

WestminsterResearch

<http://www.westminster.ac.uk/westminsterresearch>

The buccal micronucleus cytome assay: New horizons for its implementation in human studies

**Fenech, M., Knasmueller, S., Nersesyanyan, A., Bolognesi, C.,
Wultsch, G., Schunck, C., Volpi, E. and Bonassi, S.**

NOTICE: this is the authors' version of a work that was accepted for publication in Mutation Research: Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Mutation Research: Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis, 894, article number 503724, 2024.

The final definitive version in Mutation Research: Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis is available online at:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2023.503724>

© 2024. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

The WestminsterResearch online digital archive at the University of Westminster aims to make the research output of the University available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the authors and/or copyright owners.

THE BUCCAL MICRONUCLEUS CYTOME ASSAY – NEW HORIZONS FOR ITS IMPLEMENTATION IN HUMAN STUDIES

Report of HUMN project workshop at Malaga 2023 EEMGS conference

Authors:

Michael Fenech^{1*}

Siegfried Knasmueller^{2*}

Armen Nersesyan³

Claudia Bolognesi⁴

Georg Wultsch⁵

Christian Schunck⁶

Emanuela Volpi⁷

Stefano Bonassi^{8*}

*Corresponding authors

Affiliations and addresses

- 1 Genome Health Foundation, North Brighton, SA, 5048, Australia. Electronic address: mf.ghf@outlook.com
- 2 Institute of Cancer Research, Department of Medicine I, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria. Electronic address: siegfried.knasmueller@meduniwien.ac.at
- 3 Institute of Cancer Research, Department of Medicine I, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria. Electronic address: anersesyan@yahoo.com
- 4 Environmental Carcinogenesis Unit, Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genoa, Italy. Electronic address: claudiabolognesi@yahoo.it.
- 5 Institute of Cancer Research, Department of Medicine I, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria Electronic address: georg.wultsch@knapp.com
- 6 MetaSystems Hard & Software GmbH, Altlussheim, Germany. Electronic address: CSchunck@Metasystems.de
- 7 School of Life Sciences, University of Westminster, London, UK. Electronic address: e.volpi@westminster.ac.uk
- 8 Clinical and Molecular Epidemiology, IRCCS San Raffaele Roma, 00166 Rome, Italy. Electronic address: stefano.bonassi@sanraffaele.it

Abstract

In this report we provide a summary of the presentations and discussion of the latest knowledge regarding the buccal micronucleus (MN) cytome assay. This information was presented at the HUMN workshop held in Malaga, Spain, in connection with the 2023 European, Environmental Mutagenesis and Genomics conference. The presentations covered the most salient topics relevant to the buccal MN cytome assay including (i) the biology of the buccal mucosa, (ii) its application in human studies relating to DNA damage caused by environmental exposure to genotoxins, (iii) the association of buccal MN with cancer and a wide range of reproductive, metabolic, immunological, neurodegenerative and other age-related diseases, (iv) the impact of nutrition and lifestyle on buccal MN cytome assay biomarkers; (v) its potential for application to studies of DNA damage in children and obesity, and (vi) the growing prospects of enhancing the clinical utility by automated scoring of the buccal MN cytome assay biomarkers by image recognition software developed using artificial intelligence. The most important knowledge gap is the need of prospective studies to test whether the buccal MN cytome assay biomarkers predict health and disease.

Key words: buccal micronucleus, DNA damage, occupational, clinical, nutritional, automation

Abbreviations: **AI** artificial intelligence, **CBMN assay** cytokinesis-block micronucleus assay, **DNN** deep neural network, **MN** micronucleus or micronuclei

1.Introduction

Micronuclei (MN) are expressed in cells that have structural chromosome aberrations and/or defects in the mitotic apparatus that leads to failed segregation of chromosome fragments and/or whole chromosomes during mitosis [1]. The lagging chromosome fragments or whole chromosomes are excluded from the two main nuclei at anaphase/telophase and are ultimately surrounded by membrane to form MN. Measurement of MN in human cells has become one of the most widely used methods to measure chromosome instability and the DNA damaging effects of environmental and endogenous genotoxins [2,3]. The best validated of these methods in humans is the lymphocyte cytokinesis-block micronucleus cytome (CBMN) assay in which MN, and other related nuclear anomalies, such as nucleoplasmic bridges and nuclear buds, are scored exclusively in cells that have completed one nuclear division *ex vivo* after mitogen stimulation which are identified as binucleated (BN) cells after blocking cytokinesis with cytochalasin-B [4].

Another method to measure MN in humans is to use buccal cells which are post-mitotic epithelial cells that can be collected in a minimally invasive manner from the inside of the mouth. In this method MN and other nuclear anomalies such as nuclear buds can be observed and scored without the need of *ex vivo* culture of cells [5]. Because of the relative ease of collecting, preparing, fixing and storing buccal cells, there is growing interest in further developing and validating this assay for *in vivo* biomonitoring studies in humans. Recent reviews have shown that the relative increase in MN frequency of buccal cells induced by exposure to genotoxins, or buccal cells from people with age-related degenerative diseases such as cancer and cardiovascular disease, is similar to that observed in cytokinesis-blocked lymphocytes [6,7].

However, there are some important knowledge and technological gaps regarding the buccal MN assay that need to be resolved. The knowledge limitations include (i) lack of prospective studies showing that an elevated MN frequency in buccal cells predicts an increased risk of developmental and degenerative diseases and (ii) lack of knowledge on whether mitotic rate in the basal layer of the buccal epithelium substantially affects MN frequency. The technological gaps that suggest challenging goals for the future include (i) lack of automated systems to score MN frequency in buccal cells which is critical given the lower incidence of MN in buccal cells relative to lymphocytes, (ii) lack of image analysis algorithms that can distinguish MN from other nuclear anomalies such as nuclear buds, or distinguish between normal cells and different types of cell death such as cells that have nuclei with condensed chromatin, karyorrhexis or pyknosis. The workshop was designed to discuss the current status of the buccal MN cytome assay and determine the most important near-term and long-term goals to further validate the assay and enable its more practical application in human studies. Presentations in the HUMN Malaga workshop were given by experts on the use of the buccal MN cytome assay including the mechanisms and biology of MN formation in buccal cells, application of this assay in occupational exposures to genotoxins, the association with disease, nutrition and lifestyle and the potential challenges and opportunities for automation. Summaries of these presentations and the main points of discussion that emerged are provided below.

1
2 **2. The biology of buccal cells and the buccal micronucleus (MN) cytome assay**
3

4 Claudia Bolognesi reported that the buccal mucosa forms the primary barrier for the
5 inhalation or ingestion routes and is, therefore, a high-risk site for exposure to genotoxic
6 agents entering the body via the aerodigestive tract. The oral epithelium, which is composed
7 of multiple layers of cells, maintains itself by continuous cell renewal whereby new cells
8 produced in the basal layer by mitosis migrate to the surface replacing those that are shed.
9 Basal cells impacted by genotoxic agents express the genetic damage as chromosome
10 breakage or loss, resulting in formation of MN during nuclear division. Daughter cells with or
11 without MN differentiate into squamous epithelial cells, then exfoliate into the buccal cavity
12 and can be easily collected and analysed. The MN assay applied in exfoliated cells represents
13 a minimally invasive approach to evaluate genomic damage in biomonitoring studies [5,8].
14
15
16
17

18
19 The MN assay in buccal cells was established in 1982 to evaluate the genotoxic effects induced
20 by chewing betel quid [9]. This method has been largely applied in the last 40 years in
21 biomonitoring human populations exposed by inhalation or oral ingestion of a variety of
22 genotoxic and carcinogenic agents. The buccal MN test was also used to evaluate the effects
23 of anti-cancer agents, and to study the impact of nutrition and lifestyle factors on genome
24 integrity. A large number of studies appeared more recently on the application of the buccal
25 MN assay in the follow-up of cancerous and precancerous oral lesions and as a biomarker of
26 chromosomal instability in patients with cancer and/or with different chronic diseases [10].
27 Based on the data available, the association of MN in buccal cells with some diseases appears
28 to be as robust as MN in lymphocytes [6].
29
30
31
32

33
34 More recently the buccal MN assay evolved into the “buccal MN cytome” method which
35 includes the additional scoring of the different cell types and nuclear anomalies providing a
36 comprehensive evaluation of the biomarkers of DNA damage, biomarkers of cell death,
37 biomarkers of cytokinetic defects or arrest [5,8]. Data collected in biomonitoring occupational
38 or environmental exposure and in clinical studies suggest an added value for the evaluation
39 of the cytome biomarker profile as reported below.
40
41
42
43

44 **3.The use of buccal MN cytome assay in occupational exposure studies**
45

46
47 Georg Wultsch informed the workshop that so far about 200 studies have been published
48 which concern the formation of MN in buccal cells of workers exposed to a variety of
49 potentially genotoxic occupational scenarios. The first investigation with iron-exposed
50 workers was published already 30 years ago [11]. Most studies (n=55) concern the impact of
51 exposure to agricultural chemicals followed by workers that are exposed to petroleum and its
52 derivatives (n=24). A similar number of investigations was conducted with medical staff
53 (exposed to anaesthetic gases, cytostatics and radiation) (n=22). Further studies were
54 conducted with medical students and anatomy laboratory staff who are exposed to
55 formaldehyde (n=14). Less frequently studied groups are miners, electroplaters, welders,
56 painters and carpenters.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Dr Wultsch and his team conducted in the last decade studies with the latter groups and found
2 a clear positive result in individuals who work in furniture production [12] but not in
3 electroplaters [13] and welders [14]. Also, with workers that are exposed to chicken manure
4 (used for energy production) negative results were obtained [15]. It is notable that in all these
5 studies the number of nuclear anomalies which reflect acute cytotoxicity in the buccal MN
6 cytochrome assay (i.e. karyolysis, karyorrhexis, condensed chromatin) was significantly higher in
7 exposed subjects. A clear increase of MN was found in cotton weavers (in Pakistan) that are
8 exposed to cotton dust [16]. The latest study concerned the induction of DNA damage in street
9 markers that are exposed to silica crystals and various chemicals. A clear increase of genotoxic
10 effect with a duration of work was detected. This is the first study which demonstrated
11 increased genetic damage in this occupational group [17]. The currently available data indicate
12 that MN studies with buccal cells are a cost-effective, rapid and simple approach to find out if
13 workers are exposed to genotoxic carcinogens. This method could complement the chemical
14 exposure measurements which are currently used to control the safety of workers.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **4. Association of buccal MN cytochrome assay biomarkers with disease and their relevance for** 23 **clinical studies** 24

25 In his presentation Stefano Bonassi focused on the potential clinical application of the buccal
26 MN assay as a test to identify those patients at higher risk of degenerative diseases such as
27 cancer. He reported on the limited extent of clinical data concerning MN frequency in buccal
28 cells and their comparison with MN frequency in lymphocytes in cancer and non-cancer
29 diseases. In all diseases examined, MN in lymphocytes and exfoliated cells were higher than
30 in controls, with the exception of prostate cancer [6]. The ratio of MN frequency in subjects
31 with disease vs controls in lymphocytes (2.3 and 2.0 for non-cancer diseases and cancer,
32 respectively) was significantly lower than the corresponding estimates observed in exfoliated
33 buccal cells (3.6 and 6.1). The strongest association was found for those cases in which MN
34 were measured in cells from the same tissue in which cancer was diagnosed (e.g., oral cancer
35 in the case of buccal cells). He discussed how to validate and translate the application of MN
36 assays into clinical practice and presented a possible roadmap driving this process. Critical
37 steps are the following: (a) differentiate disease patients from unaffected individuals and
38 identify important variables that can modify the MN biomarker in healthy and disease
39 subjects; (b) drive the transition from the use of MN assays at group level to the individual
40 level; and (3) run prospective cohort studies and randomised controlled trials to verify that
41 MN assays are predictive of disease and that MN frequency modification alters disease
42 outcomes. Pragmatic trials will also be required before inclusion in routine clinical practice, to
43 provide the decisive evidence to support their adoption by the medical and public health
44 community.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

5. Impact of nutrition and lifestyle on MN and other nuclear anomalies in buccal cells

Siegfried Knasmueller reported that only few dietary studies have been realized in which the impact of vitamins (vitamin C and provitamin A, tocopherol, folate) on buccal MN were studied and in most of them (>90%) evidence for beneficial effects were found.

The buccal MN technique was also frequently used to study the consequences of consumption of various drugs. Consistently positive effects were observed in tobacco chewers and in heavy smokers [18-20]. Interestingly, they observed an inverse correlation between the nicotine contents of cigarettes and MN formation while a positive correlation with the tar contents was observed [21]. In a well-designed older trial evidence for a synergistic effect between alcohol consumption and smoking was reported [22]. Alcohol intake per se caused no clear effects in other investigations.

Several studies showed that betel and areca nuts chewing (with and without tobacco) and consumption of khat leaves lead to increased buccal MN frequencies [23-25]. This observation may explain the high incidence of oral cancer in areas where these chewing habits are prevalent. It is also notable that synthetic derivatives of ephedrine as well as synthetic and natural cannabinoids led to increased MN frequencies in *in vitro* experiments with cells from respiratory/oral tract [26]. On the contrary, no evidence of MN induction was seen in a study which we realized in South America (Peru) with coca leave chewers (i.e. in this case even a decrease of the MN frequencies was observed) [27].

A substantial number of studies (in total 17) concern the effects of mobile phone specific electromagnetic fields. High quality studies (n=4) yielded consistently negative results. Also, in their investigation with highly controlled exposure via headphones (Knasmueller et al., unpublished) no evidence for positive results was found.

Taken together, the available data show that MN assays reflect health risks as a consequence of exposure to certain drugs; the results of dietary studies are scarce and no firm conclusion can be drawn.

6. Automation of the buccal MN cytome assay

Originally, the buccal MN technique was a simple assay in which only MN are scored. Michael Fenech explained how it eventually evolved into a complex two-stage cytome assay in which cells are first classified into seven types (Basal, Differentiated, Binucleated, Condensed chromatin, Karyorrhexis, Pyknotic, Karyolytic cells) and secondly MN and nuclear buds (NBUD) are scored in differentiated cells only [28,29]. Both the relative frequency of the various cell types and the number of differentiated cells with MNi and/or NBUD have potential diagnostic value with regards to toxic environmental exposures, poor lifestyle, malnutrition and a wide range of diseases. However, scoring this complex profile of biomarkers is laborious and limits the possibility of doing genetic toxicology studies efficiently.

Therefore, there is a legitimate need to automate some of the best validated biomarkers of the Buccal MN cytome assay and ultimately achieve a fully automated system for this purpose.

1 In his presentation Michael Fenech discussed which of the buccal biomarkers may be easier
2 to measure automatically by image analysis and presented preliminary data with DAPI stained
3 slides using the Metafer system indicating the feasibility of scoring buccal MN and binucleated
4 cells. He noted that a key remaining question is which slide preparation and staining system
5 is most practical and suitable to optimise accuracy of visual and automated scoring of buccal
6 cell biomarkers.
7

8
9 Christian Schunck reported that artificial intelligence (AI) has become a key factor for
10 automated microscope-based image analysis. The power of Deep Neural Networks (DNN) in
11 the evaluation of digital image content opens unimagined possibilities for automating even
12 complex assays such as the micronucleus cytome assays. He provided the example of how
13 MetaSystems uses this technology in its scanning software Metafer to classify objects based
14 on criteria determined by the algorithm. These networks are trained with large amounts of
15 pre-classified image data determined by visual scoring of cells (supervised learning). The
16 Buccal Micronucleus Cytome Assay scores many different cell classes and DNA damage
17 markers. Consequently evaluation of a very large number of cells is required to achieve
18 statistically significant results. Automation of the assay would therefore be highly desirable.
19 He emphasised that the HUMN workshop provides an ideal forum to discuss, with early career
20 scientists and experts, the different possible approaches for automation of the Buccal
21 Micronucleus Cytome Assay using DNN.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **7. Genomic instability measured using the buccal micronucleus cytome assay is predicted** 31 **by obesity, oxidative DNA damage and vitamin D in children and adolescents** 32

33 The minimally invasive methodology for collecting exfoliated buccal cells is one of the most
34 appealing aspects of the Buccal MN cytome assay because it makes it practical to use with
35 children and adolescents. Emanuela Volpi reported on her experience using this methodology
36 in a study aimed to determine a novel approach for predicting genomic instability via the
37 combined assessment of adiposity, systemic inflammation, DNA oxidation and vitamin D
38 status using a cross-sectional study with 132 participants, aged 10–18, recruited from schools
39 and paediatric obesity clinics in London. When examining relationships between variables for
40 all participants, markers of adiposity positively correlated with acquired oxidative DNA
41 damage ($p < 0.01$) and genomic instability ($p < 0.001$), and negatively correlated with vitamin
42 D ($p < 0.01$). Multiple regression analyses identified obesity ($p < 0.001$), vitamin D ($p < 0.001$),
43 and oxidative DNA damage ($p < 0.05$) as the three significant predictors of genomic instability
44 measured using the Buccal MN cytome assay combined score of MNi, multinucleated cells,
45 nuclear buds and nucleoplasmic bridges. Their study concluded that non-invasive
46 biomonitoring of genomic instability using buccal cells and predictive modelling of this
47 phenotype in young patients with obesity may contribute to their identification and
48 prioritisation for clinical intervention measures to improve genome integrity.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

8. Discussion on knowledge gaps regarding the buccal MN cytome assay and a roadmap for its translation into practice

More than 100 participated in the workshop and subsequent discussion. There was a general interest in the use of buccal MN assay and whether it can be implemented instead of the lymphocyte MN assay as a biomarker of genotoxin exposure. This question remains unanswered because of the uncertainty of whether the mechanisms that lead to MN formation in buccal cells is the same as the mechanisms that cause MN in lymphocytes and also because of potential differences in the kinetics of MN expression between these two systems. Furthermore, although the lymphocyte CBMN assay has been shown to be predictive of disease risk in four prospective studies relating to cancer risk, cardiovascular disease mortality and pregnancy complications [30-34], no studies have been reported showing that a higher level of MN frequency in buccal cells is associated prospectively with increased disease risk. It was generally acknowledged that conducting prospective studies with the buccal MN assay should be a high priority for this biomarker.

A deeper understanding of the biology of the buccal mucosa and how this varies with aging and disease is desirable to be able to correctly interpret the changes in frequency of the various cell types and biomarkers of DNA damage and cell death. Inter-laboratory slide scoring exercises have shown that there is generally good agreement between and within labs for scoring MN in buccal cells, however, the concordance of scoring other biomarkers and cell types is not as high [35-37]. It has been suggested that the cell death biomarkers (condensed chromatin and karyorrhexis cells) which are correlated but not easy to distinguish from each other, can be combined together. The other biomarkers (basal cells, nuclear buds, binucleated cells, pyknotic cells and karyolytic cells still need more stringent criteria and training to raise concordance in scoring to an acceptable level.

Furthermore, it is vital for researchers to realise the importance of using DNA-specific stains and, when possible, molecular markers such as centromere probes, to verify the genomic origin of the MN scored and the mechanisms by which they were produced (e.g. mitotic malsegregation of acentric chromosome fragments or whole chromosomes). In addition, it is essential to be aware that the kinetics of expression of MN in buccal cells may vary depending on whether the subjects examined are exposed to acute or chronic genotoxic events because in the former one may expect only transient increase in MN frequency but in the latter elevated MN frequency may be persistent.

Understanding the kinetics of MN formation in the basal layer and the time it takes for appearance of cells with MN in the surface layers of the buccal mucosa has great relevance to the optimal time to harvest buccal cells to achieve precise measurement of buccal cell MN frequency. Given the current inadequate state of knowledge on this topic it is important that future studies are designed that have a sufficient number of harvest time points to ensure that buccal MN assay captures the full extent of MN formation both in cases of acute or chronic exposure to genotoxic events.

Theoretically, MN observed in exfoliated buccal cells are only produced in the dividing cells of the basal layer of the buccal mucosa because other cells in this tissue are unable to divide and

1 express MN. Therefore, any ingested genotoxins could either penetrate through buccal
2 mucosa and directly harm the basal cells or, alternatively, they are absorbed via the digestive
3 system and reach the buccal basal cells via the bloodstream. Understanding the route by
4 which exogenous chemical genotoxins may reach the buccal mucosa basal layer and cause
5 DNA damage in the basal cells is an additional aspect that deserves more attention in future
6 research.
7

8
9
10
11 Much of the discussion was focused on the need of a reliable automated system to score
12 buccal mucosa cells using AI. However, the success of using automated systems to score MN
13 and other nuclear anomalies will depend on the quality of the slide preparation and the
14 staining method used. There was consensus that the staining method used should be DNA
15 specific and also stain the cytoplasm of the cells so that the cell boundaries are evident. The
16 reasons for these requirements are first to avoid false-positive MN due to unwanted staining
17 of non-DNA structures that resemble MN such as keratohyalin granules and secondly to
18 identify clearly and discriminate between mononucleated and binucleated cells and also to
19 identify basal cells which are characterised by their smaller cytoplasmic area relative to fully
20 differentiated buccal cells. The staining that is generally considered suitable for these
21 purposes is Feulgen for nuclei and MN, and Light Green for cytoplasm. Feulgen stain has the
22 added advantage that it can also be visualised using fluorescence microscopy without fading
23 which is useful to verify the true positivity of MN. An alternative fluorescence staining
24 approach is to use DAPI which is DNA specific but on its own cannot define the cytoplasmic
25 boundaries and, therefore, a cytoplasmic fluorescent stain such as FITC or eosin will be
26 required. Although we are in the early stage of automation of MN cytome assays promising
27 results using lymphoblastoid cells and skin epithelial cells have been reported for the use of
28 artificial intelligence in scoring the CBMN cytome assay biomarkers using image flow
29 cytometry [38-40]. Whether these technologies will be successful with buccal epithelial cells
30 collected from humans and other species is yet to be determined.
31

32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40 In conclusion, we find ourselves in an interesting and exciting period in which we can better
41 appreciate the need and the possibility of validating and consolidating the use of the buccal
42 MN cytome assay as a reliable biomarker of DNA damage and predictor of disease risk that
43 can be readily translated into routine clinical practice.
44
45

46 **Acknowledgements:**

47
48
49
50 The workshop was supported by: Mutation Research - Genetic Toxicology and Environmental
51 Mutagenesis, Journal of Food and Chemical Toxicology, Metasystems and ASU Experts. We
52 also acknowledge and thank Amaya Azqueta and the other organisers of the 2023 EEMGS
53 conference for accepting the HUMN workshop to be held in connection with their meeting.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- 1
2 [1] Fenech M, Knasmueller S, Bolognesi C, Holland N, Bonassi S, Kirsch-Volders M. Micronuclei
3 as biomarkers of DNA damage, aneuploidy, inducers of chromosomal hypermutation and as
4 sources of pro-inflammatory DNA in humans. *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res.* 2020 Oct-
5 Dec;786:108342. doi: 10.1016/j.mrrev.2020.108342. Epub 2020 Oct 28. PMID: 33339572.
6
7
8 [2] Fenech M, Knasmueller S, Bolognesi C, Bonassi S, Holland N, Migliore L, Palitti F, Natarajan
9 AT, Kirsch-Volders M. Molecular mechanisms by which in vivo exposure to exogenous chemical
10 genotoxic agents can lead to micronucleus formation in lymphocytes in vivo and ex vivo in
11 humans. *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res.* 2016 Oct-Dec;770(Pt A):12-25. doi:
12 10.1016/j.mrrev.2016.04.008. Epub 2016 Jun 7. PMID: 27894682.
13
14
15 [3] Fenech MF. Dietary reference values of individual micronutrients and nutriomes for
16 genome damage prevention: current status and a road map to the future. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2010
17 May;91(5):1438S-1454S. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2010.28674D. Epub 2010 Mar 10. PMID:
18 20219957.
19
20
21 [4] Fenech M. Cytokinesis-block micronucleus cytome assay. *Nat Protoc.* 2007;2(5):1084-104.
22 doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.77. PMID: 17546000.
23
24
25 [5] Thomas P, Holland N, Bolognesi C, Kirsch-Volders M, Bonassi S, Zeiger E, Knasmueller S,
26 Fenech M. Buccal micronucleus cytome assay. *Nat Protoc.* 2009;4(6):825-37. doi:
27 10.1038/nprot.2009.53. Epub 2009 May 7. PMID: 19444240.
28
29
30 [6] Fenech M, Knasmueller S, Knudsen LE, Kirsch-Volders M, Deo P, Franzke B, Stopper H,
31 Andreassi MG, Bolognesi C, Dhillon VS, Laffon B, Wagner KH, Bonassi S. "Micronuclei and
32 Disease" special issue: Aims, scope, and synthesis of outcomes. *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res.*
33 2021 Jul-Dec;788:108384. doi: 10.1016/j.mrrev.2021.108384. Epub 2021 Jun 5. PMID:
34 34893149.
35
36
37 [7] Ceppi M, Biasotti B, Fenech M, Bonassi S. Human population studies with the exfoliated
38 buccal micronucleus assay: statistical and epidemiological issues. *Mutat Res.* 2010 Jul-
39 Sep;705(1):11-9. doi: 10.1016/j.mrrev.2009.11.001. Epub 2009 Nov 20. PMID: 19932192.
40
41
42 [8] Bolognesi C, Knasmueller S, Nersesyanyan A, Thomas P, Fenech M. The HUMNxl scoring criteria
43 for different cell types and nuclear anomalies in the buccal micronucleus cytome assay - an
44 update and expanded photogallery. *Mutat Res.* 2013 Oct-Dec;753(2):100-113. doi:
45 10.1016/j.mrrev.2013.07.002. Epub 2013 Aug 11. PMID: 23942275.
46
47
48 [9] H. F. Stich, W. Stich and B. B. Parida, Elevated frequency of micronucleated cells in the
49 buccal mucosa of individuals at high risk for oral cancer: betel quid chewers, *Cancer Lett.*,
50 1982, 17, 125–134. PMID:6187434
51
52
53 [10]. Bolognesi C, Bonassi S, Knasmueller S, Fenech M, Bruzzone M, Lando C, Ceppi M. Clinical
54 application of micronucleus test in exfoliated buccal cells: A systematic review and
55 metanalysis. *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res.* 2015 Oct-Dec;766:20-31. doi:
56 10.1016/j.mrrev.2015.07.002. Epub 2015 Aug 5. PMID: 26596545.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 [11] ArutiunianRM, Tumanian ER, Shirinian GS. Analiz mikroiader v slizistoř rotovoř polosti dlia
2 otsenki tsitogeneticheskogo éffekta zagriazniteleř sredi [An analysis of the micronuclei in the
3 oral mucosa for assessing the cytogenetic effect of environmental pollutants]. Tsitol Genet.
4 1990 Mar-Apr;24(2):57-60. Russian. PMID: 2375057.
5

6 [12] Wultsch G, Nersesyan A, Kundi M, Wagner KH, Ferk F, Jakse R, Knasmueller S. Impact of
7 exposure to wood dust on genotoxicity and cytotoxicity in exfoliated buccal and nasal cells.
8 Mutagenesis. 2015 Sep;30(5):701-9. doi: 10.1093/mutage/gev034. Epub 2015 May 9. PMID:
9 25958389.
10

11 [13] Wultsch G, Nersesyan A, Kundi M, Miřík M, Setayesh T, Waldherr M, Vodicka P, Vodickova
12 L, Knasmüller S. Genotoxic and Cytotoxic Effects in Exfoliated Buccal and Nasal Cells of
13 Chromium and Cobalt Exposed Electroplaters. J Toxicol Environ Health A. 2017;80(13-15):651-
14 660. doi: 10.1080/15287394.2017.1286918. Epub 2017 May 19. PMID: 28524814.
15
16
17

18 [14] Wultsch G, Nersesyan A, Kundi M, Jakse R, Beham A, Wagner KH, Knasmueller S. The
19 sensitivity of biomarkers for genotoxicity and acute cytotoxicity in nasal and buccal cells of
20 welders. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2014 Apr-May;217(4-5):492-8. doi:
21 10.1016/j.ijheh.2013.09.005. Epub 2013 Oct 3. PMID: 24698449.
22
23
24

25 [15] Wultsch G, Nersesyan A, Miřík M, Kundi M, Wagner KH, Szekeres T, Zakerska O, Atefie K,
26 Knasmueller S. Formation of micronuclei and other nuclear anomalies in exfoliated nasal and
27 oral cells: results of a human study with workers in a power plant processing poultry litter. Int
28 J Hyg Environ Health. 2013 Jan;216(1):82-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2012.03.007. Epub 2012 Apr
29 14. PMID: 22503715.
30
31

32 [16] Khan AW, Nersesyan A, Knasmüller S, Moshammer H, Kundi M. Nuclear anomalies in
33 exfoliated buccal cells in Pakistani cotton weavers. Mutagenesis. 2015 Sep;30(5):613-9. doi:
34 10.1093/mutage/gev022. Epub 2015 Mar 24. PMID: 25805022.
35
36

37 [17] Wultsch G, Nersesyan A, Kundi M, Al-Serori H, Knasmüller S. Induction of chromosomal
38 damage in exfoliated buccal and nasal cells of road markers. J Toxicol Environ Health A.
39 2019;82(17):969-976. doi: 10.1080/15287394.2019.1673578. PMID: 31596695.
40
41

42 [18] Abdul NS, Alrukban NK, Alajmi AM, Bindawoad FA, Almughaiseeb AA, AlGhannam SM.
43 Cytotoxic and genotoxic effects of cigarette and waterpipe tobacco smoking on buccal
44 mucosa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol. 2022 Oct-
45 Dec;26(4):534-540. doi: 10.4103/jomfp.jomfp_292_22. Epub 2022 Dec 22. PMID: 37082081;
46
47
48
49
50

51 [19] Joshi MS, Verma Y, Gautam AK, Parmar G, Lakkad BC, Kumar S. Cytogenetic alterations in
52 buccal mucosa cells of chewers of areca nut and tobacco. Arch Oral Biol. 2011 Jan;56(1):63-7.
53 doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2010.08.012. Epub 2010 Sep 22. PMID: 20863483.
54

55 [20] Bonassi S, Neri M, Lando C, Ceppi M, Lin YP, Chang WP, Holland N, Kirsch-Volders M, Zeiger
56 E, Fenech M; HUMN collaborative group. Effect of smoking habit on the frequency of
57 micronuclei in human lymphocytes: results from the Human MicroNucleus project. Mutat Res.
58 2003 Mar;543(2):155-66. doi: 10.1016/s1383-5742(03)00013-9. PMID: 12644185.
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 [21] Nersesyan A, Muradyan R, Kundi M, Knasmueller S. Impact of smoking on the frequencies
2 of micronuclei and other nuclear abnormalities in exfoliated oral cells: a comparative study
3 with different cigarette types. *Mutagenesis*. 2011 Mar;26(2):295-301. doi:
4 10.1093/mutage/geq092. Epub 2010 Nov 2. PMID: 21044989.
5

6 [22] Stich HF, Rosin MP. Quantitating the synergistic effect of smoking and alcohol
7 consumption with the micronucleus test on human buccal mucosa cells. *Int J Cancer*. 1983
8 Mar 15;31(3):305-8. doi: 10.1002/ijc.2910310309. PMID: 6826255.
9

10 [23] Sellappa S, Balakrishnan M, Raman S, Palanisamy S. Induction of micronuclei in buccal
11 mucosa on chewing a mixture of betel leaf, areca nut and tobacco. *J Oral Sci*. 2009
12 Jun;51(2):289-92. doi: 10.2334/josnusd.51.289. PMID: 19550099.
13
14

15 [24] Kausar A, Giri S, Mazumdar M, Giri A, Roy P, Dhar P. Micronucleus and other nuclear
16 abnormalities among betel quid chewers with or without sadagura, a unique smokeless
17 tobacco preparation, in a population from North-East India. *Mutat Res*. 2009 Jun-Jul;677(1-
18 2):72-5. doi: 10.1016/j.mrgentox.2009.05.007. Epub 2009 May 23. PMID: 19467343.
19
20
21

22 [25] Kokila S, Prasad H, Rajmohan M, Kumar Srichinthu K, Mahalakshmi L, Shanmuganathan
23 S, Prema P. Evaluation of Micronuclei and Cytomorphometric Changes in Patients with
24 Different Tobacco Related Habits Using Exfoliated Buccal Cells. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2021
25 Jun 1;22(6):1851-1855. doi: 10.31557/APJCP.2021.22.6.1851. PMID: 34181342; PMCID:
26 PMC8418841.
27
28
29

30 [26] Koller VJ, Auwärter V, Grummt T, Moosmann B, Mišák M, Knasmüller S. Investigation of
31 the in vitro toxicological properties of the synthetic cannabimimetic drug CP-47,497-C8.
32 *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*. 2014 Jun 1;277(2):164-71. doi: 10.1016/j.taap.2014.03.014. Epub
33 2014 Mar 28. PMID: 24686252.
34
35

36 [27] Nersesyan A, Kundi M, Krupitza G, Barcelos G, Mišák M, Wultsch G, Carrion J, Carrion-
37 Carrera G, Knasmueller S. Induction of nuclear anomalies in exfoliated buccal cells of coca
38 chewers: results of a field study. *Arch Toxicol*. 2013 Mar;87(3):529-34. doi: 10.1007/s00204-
39 012-0969-1. Epub 2012 Nov 6. PMID: 23128829.
40
41
42

43 [28] Tolbert PE, Shy CM, Allen JW. Micronuclei and other nuclear anomalies in buccal smears:
44 methods development. *Mutat Res*. 1992 Feb;271(1):69-77. doi: 10.1016/0165-
45 1161(92)90033-i. PMID: 1371831.
46

47 [29] Thomas P, Holland N, Bolognesi C, Kirsch-Volders M, Bonassi S, Zeiger E, Knasmueller S,
48 Fenech M. Buccal micronucleus cytome assay. *Nat Protoc*. 2009;4(6):825-37. doi:
49 10.1038/nprot.2009.53. Epub 2009 May 7. PMID: 19444240.
50
51

52 [30] Bonassi S, Znaor A, Ceppi M, Lando C, Chang WP, Holland N, Kirsch-Volders M, Zeiger E,
53 Ban S, Barale R, Bigatti MP, Bolognesi C, Cebulska-Wasilewska A, Fabianova E, Fucic A, Hagmar
54 L, Joksic G, Martelli A, Migliore L, Mirkova E, Scarfi MR, Zijno A, Norppa H, Fenech M. An
55 increased micronucleus frequency in peripheral blood lymphocytes predicts the risk of cancer
56 in humans. *Carcinogenesis*. 2007 Mar;28(3):625-31. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgl177. Epub 2006
57 Sep 14. PMID: 16973674.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 [31] Murgia E, Ballardini M, Bonassi S, Rossi AM, Barale R. Validation of micronuclei frequency
2 in peripheral blood lymphocytes as early cancer risk biomarker in a nested case-control study.
3 *Mutat Res.* 2008 Mar 1;639(1-2):27-34. doi: 10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2007.10.010. Epub 2007 Nov
4 13. PMID: 18155071.
5

6 [32] Murgia E, Maggini V, Barale R, Rossi AM. Micronuclei, genetic polymorphisms and
7 cardiovascular disease mortality in a nested case-control study in Italy. *Mutat Res.* 2007 Aug
8 1;621(1-2):113-8. doi: 10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2007.02.015. Epub 2007 Mar 2. PMID: 17448506.
9

10 [33] Federici C, Botto N, Manfredi S, Rizza A, Del Fiandra M, Andreassi MG. Relation of
11 increased chromosomal damage to future adverse cardiac events in patients with known
12 coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol.* 2008 Nov 15;102(10):1296-300. doi:
13 10.1016/j.amjcard.2008.07.024. Epub 2008 Sep 5. PMID: 18993144.
14

15 [34] Furness DL, Dekker GA, Hague WM, Khong TY, Fenech MF. Increased lymphocyte
16 micronucleus frequency in early pregnancy is associated prospectively with pre-eclampsia
17 and/or intrauterine growth restriction. *Mutagenesis.* 2010 Sep;25(5):489-98. doi:
18 10.1093/mutage/geq032. Epub 2010 Jun 25. PMID: 20581221; PMCID: PMC2925157.
19

20 [35] Rohr P, da Silva GF, Vicentini VEP, Almeida IV, Dos Santos RA, Takahashi CS, Goulart MO,
21 da Silva GN, de Oliveira LB, Grisolia CK, Piau TB, Bassi Branco CL, Reis ÉM, de Oliveira Galvão
22 MF, de Medeiros SRB, Monteiro MS, de Vasconcelos Lopes RA, Brandão SFI, Batista NJC, Paz
23 MFCJ, da Silva J. Buccal micronucleus cytome assay: Inter-laboratory scoring exercise and
24 micronucleus and nuclear abnormalities frequencies in different populations from Brazil.
25 *Toxicol Lett.* 2020 Oct 15;333:242-250. doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2020.08.011. Epub 2020 Aug 22.
26 PMID: 32841739.
27

28 [36] Bolognesi C, Roggieri P, Ropolo M, Thomas P, Hor M, Fenech M, Nersesyan A, Knasmueller
29 S. Buccal micronucleus cytome assay: results of an intra- and inter-laboratory scoring
30 comparison. *Mutagenesis.* 2015 Jul;30(4):545-55. doi: 10.1093/mutage/gev017. Epub 2015
31 Mar 20. PMID: 25795005.
32

33 [37] Bolognesi C, Knasmueller S, Nersesyan A, Roggieri P, Ceppi M, Bruzzzone M, Blaszczyk E,
34 Mielzynska-Svach D, Milic M, Bonassi S, Benedetti D, Da Silva J, Toledo R, Salvadori DM, Groot
35 de Restrepo H, Filipic M, Hercog K, Aktas A, Burgaz S, Kundi M, Grummt T, Thomas P, Hor M,
36 Escudero-Fung M, Holland N, Fenech M. Inter-laboratory consistency and variability in the
37 buccal micronucleus cytome assay depends on biomarker scored and laboratory experience:
38 results from the HUMNxl international inter-laboratory scoring exercise. *Mutagenesis.* 2017
39 Mar 1;32(2):257-266. doi: 10.1093/mutage/gew047. PMID: 27671865.
40

41 [38] Rodrigues MA, Gracia García Mendoza M, Kong R, Sutton A, Pugsley HR, Li Y, Hall BE, Fogg
42 D, Ohl L, Venkatachalam V. Automation of the Micronucleus Assay Using Imaging Flow
43 Cytometry and Artificial Intelligence. *J Vis Exp.* 2023 Jan 27;(191). doi: 10.3791/64549. PMID:
44 36779604.
45

46 [39] Wills JW, Verma JR, Rees BJ, Harte DSG, Haxhiraj Q, Barnes CM, Barnes R, Rodrigues MA,
47 Doan M, Filby A, Hewitt RE, Thornton CA, Cronin JG, Kenny JD, Buckley R, Lynch AM, Carpenter
48 AE, Summers HD, Johnson GE, Rees P. Inter-laboratory automation of the in vitro micronucleus
49 assay.
50

1 assay using imaging flow cytometry and deep learning. Arch Toxicol. 2021 Sep;95(9):3101-
2 3115. doi: 10.1007/s00204-021-03113-0. Epub 2021 Jul 10. PMID: 34245348; PMCID:
3 PMC8380241.

4
5 [40] Allemang A, Thacker R, DeMarco RA, Rodrigues MA, Pfuhler S. The 3D reconstructed skin
6 micronucleus assay using imaging flow cytometry and deep learning: A proof-of-principle
7 investigation. Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen. 2021 May;865:503314. doi:
8 10.1016/j.mrgentox.2021.503314. Epub 2021 Jan 20. PMID: 33865536.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

THE BUCCAL MICRONUCLEUS CYTOME ASSAY – NEW HORIZONS FOR ITS IMPLEMENTATION IN HUMAN STUDIES

Report of HUMN project workshop at Malaga 2023 EEMGS conference

Authors:

Michael Fenech^{1*}

Siegfried Knasmueller^{2*}

Armen Nersesyan³

Claudia Bolognesi⁴

Georg Wultsch⁵

Christian Schunck⁶

Emanuela Volpi⁷

Stefano Bonassi^{8*}

*Corresponding authors

Affiliations and addresses

- 1 Genome Health Foundation, North Brighton, SA, 5048, Australia. Electronic address: mf.ghf@outlook.com
- 2 Institute of Cancer Research, Department of Medicine I, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria. Electronic address: siegfried.knasmueller@meduniwien.ac.at
- 3 Institute of Cancer Research, Department of Medicine I, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria. Electronic address: anersesyan@yahoo.com
- 4 Environmental Carcinogenesis Unit, Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genoa, Italy. Electronic address: claudiabolognesi@yahoo.it.
- 5 Institute of Cancer Research, Department of Medicine I, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria Electronic address: georg.wultsch@knapp.com
- 6 MetaSystems Hard & Software GmbH, Altlussheim, Germany. Electronic address: CSchunck@Metasystems.de
- 7 School of Life Sciences, University of Westminster, London, UK. Electronic address: e.volpi@westminster.ac.uk
- 8 Clinical and Molecular Epidemiology, IRCCS San Raffaele Roma, 00166 Rome, Italy. Electronic address: stefano.bonassi@sanraffaele.it

Abstract

In this report we provide a summary of the presentations and discussion of the latest knowledge regarding the buccal micronucleus (MN) cytome assay. This information was presented at the HUMN workshop held in Malaga, Spain, in connection with the 2023 European, Environmental Mutagenesis and Genomics conference. The presentations covered the most salient topics relevant to the buccal MN cytome assay including (i) the biology of the buccal mucosa, (ii) its application in human studies relating to DNA damage caused by environmental exposure to genotoxins, (iii) the association of buccal MN with cancer and a wide range of reproductive, metabolic, immunological, neurodegenerative and other age-related diseases, (iv) the impact of nutrition and lifestyle on buccal MN cytome assay biomarkers; (v) its potential for application to studies of DNA damage in children and obesity, and (vi) the growing prospects of enhancing the clinical utility by automated scoring of the buccal MN cytome assay biomarkers by image recognition software developed using artificial intelligence. The most important knowledge gap is the need of prospective studies to test whether the buccal MN cytome assay biomarkers predict health and disease.

Key words: buccal micronucleus, DNA damage, occupational, clinical, nutritional, automation

Abbreviations: **AI** artificial intelligence, **CBMN assay** cytokinesis-block micronucleus assay, **DNN** deep neural network, **MN** micronucleus or micronuclei

1.Introduction

Micronuclei (MN) are expressed in cells that have structural chromosome aberrations and/or defects in the mitotic apparatus that leads to failed segregation of chromosome fragments and/or whole chromosomes during mitosis [1]. The lagging chromosome fragments or whole chromosomes are excluded from the two main nuclei at anaphase/telophase and are ultimately surrounded by membrane to form MN. Measurement of MN in human cells has become one of the most widely used methods to measure chromosome instability and the DNA damaging effects of environmental and endogenous genotoxins [2,3]. The best validated of these methods in humans is the lymphocyte cytokinesis-block micronucleus cytome (CBMN) assay in which MN, and other related nuclear anomalies, such as nucleoplasmic bridges and nuclear buds, are scored exclusively in cells that have completed one nuclear division *ex vivo* after mitogen stimulation which are identified as binucleated (BN) cells after blocking cytokinesis with cytochalasin-B [4].

Another method to measure MN in humans is to use buccal cells which are post-mitotic epithelial cells that can be collected in a minimally invasive manner from the inside of the mouth. In this method MN and other nuclear anomalies such as nuclear buds can be observed and scored without the need of *ex vivo* culture of cells [5]. Because of the relative ease of collecting, preparing, fixing and storing buccal cells, there is growing interest in further developing and validating this assay for *in vivo* biomonitoring studies in humans. Recent reviews have shown that the relative increase in MN frequency of buccal cells induced by exposure to genotoxins, or buccal cells from people with age-related degenerative diseases such as cancer and cardiovascular disease, is similar to that observed in cytokinesis-blocked lymphocytes [6,7].

However, there are some important knowledge and technological gaps regarding the buccal MN assay that need to be resolved. The knowledge limitations include (i) lack of prospective studies showing that an elevated MN frequency in buccal cells predicts an increased risk of developmental and degenerative diseases and (ii) lack of knowledge on whether mitotic rate in the basal layer of the buccal epithelium substantially affects MN frequency. The technological gaps that suggest challenging goals for the future include (i) lack of automated systems to score MN frequency in buccal cells which is critical given the lower incidence of MN in buccal cells relative to lymphocytes, (ii) lack of image analysis algorithms that can distinguish MN from other nuclear anomalies such as nuclear buds, or distinguish between normal cells and different types of cell death such as cells that have nuclei with condensed chromatin, karyorrhexis or pyknosis. The workshop was designed to discuss the current status of the buccal MN cytome assay and determine the most important near-term and long-term goals to further validate the assay and enable its more practical application in human studies. Presentations in the HUMN Malaga workshop were given by experts on the use of the buccal MN cytome assay including the mechanisms and biology of MN formation in buccal cells, application of this assay in occupational exposures to genotoxins, the association with disease, nutrition and lifestyle and the potential challenges and opportunities for automation. Summaries of these presentations and the main points of discussion that emerged are provided below.

1
2 **2. The biology of buccal cells and the buccal micronucleus (MN) cytome assay**
3

4 Claudia Bolognesi reported that the buccal mucosa forms the primary barrier for the
5 inhalation or ingestion routes and is, therefore, a high-risk site for exposure to genotoxic
6 agents entering the body via the aerodigestive tract. The oral epithelium, which is composed
7 of multiple layers of cells, maintains itself by continuous cell renewal whereby new cells
8 produced in the basal layer by mitosis migrate to the surface replacing those that are shed.
9 Basal cells impacted by genotoxic agents express the genetic damage as chromosome
10 breakage or loss, resulting in formation of MN during nuclear division. Daughter cells with or
11 without MN differentiate into squamous epithelial cells, then exfoliate into the buccal cavity
12 and can be easily collected and analysed. The MN assay applied in exfoliated cells represents
13 a minimally invasive approach to evaluate genomic damage in biomonitoring studies [5,8].
14
15
16
17

18
19 The MN assay in buccal cells was established in 1982 to evaluate the genotoxic effects induced
20 by chewing betel quid [9]. This method has been largely applied in the last 40 years in
21 biomonitoring human populations exposed by inhalation or oral ingestion of a variety of
22 genotoxic and carcinogenic agents. The buccal MN test was also used to evaluate the effects
23 of anti-cancer agents, and to study the impact of nutrition and lifestyle factors on genome
24 integrity. A large number of studies appeared more recently on the application of the buccal
25 MN assay in the follow-up of cancerous and precancerous oral lesions and as a biomarker of
26 chromosomal instability in patients with cancer and/or with different chronic diseases [10].
27 Based on the data available, the association of MN in buccal cells with some diseases appears
28 to be as robust as MN in lymphocytes [6].
29
30
31
32

33 More recently the buccal MN assay evolved into the “buccal MN cytome” method which
34 includes the additional scoring of the different cell types and nuclear anomalies providing a
35 comprehensive evaluation of the biomarkers of DNA damage, biomarkers of cell death,
36 biomarkers of cytokinetic defects or arrest [5,8]. Data collected in biomonitoring occupational
37 or environmental exposure and in clinical studies suggest an added value for the evaluation
38 of the cytome biomarker profile as reported below.
39
40
41
42
43

44 **3.The use of buccal MN cytome assay in occupational exposure studies**
45

46 Georg Wultsch informed the workshop that so far about 200 studies have been published
47 which concern the formation of MN in buccal cells of workers exposed to a variety of
48 potentially genotoxic occupational scenarios. The first investigation with iron-exposed
49 workers was published already 30 years ago [11]. Most studies (n=55) concern the impact of
50 exposure to agricultural chemicals followed by workers that are exposed to petroleum and its
51 derivatives (n=24). A similar number of investigations was conducted with medical staff
52 (exposed to anaesthetic gases, cytostatics and radiation) (n=22). Further studies were
53 conducted with medical students and anatomy laboratory staff who are exposed to
54 formaldehyde (n=14). Less frequently studied groups are miners, electroplaters, welders,
55 painters and carpenters.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Dr Wultsch and his team conducted in the last decade studies with the latter groups and found
2 a clear positive result in individuals who work in furniture production [12] but not in
3 electroplaters [13] and welders [14]. Also, with workers that are exposed to chicken manure
4 (used for energy production) negative results were obtained [15]. It is notable that in all these
5 studies the number of nuclear anomalies which reflect acute cytotoxicity in the buccal MN
6 cytochrome assay (i.e. karyolysis, karyorrhexis, condensed chromatin) was significantly higher in
7 exposed subjects. A clear increase of MN was found in cotton weavers (in Pakistan) that are
8 exposed to cotton dust [16]. The latest study concerned the induction of DNA damage in street
9 markers that are exposed to silica crystals and various chemicals. A clear increase of genotoxic
10 effect with a duration of work was detected. This is the first study which demonstrated
11 increased genetic damage in this occupational group [17]. The currently available data indicate
12 that MN studies with buccal cells are a cost-effective, rapid and simple approach to find out if
13 workers are exposed to genotoxic carcinogens. This method could complement the chemical
14 exposure measurements which are currently used to control the safety of workers.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **4. Association of buccal MN cytochrome assay biomarkers with disease and their relevance for** 23 **clinical studies** 24

25 In his presentation Stefano Bonassi focused on the potential clinical application of the buccal
26 MN assay as a test to identify those patients at higher risk of degenerative diseases such as
27 cancer. He reported on the limited extent of clinical data concerning MN frequency in buccal
28 cells and their comparison with MN frequency in lymphocytes in cancer and non-cancer
29 diseases. In all diseases examined, MN in lymphocytes and exfoliated cells were higher than
30 in controls, with the exception of prostate cancer [6]. The ratio of MN frequency in subjects
31 with disease vs controls in lymphocytes (2.3 and 2.0 for non-cancer diseases and cancer,
32 respectively) was significantly lower than the corresponding estimates observed in exfoliated
33 buccal cells (3.6 and 6.1). The strongest association was found for those cases in which MN
34 were measured in cells from the same tissue in which cancer was diagnosed (e.g., oral cancer
35 in the case of buccal cells). He discussed how to validate and translate the application of MN
36 assays into clinical practice and presented a possible roadmap driving this process. Critical
37 steps are the following: (a) differentiate disease patients from unaffected individuals and
38 identify important variables that can modify the MN biomarker in healthy and disease
39 subjects; (b) drive the transition from the use of MN assays at group level to the individual
40 level; and (3) run prospective cohort studies and randomised controlled trials to verify that
41 MN assays are predictive of disease and that MN frequency modification alters disease
42 outcomes. Pragmatic trials will also be required before inclusion in routine clinical practice, to
43 provide the decisive evidence to support their adoption by the medical and public health
44 community.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

5. Impact of nutrition and lifestyle on MN and other nuclear anomalies in buccal cells

Siegfried Knasmueller reported that only few dietary studies have been realized in which the impact of vitamins (vitamin C and provitamin A, tocopherol, folate) on buccal MN were studied and in most of them (>90%) evidence for beneficial effects were found.

The buccal MN technique was also frequently used to study the consequences of consumption of various drugs. Consistently positive effects were observed in tobacco chewers and in heavy smokers [18-20]. Interestingly, they observed an inverse correlation between the nicotine contents of cigarettes and MN formation while a positive correlation with the tar contents was observed [21]. In a well-designed older trial evidence for a synergistic effect between alcohol consumption and smoking was reported [22]. Alcohol intake per se caused no clear effects in other investigations.

Several studies showed that betel and areca nuts chewing (with and without tobacco) and consumption of khat leaves lead to increased buccal MN frequencies [23-25]. This observation may explain the high incidence of oral cancer in areas where these chewing habits are prevalent. It is also notable that synthetic derivatives of ephedrine as well as synthetic and natural cannabinoids led to increased MN frequencies in *in vitro* experiments with cells from respiratory/oral tract [26]. On the contrary, no evidence of MN induction was seen in a study which we realized in South America (Peru) with coca leave chewers (i.e. in this case even a decrease of the MN frequencies was observed) [27].

A substantial number of studies (in total 17) concern the effects of mobile phone specific electromagnetic fields. High quality studies (n=4) yielded consistently negative results. Also, in their investigation with highly controlled exposure via headphones (Knasmueller et al., unpublished) no evidence for positive results was found.

Taken together, the available data show that MN assays reflect health risks as a consequence of exposure to certain drugs; the results of dietary studies are scarce and no firm conclusion can be drawn.

6. Automation of the buccal MN cytome assay

Originally, the buccal MN technique was a simple assay in which only MN are scored. Michael Fenech explained how it eventually evolved into a complex two-stage cytome assay in which cells are first classified into seven types (Basal, Differentiated, Binucleated, Condensed chromatin, Karyorrhexis, Pyknotic, Karyolytic cells) and secondly MN and nuclear buds (NBUD) are scored in differentiated cells only [28,29]. Both the relative frequency of the various cell types and the number of differentiated cells with MNi and/or NBUD have potential diagnostic value with regards to toxic environmental exposures, poor lifestyle, malnutrition and a wide range of diseases. However, scoring this complex profile of biomarkers is laborious and limits the possibility of doing genetic toxicology studies efficiently.

Therefore, there is a legitimate need to automate some of the best validated biomarkers of the Buccal MN cytome assay and ultimately achieve a fully automated system for this purpose.

1 In his presentation Michael Fenech discussed which of the buccal biomarkers may be easier
2 to measure automatically by image analysis and presented preliminary data with DAPI stained
3 slides using the Metafer system indicating the feasibility of scoring buccal MN and binucleated
4 cells. He noted that a key remaining question is which slide preparation and staining system
5 is most practical and suitable to optimise accuracy of visual and automated scoring of buccal
6 cell biomarkers.
7

8
9 Christian Schunck reported that artificial intelligence (AI) has become a key factor for
10 automated microscope-based image analysis. The power of Deep Neural Networks (DNN) in
11 the evaluation of digital image content opens unimagined possibilities for automating even
12 complex assays such as the micronucleus cytome assays. He provided the example of how
13 MetaSystems uses this technology in its scanning software Metafer to classify objects based
14 on criteria determined by the algorithm. These networks are trained with large amounts of
15 pre-classified image data determined by visual scoring of cells (supervised learning). The
16 Buccal Micronucleus Cytome Assay scores many different cell classes and DNA damage
17 markers. Consequently evaluation of a very large number of cells is required to achieve
18 statistically significant results. Automation of the assay would therefore be highly desirable.
19 He emphasised that the HUMN workshop provides an ideal forum to discuss, with early career
20 scientists and experts, the different possible approaches for automation of the Buccal
21 Micronucleus Cytome Assay using DNN.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **7. Genomic instability measured using the buccal micronucleus cytome assay is predicted** 31 **by obesity, oxidative DNA damage and vitamin D in children and adolescents** 32

33 The minimally invasive methodology for collecting exfoliated buccal cells is one of the most
34 appealing aspects of the Buccal MN cytome assay because it makes it practical to use with
35 children and adolescents. Emanuela Volpi reported on her experience using this methodology
36 in a study aimed to determine a novel approach for predicting genomic instability via the
37 combined assessment of adiposity, systemic inflammation, DNA oxidation and vitamin D
38 status using a cross-sectional study with 132 participants, aged 10–18, recruited from schools
39 and paediatric obesity clinics in London. When examining relationships between variables for
40 all participants, markers of adiposity positively correlated with acquired oxidative DNA
41 damage ($p < 0.01$) and genomic instability ($p < 0.001$), and negatively correlated with vitamin
42 D ($p < 0.01$). Multiple regression analyses identified obesity ($p < 0.001$), vitamin D ($p < 0.001$),
43 and oxidative DNA damage ($p < 0.05$) as the three significant predictors of genomic instability
44 measured using the Buccal MN cytome assay combined score of MNi, multinucleated cells,
45 nuclear buds and nucleoplasmic bridges. Their study concluded that non-invasive
46 biomonitoring of genomic instability using buccal cells and predictive modelling of this
47 phenotype in young patients with obesity may contribute to their identification and
48 prioritisation for clinical intervention measures to improve genome integrity.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

8. Discussion on knowledge gaps regarding the buccal MN cytome assay and a roadmap for its translation into practice

More than 100 participated in the workshop and subsequent discussion. There was a general interest in the use of buccal MN assay and whether it can be implemented instead of the lymphocyte MN assay as a biomarker of genotoxin exposure. This question remains unanswered because of the uncertainty of whether the mechanisms that lead to MN formation in buccal cells is the same as the mechanisms that cause MN in lymphocytes and also because of potential differences in the kinetics of MN expression between these two systems. Furthermore, although the lymphocyte CBMN assay has been shown to be predictive of disease risk in four prospective studies relating to cancer risk, cardiovascular disease mortality and pregnancy complications [30-34], no studies have been reported showing that a higher level of MN frequency in buccal cells is associated prospectively with increased disease risk. It was generally acknowledged that conducting prospective studies with the buccal MN assay should be a high priority for this biomarker.

A deeper understanding of the biology of the buccal mucosa and how this varies with aging and disease is desirable to be able to correctly interpret the changes in frequency of the various cell types and biomarkers of DNA damage and cell death. Inter-laboratory slide scoring exercises have shown that there is generally good agreement between and within labs for scoring MN in buccal cells, however, the concordance of scoring other biomarkers and cell types is not as high [35-37]. It has been suggested that the cell death biomarkers (condensed chromatin and karyorrhexis cells) which are correlated but not easy to distinguish from each other, can be combined together. The other biomarkers (basal cells, nuclear buds, binucleated cells, pyknotic cells and karyolytic cells still need more stringent criteria and training to raise concordance in scoring to an acceptable level.

Furthermore, it is vital for researchers to realise the importance of using DNA-specific stains and, when possible, molecular markers such as centromere probes, to verify the genomic origin of the MN scored and the mechanisms by which they were produced (e.g. mitotic malsegregation of acentric chromosome fragments or whole chromosomes). In addition, it is essential to be aware that the kinetics of expression of MN in buccal cells may vary depending on whether the subjects examined are exposed to acute or chronic genotoxic events because in the former one may expect only transient increase in MN frequency but in the latter elevated MN frequency may be persistent.

Understanding the kinetics of MN formation in the basal layer and the time it takes for appearance of cells with MN in the surface layers of the buccal mucosa has great relevance to the optimal time to harvest buccal cells to achieve precise measurement of buccal cell MN frequency. Given the current inadequate state of knowledge on this topic it is important that future studies are designed that have a sufficient number of harvest time points to ensure that buccal MN assay captures the full extent of MN formation both in cases of acute or chronic exposure to genotoxic events.

Theoretically, MN observed in exfoliated buccal cells are only produced in the dividing cells of the basal layer of the buccal mucosa because other cells in this tissue are unable to divide and

1 express MN. Therefore, any ingested genotoxins could either penetrate through buccal
2 mucosa and directly harm the basal cells or, alternatively, they are absorbed via the digestive
3 system and reach the buccal basal cells via the bloodstream. Understanding the route by
4 which exogenous chemical genotoxins may reach the buccal mucosa basal layer and cause
5 DNA damage in the basal cells is an additional aspect that deserves more attention in future
6 research.
7

8
9
10
11 Much of the discussion was focused on the need of a reliable automated system to score
12 buccal mucosa cells using AI. However, the success of using automated systems to score MN
13 and other nuclear anomalies will depend on the quality of the slide preparation and the
14 staining method used. There was consensus that the staining method used should be DNA
15 specific and also stain the cytoplasm of the cells so that the cell boundaries are evident. The
16 reasons for these requirements are first to avoid false-positive MN due to unwanted staining
17 of non-DNA structures that resemble MN such as keratohyalin granules and secondly to
18 identify clearly and discriminate between mononucleated and binucleated cells and also to
19 identify basal cells which are characterised by their smaller cytoplasmic area relative to fully
20 differentiated buccal cells. The staining that is generally considered suitable for these
21 purposes is Feulgen for nuclei and MN, and Light Green for cytoplasm. Feulgen stain has the
22 added advantage that it can also be visualised using fluorescence microscopy without fading
23 which is useful to verify the true positivity of MN. An alternative fluorescence staining
24 approach is to use DAPI which is DNA specific but on its own cannot define the cytoplasmic
25 boundaries and, therefore, a cytoplasmic fluorescent stain such as FITC or eosin will be
26 required. Although we are in the early stage of automation of MN cytome assays promising
27 results using lymphoblastoid cells and skin epithelial cells have been reported for the use of
28 artificial intelligence in scoring the CBMN cytome assay biomarkers using image flow
29 cytometry [38-40]. Whether these technologies will be successful with buccal epithelial cells
30 collected from humans and other species is yet to be determined.
31

32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40 In conclusion, we find ourselves in an interesting and exciting period in which we can better
41 appreciate the need and the possibility of validating and consolidating the use of the buccal
42 MN cytome assay as a reliable biomarker of DNA damage and predictor of disease risk that
43 can be readily translated into routine clinical practice.
44

45 46 47 **Acknowledgements:**

48
49
50 The workshop was supported by: Mutation Research - Genetic Toxicology and Environmental
51 Mutagenesis, Journal of Food and Chemical Toxicology, Metasystems and ASU Experts. We
52 also acknowledge and thank Amaya Azqueta and the other organisers of the 2023 EEMGS
53 conference for accepting the HUMN workshop to be held in connection with their meeting.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- 1
2 [1] Fenech M, Knasmueller S, Bolognesi C, Holland N, Bonassi S, Kirsch-Volders M. Micronuclei
3 as biomarkers of DNA damage, aneuploidy, inducers of chromosomal hypermutation and as
4 sources of pro-inflammatory DNA in humans. *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res.* 2020 Oct-
5 Dec;786:108342. doi: 10.1016/j.mrrev.2020.108342. Epub 2020 Oct 28. PMID: 33339572.
6
7
8 [2] Fenech M, Knasmueller S, Bolognesi C, Bonassi S, Holland N, Migliore L, Palitti F, Natarajan
9 AT, Kirsch-Volders M. Molecular mechanisms by which in vivo exposure to exogenous chemical
10 genotoxic agents can lead to micronucleus formation in lymphocytes in vivo and ex vivo in
11 humans. *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res.* 2016 Oct-Dec;770(Pt A):12-25. doi:
12 10.1016/j.mrrev.2016.04.008. Epub 2016 Jun 7. PMID: 27894682.
13
14
15 [3] Fenech MF. Dietary reference values of individual micronutrients and nutriomes for
16 genome damage prevention: current status and a road map to the future. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2010
17 May;91(5):1438S-1454S. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2010.28674D. Epub 2010 Mar 10. PMID:
18 20219957.
19
20
21 [4] Fenech M. Cytokinesis-block micronucleus cytome assay. *Nat Protoc.* 2007;2(5):1084-104.
22 doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.77. PMID: 17546000.
23
24
25 [5] Thomas P, Holland N, Bolognesi C, Kirsch-Volders M, Bonassi S, Zeiger E, Knasmueller S,
26 Fenech M. Buccal micronucleus cytome assay. *Nat Protoc.* 2009;4(6):825-37. doi:
27 10.1038/nprot.2009.53. Epub 2009 May 7. PMID: 19444240.
28
29
30 [6] Fenech M, Knasmueller S, Knudsen LE, Kirsch-Volders M, Deo P, Franzke B, Stopper H,
31 Andreassi MG, Bolognesi C, Dhillon VS, Laffon B, Wagner KH, Bonassi S. "Micronuclei and
32 Disease" special issue: Aims, scope, and synthesis of outcomes. *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res.*
33 2021 Jul-Dec;788:108384. doi: 10.1016/j.mrrev.2021.108384. Epub 2021 Jun 5. PMID:
34 34893149.
35
36
37 [7] Ceppi M, Biasotti B, Fenech M, Bonassi S. Human population studies with the exfoliated
38 buccal micronucleus assay: statistical and epidemiological issues. *Mutat Res.* 2010 Jul-
39 Sep;705(1):11-9. doi: 10.1016/j.mrrev.2009.11.001. Epub 2009 Nov 20. PMID: 19932192.
40
41
42 [8] Bolognesi C, Knasmueller S, Nersesyanyan A, Thomas P, Fenech M. The HUMNxl scoring criteria
43 for different cell types and nuclear anomalies in the buccal micronucleus cytome assay - an
44 update and expanded photogallery. *Mutat Res.* 2013 Oct-Dec;753(2):100-113. doi:
45 10.1016/j.mrrev.2013.07.002. Epub 2013 Aug 11. PMID: 23942275.
46
47
48 [9] H. F. Stich, W. Stich and B. B. Parida, Elevated frequency of micronucleated cells in the
49 buccal mucosa of individuals at high risk for oral cancer: betel quid chewers, *Cancer Lett.*,
50 1982, 17, 125–134. PMID:6187434
51
52
53 [10]. Bolognesi C, Bonassi S, Knasmueller S, Fenech M, Bruzzone M, Lando C, Ceppi M. Clinical
54 application of micronucleus test in exfoliated buccal cells: A systematic review and
55 metanalysis. *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res.* 2015 Oct-Dec;766:20-31. doi:
56 10.1016/j.mrrev.2015.07.002. Epub 2015 Aug 5. PMID: 26596545.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 [11] ArutiunianRM, Tumanian ER, Shirinian GS. Analiz mikroiader v slizistoř rotovoř polosti dlia
2 otsenki tsitogeneticheskogo éffekta zagriazniteleř sredi [An analysis of the micronuclei in the
3 oral mucosa for assessing the cytogenetic effect of environmental pollutants]. Tsitol Genet.
4 1990 Mar-Apr;24(2):57-60. Russian. PMID: 2375057.
5

6 [12] Wultsch G, Nersesyan A, Kundi M, Wagner KH, Ferk F, Jakse R, Knasmueller S. Impact of
7 exposure to wood dust on genotoxicity and cytotoxicity in exfoliated buccal and nasal cells.
8 Mutagenesis. 2015 Sep;30(5):701-9. doi: 10.1093/mutage/gev034. Epub 2015 May 9. PMID:
9 25958389.
10

11 [13] Wultsch G, Nersesyan A, Kundi M, Miřík M, Setayesh T, Waldherr M, Vodicka P, Vodickova
12 L, Knasmüller S. Genotoxic and Cytotoxic Effects in Exfoliated Buccal and Nasal Cells of
13 Chromium and Cobalt Exposed Electroplaters. J Toxicol Environ Health A. 2017;80(13-15):651-
14 660. doi: 10.1080/15287394.2017.1286918. Epub 2017 May 19. PMID: 28524814.
15
16
17

18 [14] Wultsch G, Nersesyan A, Kundi M, Jakse R, Beham A, Wagner KH, Knasmueller S. The
19 sensitivity of biomarkers for genotoxicity and acute cytotoxicity in nasal and buccal cells of
20 welders. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2014 Apr-May;217(4-5):492-8. doi:
21 10.1016/j.ijheh.2013.09.005. Epub 2013 Oct 3. PMID: 24698449.
22
23
24

25 [15] Wultsch G, Nersesyan A, Miřík M, Kundi M, Wagner KH, Szekeres T, Zakerska O, Atefie K,
26 Knasmueller S. Formation of micronuclei and other nuclear anomalies in exfoliated nasal and
27 oral cells: results of a human study with workers in a power plant processing poultry litter. Int
28 J Hyg Environ Health. 2013 Jan;216(1):82-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2012.03.007. Epub 2012 Apr
29 14. PMID: 22503715.
30
31

32 [16] Khan AW, Nersesyan A, Knasmüller S, Moshammer H, Kundi M. Nuclear anomalies in
33 exfoliated buccal cells in Pakistani cotton weavers. Mutagenesis. 2015 Sep;30(5):613-9. doi:
34 10.1093/mutage/gev022. Epub 2015 Mar 24. PMID: 25805022.
35
36

37 [17] Wultsch G, Nersesyan A, Kundi M, Al-Serori H, Knasmüller S. Induction of chromosomal
38 damage in exfoliated buccal and nasal cells of road markers. J Toxicol Environ Health A.
39 2019;82(17):969-976. doi: 10.1080/15287394.2019.1673578. PMID: 31596695.
40
41

42 [18] Abdul NS, Alrukban NK, Alajmi AM, Bindawoad FA, Almughaiseeb AA, AlGhannam SM.
43 Cytotoxic and genotoxic effects of cigarette and waterpipe tobacco smoking on buccal
44 mucosa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol. 2022 Oct-
45 Dec;26(4):534-540. doi: 10.4103/jomfp.jomfp_292_22. Epub 2022 Dec 22. PMID: 37082081;
46
47
48
49
50

51 [19] Joshi MS, Verma Y, Gautam AK, Parmar G, Lakkad BC, Kumar S. Cytogenetic alterations in
52 buccal mucosa cells of chewers of areca nut and tobacco. Arch Oral Biol. 2011 Jan;56(1):63-7.
53 doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2010.08.012. Epub 2010 Sep 22. PMID: 20863483.
54

55 [20] Bonassi S, Neri M, Lando C, Ceppi M, Lin YP, Chang WP, Holland N, Kirsch-Volders M, Zeiger
56 E, Fenech M; HUMN collaborative group. Effect of smoking habit on the frequency of
57 micronuclei in human lymphocytes: results from the Human MicroNucleus project. Mutat Res.
58 2003 Mar;543(2):155-66. doi: 10.1016/s1383-5742(03)00013-9. PMID: 12644185.
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 [21] Nersesyan A, Muradyan R, Kundi M, Knasmueller S. Impact of smoking on the frequencies
2 of micronuclei and other nuclear abnormalities in exfoliated oral cells: a comparative study
3 with different cigarette types. *Mutagenesis*. 2011 Mar;26(2):295-301. doi:
4 10.1093/mutage/geq092. Epub 2010 Nov 2. PMID: 21044989.
5

6 [22] Stich HF, Rosin MP. Quantitating the synergistic effect of smoking and alcohol
7 consumption with the micronucleus test on human buccal mucosa cells. *Int J Cancer*. 1983
8 Mar 15;31(3):305-8. doi: 10.1002/ijc.2910310309. PMID: 6826255.
9

10 [23] Sellappa S, Balakrishnan M, Raman S, Palanisamy S. Induction of micronuclei in buccal
11 mucosa on chewing a mixture of betel leaf, areca nut and tobacco. *J Oral Sci*. 2009
12 Jun;51(2):289-92. doi: 10.2334/josnusd.51.289. PMID: 19550099.
13
14

15 [24] Kausar A, Giri S, Mazumdar M, Giri A, Roy P, Dhar P. Micronucleus and other nuclear
16 abnormalities among betel quid chewers with or without sadagura, a unique smokeless
17 tobacco preparation, in a population from North-East India. *Mutat Res*. 2009 Jun-Jul;677(1-
18 2):72-5. doi: 10.1016/j.mrgentox.2009.05.007. Epub 2009 May 23. PMID: 19467343.
19
20
21

22 [25] Kokila S, Prasad H, Rajmohan M, Kumar Srichinthu K, Mahalakshmi L, Shanmuganathan
23 S, Prema P. Evaluation of Micronuclei and Cytomorphometric Changes in Patients with
24 Different Tobacco Related Habits Using Exfoliated Buccal Cells. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2021
25 Jun 1;22(6):1851-1855. doi: 10.31557/APJCP.2021.22.6.1851. PMID: 34181342; PMCID:
26 PMC8418841.
27
28
29

30 [26] Koller VJ, Auwärter V, Grummt T, Moosmann B, Mišák M, Knasmüller S. Investigation of
31 the in vitro toxicological properties of the synthetic cannabimimetic drug CP-47,497-C8.
32 *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*. 2014 Jun 1;277(2):164-71. doi: 10.1016/j.taap.2014.03.014. Epub
33 2014 Mar 28. PMID: 24686252.
34
35

36 [27] Nersesyan A, Kundi M, Krupitza G, Barcelos G, Mišák M, Wultsch G, Carrion J, Carrion-
37 Carrera G, Knasmueller S. Induction of nuclear anomalies in exfoliated buccal cells of coca
38 chewers: results of a field study. *Arch Toxicol*. 2013 Mar;87(3):529-34. doi: 10.1007/s00204-
39 012-0969-1. Epub 2012 Nov 6. PMID: 23128829.
40
41
42

43 [28] Tolbert PE, Shy CM, Allen JW. Micronuclei and other nuclear anomalies in buccal smears:
44 methods development. *Mutat Res*. 1992 Feb;271(1):69-77. doi: 10.1016/0165-
45 1161(92)90033-i. PMID: 1371831.
46

47 [29] Thomas P, Holland N, Bolognesi C, Kirsch-Volders M, Bonassi S, Zeiger E, Knasmueller S,
48 Fenech M. Buccal micronucleus cytome assay. *Nat Protoc*. 2009;4(6):825-37. doi:
49 10.1038/nprot.2009.53. Epub 2009 May 7. PMID: 19444240.
50
51

52 [30] Bonassi S, Znaor A, Ceppi M, Lando C, Chang WP, Holland N, Kirsch-Volders M, Zeiger E,
53 Ban S, Barale R, Bigatti MP, Bolognesi C, Cebulska-Wasilewska A, Fabianova E, Fucic A, Hagmar
54 L, Joksic G, Martelli A, Migliore L, Mirkova E, Scarfi MR, Zijno A, Norppa H, Fenech M. An
55 increased micronucleus frequency in peripheral blood lymphocytes predicts the risk of cancer
56 in humans. *Carcinogenesis*. 2007 Mar;28(3):625-31. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgl177. Epub 2006
57 Sep 14. PMID: 16973674.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 [31] Murgia E, Ballardini M, Bonassi S, Rossi AM, Barale R. Validation of micronuclei frequency
2 in peripheral blood lymphocytes as early cancer risk biomarker in a nested case-control study.
3 *Mutat Res.* 2008 Mar 1;639(1-2):27-34. doi: 10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2007.10.010. Epub 2007 Nov
4 13. PMID: 18155071.

5
6 [32] Murgia E, Maggini V, Barale R, Rossi AM. Micronuclei, genetic polymorphisms and
7 cardiovascular disease mortality in a nested case-control study in Italy. *Mutat Res.* 2007 Aug
8 1;621(1-2):113-8. doi: 10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2007.02.015. Epub 2007 Mar 2. PMID: 17448506.

9
10 [33] Federici C, Botto N, Manfredi S, Rizza A, Del Fiandra M, Andreassi MG. Relation of
11 increased chromosomal damage to future adverse cardiac events in patients with known
12 coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol.* 2008 Nov 15;102(10):1296-300. doi:
13 10.1016/j.amjcard.2008.07.024. Epub 2008 Sep 5. PMID: 18993144.

14
15 [34] Furness DL, Dekker GA, Hague WM, Khong TY, Fenech MF. Increased lymphocyte
16 micronucleus frequency in early pregnancy is associated prospectively with pre-eclampsia
17 and/or intrauterine growth restriction. *Mutagenesis.* 2010 Sep;25(5):489-98. doi:
18 10.1093/mutage/geq032. Epub 2010 Jun 25. PMID: 20581221; PMCID: PMC2925157.

19
20 [35] Rohr P, da Silva GF, Vicentini VEP, Almeida IV, Dos Santos RA, Takahashi CS, Goulart MO,
21 da Silva GN, de Oliveira LB, Grisolia CK, Piau TB, Bassi Branco CL, Reis ÉM, de Oliveira Galvão
22 MF, de Medeiros SRB, Monteiro MS, de Vasconcelos Lopes RA, Brandão SFI, Batista NJC, Paz
23 MFCJ, da Silva J. Buccal micronucleus cytome assay: Inter-laboratory scoring exercise and
24 micronucleus and nuclear abnormalities frequencies in different populations from Brazil.
25 *Toxicol Lett.* 2020 Oct 15;333:242-250. doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2020.08.011. Epub 2020 Aug 22.
26 PMID: 32841739.

27
28 [36] Bolognesi C, Roggieri P, Ropolo M, Thomas P, Hor M, Fenech M, Nersesyan A, Knasmueller
29 S. Buccal micronucleus cytome assay: results of an intra- and inter-laboratory scoring
30 comparison. *Mutagenesis.* 2015 Jul;30(4):545-55. doi: 10.1093/mutage/gev017. Epub 2015
31 Mar 20. PMID: 25795005.

32
33 [37] Bolognesi C, Knasmueller S, Nersesyan A, Roggieri P, Ceppi M, Bruzzone M, Blaszczyk E,
34 Mielzynska-Svach D, Milic M, Bonassi S, Benedetti D, Da Silva J, Toledo R, Salvadori DM, Groot
35 de Restrepo H, Filipic M, Hercog K, Aktas A, Burgaz S, Kundi M, Grummt T, Thomas P, Hor M,
36 Escudero-Fung M, Holland N, Fenech M. Inter-laboratory consistency and variability in the
37 buccal micronucleus cytome assay depends on biomarker scored and laboratory experience:
38 results from the HUMNxl international inter-laboratory scoring exercise. *Mutagenesis.* 2017
39 Mar 1;32(2):257-266. doi: 10.1093/mutage/gew047. PMID: 27671865.

40
41 [38] Rodrigues MA, Gracia García Mendoza M, Kong R, Sutton A, Pugsley HR, Li Y, Hall BE, Fogg
42 D, Ohl L, Venkatachalam V. Automation of the Micronucleus Assay Using Imaging Flow
43 Cytometry and Artificial Intelligence. *J Vis Exp.* 2023 Jan 27;(191). doi: 10.3791/64549. PMID:
44 36779604.

45
46 [39] Wills JW, Verma JR, Rees BJ, Harte DSG, Haxhiraj Q, Barnes CM, Barnes R, Rodrigues MA,
47 Doan M, Filby A, Hewitt RE, Thornton CA, Cronin JG, Kenny JD, Buckley R, Lynch AM, Carpenter
48 AE, Summers HD, Johnson GE, Rees P. Inter-laboratory automation of the in vitro micronucleus
49 assay.

1 assay using imaging flow cytometry and deep learning. Arch Toxicol. 2021 Sep;95(9):3101-
2 3115. doi: 10.1007/s00204-021-03113-0. Epub 2021 Jul 10. PMID: 34245348; PMCID:
3 PMC8380241.

4
5 [40] Allemang A, Thacker R, DeMarco RA, Rodrigues MA, Pfuhler S. The 3D reconstructed skin
6 micronucleus assay using imaging flow cytometry and deep learning: A proof-of-principle
7 investigation. Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen. 2021 May;865:503314. doi:
8 10.1016/j.mrgentox.2021.503314. Epub 2021 Jan 20. PMID: 33865536.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65