteinase K) treatment. All treated CFS exhibited antimicrobial effect against *Staphylococcus aureus* (Figure 2d).

Generally, *Streptococcus infantarius* exhibited the most potent antimicrobial activity against *Listeria* monocytogenes compared to other test isolates. *Lactobacillus senioris* and *Streptococcus* thermophilus did not show inhibition potential against *Listeria monocytogenes*.

Discussion

The isolation and identification of LAB from the dairy traditional fermented product, a traditional fermented milk product, was evaluated. Microbial counts of LAB in *nono* ranged between 1.34×10^7 and 8.76×10^7 and are similar to those reported for other African fermented milk products with counts of 10^6 - 10^8 [6, 23, 9]. The reduction in pH observed in fermented milk products like *nono* is associated

with the production of lactic acid and other types of organic acids by fermenting lactic acid bacteria. These observations are similar to other studies on traditional African fermented milks, which have been reported to range from 3.2 – 4.8 [1]. A study on kule naoto, a Maasai traditional fermented milk from Kenya, reported a final pH between 4.17-5.16 [24]. In nunu, fermented milk from Ghana, a much lower pH value of 3.1 was reported [6]. These differences may be related to the consortium of lactic acid bacteria involved in the fermentation and their particular technological properties such as acid production and fermentation time [25]. Rep-PCR was adequate for the differentiation of LAB isolates at interspecies and intraspecies levels and enabled the diversity of the lactic acid bacteria responsible for the fermentation to be explored. A combination of 16S rRNA and other housekeeping genes is necessary to provide accurate bacterial identity and has been demonstrated in identifying LAB from other fermented food materials [18, 26]. The current study demonstrated that different genera, species, and subspecies of LAB, including Lactobacillus fermentum, Lactobacillus senioris, Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp indicus, Streptococcus thermophilus, Streptococcus infantarius, Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes and Enterococcus thailandicus are involved in the fermentation of cow milk for nono production. Results also indicated that location might influence the microbial profile as Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides and Enterococcus thailandicus were observed only in Lokpa and Eket, respectively. The predominance of Lactobacillus fermentum in traditional African fermented milk products is in agreement with other reports [27, 6, 24]. Unlike other authors who have noted Lactobacillus plantarum as a dominant LAB species in African traditional fermented cow milk products, [6, 28, 9, 29] this was not our observation. Lactobacillus plantarum is usually associated with the fermentation of vegetables and root crops [30], and it has been suggested that its presence in milk may be due to contamination [1]. This observed difference could be attributed, at least in part, to the variation in methods used to isolate and identify LAB from fermented milk products. For example, MRS agar was shown to be a suitable medium for the enumeration and recovery of Lactobacillus spp. [31, 4, 32, 33, 34, 35] while M17 agar is more selective for Streptococcus species such as Streptococcus thermophilus

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

and lactococci [36, 37]. Also, many of these studies rely on phenotyping alone for identification which can be unreliable in providing accurate identification of bacterial species. To our knowledge, this is the first study using molecular techniques to characterise the microorganisms involved in the fermentation of nono consumed in Nigeria. Generally, during the production and consumption of fermented milk, bacteria involved are exposed to different environmental conditions such as acids, bile, oxygen and oxygen-derived radicals; heat and cold stress; which could negatively affect their viability and functionality [38]. In this study, Lactobacillus fermentum followed by Lactobacillus senioris showed good viability at pH 3 for 3 h incubation than other tested strains supporting the results of the study of [39], which demonstrated that Lactobacillus species are more tolerant to the acid environment than the other genera of LAB. Hence, this property makes Lactobacillus species abundant in the final phases of many food fermentations. Tolerance to bile is considered one of the essential properties required for probiotic bacteria to survive in the small intestine [40]. In this study, all the tested strains showed good tolerance to bile. Other similar studies have assessed this at different concentrations from 0.5 % (w/v) up to 2% (w/v). For instance, Giri [41] observed the higher tolerance of LAB isolated from fish intestine at 2% bile concentrations. Maragkoudakis [42] explained that Lactobacillus strains of dairy origin survived exposure to 0.3 % w/v bile salts for 4 h when screening their probiotic potential. Extracellular polysaccharides forming strains have some advantages of improving texture, avoiding syneresis and increasing the viscosity of the yoghurt. In addition, EPS-forming LAB have been used to improve the rheological characteristics of dairy products. In this study, Enterococcus thailandicus and Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp indicus showed higher EPS production while Streptococcus thermophilus showed less EPS production. The current observation is similar to the observations from Patil et al. [43]. The authors observed that EPS production from dairy isolates varies among species. Also, the presence of additional metabolites in milk can influence EPS production. For instance, the addition of glucose or sucrose to milk and milk ultrafiltrate increased EPS production by ropy strains

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

of L. lactis subsp. lactis, L. lactis subsp. cremoris and L. casei subsp. casei. [44] also observed enhanced growth and EPS production by Strep. thermophilus strains in enriched milk medium supplemented with 1.0% peptone and 0.5% yeast extract, and these observations are similar to observations in this study concerning the medium used for EPS production. In Africa, traditional fermented products such as dairy traditional fermented products remain a cottage level industry. Due to limited training, awareness and practice of Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) and Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) by producers and food handlers, the presence of pathogenic bacteria cannot be ruled out [45]. Recent reports indicate that traditional fermented products available for retail sale can serve as vehicles for pathogenic bacteria [1, 46]; therefore, antimicrobial activity is an important technological aspect when selecting LAB starter cultures for the controlled production of fermented dairy products. Lactic acid bacteria from nono were characterised based on their antimicrobial properties against three Gram-positive (Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria monocytogenes, Bacillus cereus) and two Gramnegative (Salmonella enteritidis, Escherichia coli) indicators of foodborne pathogens. The study also aimed to accurately attribute antimicrobial properties due to one or a combination of competition for nutrients, acid production and production of antimicrobial peptides. The spot test results showed that six out of seven LAB isolates exhibited varying levels of inhibition against common Gram-positive and Gram-negative foodborne pathogens. Notably, Lactobacillus fermentum exhibited a broad spectrum of inhibition against both types of indicator bacteria. The ability of Lactobacillus fermentum strains isolated from fermented milk products to show broad-spectrum inhibitory activity has been reported by other authors [47, 48]. Bacillus cereus was the most sensitive indicator when tested against all LAB isolates that showed antimicrobial activity in the spot test. This strong antagonistic activity of LAB isolates from fermented milk products against strains of Bacillus cereus has been reported by other authors [49, 50, 51]. This result is promising as any potential starter needs must be able to inhibit the growth of spore-forming bacteria, thereby improving the safety and quality of the product.

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

In this study, a more sensitive assay based on a spectrophotometric method showed that most CFS of the LAB isolates from dairy traditional fermented product inhibited the growth of the indicator bacteria in broth cultures. The growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* was notably impeded in the presence of CFS. This is of particular interest as starter cultures for fermented milk products that show antilisterial activity are important in the food and dairy industries. *Listeria* spp. is commonly associated with dairy products [49] with related safety issues. The ability of the CFS to inhibit the growth of the indicators shows that the antimicrobial effect cannot be solely attributed to competition for nutrients. Thus, the exact mechanism of inhibitory activities was further evaluated to ascertain if inhibition was due to factors such as the production of acid or antimicrobial proteins. In general, the bacteriostatic effect of the test isolates on the indicator organisms was removed after neutralisation of the CFS, indicating that acid production was most likely the main antimicrobial effect. This observation has been reported in other studies [52, 53]. [54] reported that none of the neutralised CFS from LAB strains studied showed antimicrobial activity against any of the Gram-negative pathogens tested. This is the case in the current study, as observed in *Streptococcus infantarius*, *Lactobacillus senioris* and *Lactobacillus fermentum* against *Bacillus cereus*.

The removal or reduction of inhibition after treatment with proteolytic enzymes in many cases suggests that some of the antimicrobial activities observed are likely due to the action of antimicrobial peptides such as bacteriocins or bacteriocin like inhibitory substances (BLIS) [55, 56, 23]. Although in this study, the addition of proteolytic enzymes to the neutralised CFS was associated with a decrease in the inhibitory effect of LAB isolates, both *Lactobacillus senioris* and *Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides* maintained inhibitory activities against *E. coli* after neutralisation.

Conclusion

Naturally fermented milk products like nono are produced by spontaneous fermentation with related issues of inconsistency in quality, safety, nutritional and organoleptic properties. The selection of multifunctional starter culture for the development of controlled fermentation could address these

problems and contribute to improved food security in Africa by increasing the availability of animal products and providing a source of income for producers. Potential lactic acid bacteria for use as multifunctional starter cultures for *nono* production include *L. fermentum, L. delbrueckii* and *S. thermophilus*. Further investigation should be carried out to develop appropriate conditions for upgrading this traditionally fermented milk product.

References

412

413

414

415

416

- 1. Jans C, Meile L, Kaindi DWM, Kogi-Makau W, Lamuka P, Renault P, Kreikemeyer B, Lacroix
 C, Hattendorf J, Zinsstag J, Schelling E, Fokou G, Bonfoh B (2017) African fermented dairy
 products Overview of predominant technologically important lactic acid bacteria focusing on
 African Streptococcus infantarius variants and potential future applications for enhanced food safety
- 422 and security. Int J Food Microbiol 250:27–36.
- 423 2. Gadaga TH, Mutukumira AN, Naruhus JA (2000) Enumeration and identification of yeast isolated
- from Zimbabwean traditional fermented milk. Int Dairy J 10:459-466.
- 3. Narvhus JA, Gadaga TH (2003) The role of interaction between yeasts and lactic acid bacteria in
- 426 African fermented milks: a review. Int J Food Microbiol 86:51-60.
- 427 4. Gonfa A, Foster HA, Holzapfel WH (2001) Field survey and literature review on traditional
- fermented milk product of Ethiopia. Int J Food Microbiol 68:173-186.
- 429 5. Oyewole O.B (1997) Lactic fermented food in Africa and their benefit. Food Control 8:289-297.
- 430 6. Akabanda F, Owusu-Kwarteng J, Glover ALK, Tano-Debrah K, Nelson DS, Jesperson L (2013)
- 431 Taxonomic and molecular characterisation of lactic acid bacteria and yeast in Nunu, a Ghanaian
- fermented milk product. Food Microbiol 34:277-283.
- 433 7. Okonkwo OI (2011) Microbiological analysis and safety evaluation of dairy traditional fermented
- 434 product: A fermented milk product consumed in most parts of Northern, Nigeria. Int J Dairy Science
- 435 6:181-189.

- 436 8. Abdelqadir WS, Hamad SH, MØller LP, Jakobsen M (2001) Characterisation of the dominant
- 437 microbiota of Sudanese fermented milk "Rob." Int Dairy J 11:63-70.
- 438 9. Nyambane B, Thari W, Wangoh J, Njage P (2014) Lactic acid bacteria and yeasts involved in the
- fermentation of amabere amaruranu, a Kenyan fermented milk. Food Sci Nutr 2:692-699.
- 440 10. Osvik RD, Sperstad S, Breines EM, Hareide E, Godfroid J, Zhou Z, Ren P, Geoghegran C, Holzapfel
- 441 W, Einar R (2013) Bacterial diversity of *amasi*, a South African fermented milk product, determined
- by clone library and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis. African J Microbiol Res 7:4146-
- 443 4168.
- 11. Okeke OFI, Okwori AEJ (2011) Occurrence of pathogenic Yersinia species in locally fermented
- cow milk (Dairy traditional fermented product) in Jos, Nigeria. Nigeria J Biotech 23:45-52.
- 12. Egwaikhide PA, Malu PS, Lawal U, Adelagun RO, Andrew C (2014) Physico-chemical and
- 447 microbiological analysis of fermented cow milk (Dairy traditional fermented product) consumed
- within Kaduna town, North-Western Nigeria. Food Sci Qual Manag 29:1-44.
- 449 13. Obande GA, Azua ET (2013) Extent of microbial contamination of Dairy traditional fermented
- 450 product product product, fresh cow milk and yoghurt sold in Makurdi Benue State, Nigeria. J
- 451 Microbiol Biotechnol Res 3:6-14.
- 14. Yabaya A, Manga SS, Lucy M, Alhassan HM (2012) Bacteriology quality of fermented milk sold
- 453 locally in Samaru and Sabongari market, Zaria Nigeria. Continental J Microbiol 6:14-18.
- 454 15. Lanyi B (1988) Classical and rapid identification methods for medically important bacteria. In
- 455 Colwell RR, Grigorova R (ed) Methods in microbiology, Vol 19. Academic Press Inc, USA, pp 1-67.
- 456 16. Powers E M (1995) Efficacy of the Ryu non-staining KOH technique for rapidly determining gram
- 457 reactions of food-borne and waterborne bacteria and yeasts. App Env Microbiol 61:3756-3758.

- 458 17. Ouoba L.I.I, Parkouda C, Diawara B, Scotti C, Varnam, AH (2008) Identification of *Bacillus spp*.
- from Bikalga fermented seed of Hibiscus sabdariffa: phenotypic and genotypic characterisation. J
- 460 Applied Microbiol 104:122-131.
- 461 18. Anyogu A, Awamaria B, Sutherland JP, Ouoba LII (2014) Molecular characterisation and
- antimicrobial activity of bacteria associated with submerged lactic acid cassava fermentation. Food
- 463 Control. 39:119-127.
- 464 19. Yoon SH, Ha SM, Kwon S, Lim J, Kim Y, Seo H, Chun J (2017) Introducing EzBioCloud: A
- taxonomically united database of 16S rRNA and whole-genome assemblies. Int J Syst Evol
- 466 Microbiol 67:1613-1617.
- 467 20. Prasad J, Gill H, Smart J, Gopal P (1998) Selection and characterisation of *Lactobacillus* and
- 468 *Bifidobacterium* strains for use as probiotics. Int Dairy J. 8:993–1002.
- 469 21. Mora D, Fortina MG, Parini C, Ricci G, Gatti M, Giraffa G, Manachini PL (2002) Genetic diversity and
- 470 technological properties of *Streptococcus thermophilus* strains isolated from dairy products. J Applied
- 471 Microbiol 93:278-287.
- 472 22. Lash BW, Mysliwiec TH, Gourama H (2005) Detection and partial characterisation of a broad
- 473 range bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* (ATCC 8014). Food Microbiol 22:199-2014.
- 474 23. Mohamed SSD, Ijah UJJ (2013) Isolation and screening of lactic acid bacteria from fermented milk
- product for bacteriocin production. Ann Food Sci-Tech 4:122-124.
- 476 24. Mathara JM, Schillinger U, Kutima PM, Mbugua SK, Holzapfel WH (2004) Isolation, identification,
- 477 and characterisation of the dominant Lactic acid bacteria Kule naoto: The Maasai traditional
- fermented milk in Kenya. Int J Food Microbiol 94:269-278.
- 25. Rezac S, Kok RC, Heermann M, Hutkins C (2018) Fermented food as a dietary source of live
- 480 organisms. Front Microbiol 9:1-29.

- 481 26. Ahaotu NN, Anyogu A, Obioha P, Aririatu L, Ibekwe VI, Oranusi S, Sutherland JP, Ouoba LII (2017)
- 482 Influence of soy fortification on microbial diversity during cassava fermentation and subsequent
- 483 physicochemical characteristics of garri. Food Microbiol. 66:165 172.
- 484 27. Abdelgadir W, Nielsen DS, Hamad S, Jakobsen M (2008) A traditional Sudanese fermented
- camel's milk product, Gariss, as a habitat of Streptococcus infantarius subsp. infantarius. Int J Food
- 486 Microbiol 127:215-219.
- 487 28. Beukes EM, Bester BH, Mostert JF (2001) The microbiology of South African traditional
- 488 fermented milks. Int J Food Microbiol 63:189-197.
- 489 29. Ouadghiri M, Vancanneyt M, Vandamme P, Naser S, Gevers D, Lefebvre K, Swings J, Amar M
- 490 (2009) Identification of lactic acid bacteria in Moroccan raw milk and traditionally fermented
- skimmed milk 'lben'. J App Microbiol 106:486-495.
- 492 30. Tamang JP, Thapa N, Tamang B, Rai A, Chettri R (2014) Lactic acid bacteria in Fermented Foods
- and Beverages. In Health Benefits of Fermented Foods and Beverages, Tamang JP (ed), CRC Press,
- 494 USA, pp 1–87.
- 495 31. Garcia-Caquela T, Tabasco R, Pelaez C, Reguena T (2009) Simultaneous detection and
- 496 enumeration of viable lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria in fermented milk by using propidium
- 497 monoazide and real-time PCR. Int Dairy J 19:405-409.
- 498 32. Gueimonde M, Delgado S, Mayo B, Ruas-Madiedo P, Margolles A, Reyes-Gavilan CG (2004)
- 499 Viability and diversity of probiotic *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* populations included in
- commercial fermented milk. Food Res Int 37:839-850.
- 33. Tabasco R, Paarup T, Jenar C, Pelaez C, Requena T (2007) Selective enumeration and
- 502 identification of mixed culture of streptococcus thermophillus, lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp.
- 503 Bulgaricus, I. acidophilus, I. paracasei subsp. parasei and Bifidobacterium lactis in fermented milk. Int
- 504 Dairy J 17:1107-1114.

- 34. Mathara JM, Schillinger U, Guigar C, Franz C, Kutima P.M, Mbugua SK, Slim HK, Holzapfel WH
- 506 (2008) Functional characteristics of *Lactobacillus* spp. from traditional Maasai fermented milk
- 507 product in Kenya. Int J Food Microbiol. 126:57-64.
- 508 35. Sule J, Korosi T, Hucker A, Vargra L (2014) Evaluation of culture media for selective enumeration
- of *Bifidobacteria* and lactic acid bacteria. Brazilian J. Microbiol. 45:1023-1030.
- 36. Jordano R, Serrano CE, Torres M, Salmeron J (1992) Comparison of Three M17 Media for the
- 511 Enumeration of *Streptococcus thermophilus* in Fermented Dairy Products. J Food Prot. 55:999-1002.
- 37. Khedid K, Faid M, Mokhtari A, Soulaymani A, Zinedine A (2009) Characterisation of lactic acid
- bacteria isolated from the one humped camel milk produced in morocco. J Microbiol Res 164:81-91.
- 38. Zomer A, Fernandez M, Kearney B, Fitzgerald G. F, Ventura M, van Sinderen D (2009). An
- 515 interactive regulatory network controls stress response in *Bifidobacterium breve* UCC2003. J
- 516 Bacteriol.191:7039-7049.
- 39. Devirgiliis C, Coppola D, Barile S, Colonna B, Perozzi G (2009) Characterisation of the Tn916
- 518 conjugative transposon in a foodborne strain of *Lactobacillus paracasei*. App Environ Microbiol
- 519 75:3866–3871.
- 40. Wang C.Y, Lin P.R, Ng C.C, Shyu Y.T (2010) Probiotic properties of *Lactobacillus* strains isolated
- from the face of breast-fed infants and Taiwanese pickled cabbage. Anaerobe 16:578–585.
- 41. Giri SS, Sukumaran V, Dangi NK (2012) Characteristics of Bacterial Isolates from the Gut of
- 523 Freshwater Fish, *Labeo rohita* that May be Useful as Potential Probiotic Bacteria. Probiotics
- 524 Antimicrob Proteins 4:238–242.
- 42. Maragkoudakis PA, Zoumpopoulou G, Miaris C, Kalantzopoulos G, Pot B, Tsakalidou E (2006)
- 526 Probiotic potential of *Lactobacillus* strains isolated from dairy products. Int Dairy J. 16:189-199.
- 527 43. Patil MM, Pal A, Anand T, Ramana KV (2010) Isolation and characterisation of lactic acid bacteria
- from curd and cucumber. Indian J Biotechnol 9:166-172.

- 529 44. De Vuyst L, Zamfir M, Mozzi F, Adriany T, Marshall V, Degeest B, Vaningelgem F (2003)
- 530 Exopolysaccharide-producing Streptococcus thermophilus strains as functional starter cultures in the
- production of fermented milks. Int Dairy J. 13:707-717.
- 45. Oguntoyinbo FA (2014) Safety Challenges Associated with Traditional Foods of West Africa, Food
- 533 Rev Int 30:338-358.
- 46. Owusu-Kwarteng J, Wuni A, Akabanda F, Jespersen L (2018) Prevalence and Characteristics of
- 535 Listeria monocytogenes isolates in Raw Milk, Heated Milk and Nunu, a Spontaneously Fermented Milk
- 536 Beverage, in Ghana. Beverages 4:1-10.
- 47. Kaktcham PM, Zambou NF, Fonteh AF, Sieladie DV, Tchouanguep MF (2011) Characterisation of
- 538 bacteriocin produced by Lactobacillus rhamnosus 1K isolated from traditionally fermented milk in
- the western highlands region of Cameroon. N Y Sci J 4:121-128.
- 48. Heredia-Castro PY, Méndez-Romero JI, Hernández-Mendoza A, Acedo-Félix E, González-Córdova
- 541 AF, Vallejo-Cordoba B (2015) Antimicrobial activity and partial characterization of bacteriocin-like
- 542 inhibitory substances produced by *Lactobacillus* spp. isolated from artisanal Mexican cheese. J Dairy
- 543 Sci 98:8285-8293.
- 49. Banwo K, Sanni AK, Tan H (2012) Technological properties and probiotic potential of
- 545 Enterococcus faecium strains isolated from cow milk. J Applied Microbiol 114: 229-241.
- 546 50. de Lima MDSF, da Silva RA, da Silva MF, da Silva PAB, Costa RMPB, Teixeira JAC, Porto ALF,
- 547 Cavlcant MTH (2018) Brazilian kefir-fermented sheep's milk, a source of antimicrobial and
- antioxidant peptides. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins 10:446–455.
- 549 51. Sharma C, Brij PS, Nishchal T, Sachin G, Sanjolly G, Santosh KM, Harsh P (2017) Antibacterial
- effects of Lactobacillus isolates of curd and human milk origin against food-borne and human
- pathogens. 3 Biotech, 7:31.

552	52. Mantel ES, Sakyi-Dawson E, Amoa-Awua WK (2003) Antimicrobial interactions of microbial
553	species involved in the fermentation of cassava dough into agbelima with particular reference to the
554	inhibitory effect of lactic acid bacteria on enteric pathogens. Int J Food Microbiol 89:41-50.
555	53. Amoa-Awua WKA, Owusu M, Feglo P (2005) Ultilization of unfermented cassava flour for the
556	production of an indigenous African fermented food, agbelima. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.
557	20:1201-1207.
558	54. Owusu-Kwarteng J, Tano-Debrah K, Akabanda F, Jespersen L (2015) Technological properties and
559	probiotic potential of Lactobacillus fermentum strains isolated from West African fermented millet
560	dough. BMC Microbiol 15:261.
561	55. Fricourt BV, Barefoot SF, Testin RF, Hayasaka SS (1994) Detection and activity of plantaricin F, an
562	antibacterial substance from Lactobacillus plantarum BF001 isolated from processed channel catfish.
563	J Food Protection. 57: 698-702.
564	56. Piard JC, Desmazeand M (1991) Inhibiting factors produced by lactic acid bacteria. Oxygen
565	metabolites and catabolism end products. Le Lait. 71:525-541.
566	
567	
568	
569	
570	
571	
572	
573	
574	

575

Isolate code	*Sample Location	Rep-PCR Group	Identification by 16S rDNA, pheS, rpoA gene sequencing
1	LO1	A	Lactobacillus fermentum
2	LO1	В	Lactobacillus fermentum
33	LO1	В	Lactobacillus fermentum
35	LO1	В	Lactobacillus fermentum
54	LO1	В	Lactobacillus fermentum
36	LO1	D	Lactobacillus fermentum
37	LO1	D	Lactobacillus fermentum
69	LO1	G	Streptococcus thermophillus
71	LO1	G	Streptococcus thermophillus
74	LO1	G	Streptococcus thermophillus
70	LO1	Н	Streptococcus thermophillus
73	LO1	Н	Streptococcus thermophillus
72	LO1	Н	Streptococcus thermophillus
34	LO1	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus
53	LO1	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus
17	LO1	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus
5	LO2	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum
19	LO2	A	Lactobacillus fermentum
3	LO2	В	Lactobacillus fermentum
38	LO2	В	Lactobacillus fermentum
55	LO2	В	Lactobacillus fermentum
56	LO2	D	Lactobacillus fermentum
57	LO2	D	Lactobacillus fermentum
75	LO2	G	Streptococcus thermophillus
76	LO2	Н	Streptococcus thermophillus
4	LO2	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus
18	LO2	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus
39	LO2	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus
58	LO3	A	Lactobacillus fermentum
7	LO3	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum
20	LO3	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum
40	LO3	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum
41	LO3	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum
59	LO3	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum
6	LO3	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus
8	LO3	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus
21	LO4	В	Lactobacillus fermentum
61	LO4	В	Lactobacillus fermentum
9	LO4	Е	Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides
42	LO4	E	Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides
43	LO4	– F	Lactobacillus senioris

^{*}LO1, LO2, LO3, LO4, LO5 and LO6: Production sites from Lokpa

Isolate code	*Sample Location	Rep-PCR Group	Identification by 16S rDNA, pheS, rpoA gene sequencing Streptococcus infantarius				
79	LO4	I					
80	LO4	1	Streptococcus infantarius				
77	LO4	I	Streptococcus infantarius				
78	LO4	1	Streptococcus infantarius				
10	LO4 I S		Streptococcus infantarius				
44	LO4	I	Streptococcus infantarius				
22	LO4	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus				
60			Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus				
46			Lactobacillus fermentum				
47			Lactobacillus fermentum				
12	LO5	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum				
82			Streptococcus thermophillus				
88	LO5	G	Streptococcus thermophillus				
86	LO5	Streptococcus thermophillus					
81	LO5	Н	Streptococcus thermophillus				
87	LO5	Н	Streptococcus thermophillus				
83	LO5	I	Streptococcus infantarius				
84	LO5	I	Streptococcus infantarius				
85	LO5	1	Streptococcus infantarius				
11	LO5	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus				
23	LO5	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus				
24	LO5	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus				
45	LO5	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus				
14	LO6	A	Lactobacillus fermentum				
63	LO6	A	Lactobacillus fermentum				
13	LO6	С	Lactobacillus fermentum				
25	LO6	C	Lactobacillus fermentum				
26	LO6	С	Lactobacillus fermentum				
48	LO6	C	Lactobacillus fermentum				
50	LO6	С	Lactobacillus fermentum				
62	LO6	С	Lactobacillus fermentum				
92	LO6	G	Streptococcus thermophillus				
89	LO6	G	Streptococcus thermophillus				
91	LO6	G	Streptococcus thermophillus				
90	LO6	Н	Streptococcus thermophillus				
49	LO6	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus				
28	EO1	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum				
29	EO1	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum				
51	EO1	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum				
64	EO1	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum				

580 *LO1, LO2, LO3, LO4, LO5 and LO6: Production sites from Lokpa

581 EO1 and EO2: Production sites from Eke

Isolate code	*Sample Location	Rep-PCR Group	Identification by 16S rDNA, pheS, rpoA gene sequencing Streptococcus infantarius					
95	EO1	I						
30	EO1	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus					
65	EO1	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus					
27	EO1	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus					
94	EO1	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus					
93	EO1	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus					
15	EO1	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus					
31	EO2	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum					
32	EO2	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum					
68	EO2	Α	Lactobacillus fermentum					
67	EO2	F	Lactobacillus senioris					
97	EO2	G	Streptococcus thermophillus					
96	EO2	G	Streptococcus thermophillus					
99	EO2	G	Streptococcus thermophillus					
100	EO2	G	Streptococcus thermophillus					
98	EO2	G	Streptococcus thermophillus					
16	EO2	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus					
66	EO2	J	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus					
52	EO2	K	Enterococcus thailandicus					

*LO1, LO2, LO3, LO4, LO5 and LO6: Production sites from Lokpa

EO1 and EO2: Production sites from Eke

Table 2 Survival of the LAB from a dairy traditional fermented product in low pH and their tolerance to bile salt

Isolates code	Species	Viable count (CFU/ml)							
		pH 7		pH 3		0 % bile salt		1 % bile salt	
		0 h	3 h	0 h	3 h	0 h	3 h	0 h	3 h
52	Enterococcus thailandicus	6.33 ± 0.08^{bc}	6.18 ± 0.20 ^{bc}	6.31 ± 0.04 ^{bc}	2.90± 0.26e	7.68 ± 0.07^{ab}	7.75 ± 0.09 ^{ab}	7.55 ± 0.10 ^{ab}	7.61 ±0.11 ^{ab}
11	Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. indicus	6.68 ± 0.04 ^b	$5.35 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$	5.87 ± 0.07^{bc}	4.26± 0.03 ^d	5.60 ± 0.07^{bc}	5.73 ± 0.14 bc	5.56 ± 0.14 ^{bc}	$5.23 \pm 0.09^{\circ}$
13	Lactobacillus fermentum	7.85 ± 0.00^{ab}	7.48 ± 0.02^{ab}	7.47 ± 0.01 ^{ab}	7.32± 0.00 ^b	8.29 ± 0.05^{a}	8.29 ± 0.35^{a}	8.25 ± 0.10 ^a	7.18 ± 0.81 ^b
43	Lactobacillus senioris	7.78 ± 0.13^{ab}	7.68 ± 0.06^{ab}	7.62 ± 0.02^{ab}	5.98±0.19bc	7.59 ± 0.12^{ab}	7.65 ± 0.05^{ab}	7.66 ± 0.03^{ab}	7.69 ± 0.02^{ab}
9	Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides	6.56 ± 0.15 ^b	6.12 ± 0.02 ^{bc}	6.33 ± 0.16^{bc}	-	7.94 ± 0.14 ^{ab}	7.29 ± 0.16^{b}	7.96 ± 0.17 ^{ab}	6.39 ± 0.16^{bc}
10	Streptococcus infantarius	6.86 ± 0.04^{b}	6.46 ± 0.11 ^b	6.38 ± 0.21 ^{bc}	-	8.18 ± 0.47 ^a	7.84 ± 0.01^{ab}	7.70 ± 0.07^{ab}	7.47 ± 0.03^{ab}
73	Streptococcus thermophilus	5.94 ± 0.03 ^{bc}	4.51 ± 0.03°	4.37 ± 0.18^{d}	-	6.32 ±0.06bc	5.26 ±0.07°	5.40 ± 0.08^{bc}	2.86 ± 0.10 ^e

Data represent the mean of the viable count in two experiments expressed as mean \pm standard deviation in \log_{10} Cfu/ml.

Data were considered significantly different (rows/columns) when P< 0.05.